

TUESDAY, JANUARY 4, 1972 WASHINGTON, D.C.

Volume 37 ■ Number 1

Pages 1-62

PART I

(Part II begins on page 45)



HIGHLIGHTS OF THIS ISSUE

This listing does not affect the legal status of any document published in this issue. Detailed table of contents appears inside.

of any document published in this issue. Detailed table of contents appears inside.	
HEALTH BENEFITS—CSC amendment extending enrollment period to 1–31–72	7
EXTRA LONG STAPLE COTTON—USDA announces 1972 county acreage allotment reserves; effective 1–3–72	7
SUGAR QUOTAS—USDA revised allotments for the Mainland Cane Sugar Area; effective 12– 29–71	9
INTEREST RATES—FRB changes in bank lending rates	11
FOOD ADDITIVES— FDA approval of use of mineral oil as ingredient in feed grade biuret; effective 1—4—72 FDA notices of filing of petitions on polysorbate 60 and sorbitan monostearate as beverage emulsifiers and use of paper and paperboard components for food-contact use (2 documents)	14 28
FDA notice of withdrawal of petition on use of certain resins in food-contact articles	28
NEW ANIMAL DRUGS— FDA approval of use of sulfadimethoxine oral suspension for treatment of cats and dogs;	
effective 1–4–72	14
cold-blooded animals; effective 1–4–72 ANTIBIOTIC DRUGS—FDA revocation of certifica-	14
tion of certain preparations containing oleandomycin phosphate	15

(Continued inside)

30-year Reference Volumes Consolidated Indexes and Tables

Presidential Proclamations and Executive Orders

Consolidated subject indexes and tabular finding aids to Presidential proclamations, Executive orders, and certain other Presidential documents promulgated during a 30-year period (1936-1965) are now available in two separately bound volumes, published under Title 3 of the Code of Federal Regulations, priced as follows:

Title :	3,	1936–1965	Consolidated	Indexes	\$3.	50
Title :	3,	1936-1965	Consolidated	Tables	\$5.	25

Compiled by Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration

Order from Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office Washington, D.C. 20402

Phone 962-8626 Area Code 202

Published daily, Tuesday through Saturday (no publication on Sundays, Mondays, or on the day after an official Federal holiday), by the Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration, Washington, D.C. 20408, pursuant to the authority contained in the Federal Register Act, approved July 26, 1935 (49 Stat. 500, as amended; 44 U.S.C., Ch. 15), under regulations prescribed by the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register, approved by the President (1 CFR Ch. I). Distribution is made only by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office,

The FEDERAL REGISTER will be furnished by mail to subscribers, free of postage, for \$2.50 per month or \$25 per year, payable in advance. The charge for individual copies is 20 cents for each issue, or 20 cents for each group of pages as actually bound. Remit check or

money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.O. 20402.

The regulatory material appearing herein is keyed to the Code of Federal Regulations, which is published, under 50 titles, pursuant to section 11 of the Federal Register Act, as amended (44 U.S.C. 1510). The Code of Federal Regulations is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of new books are listed in the first Federal Register issue of each month.

There are no restrictions on the republication of material appearing in the Federal Register or the Code of Federal Regulations.

HIGHLIGHTS—Continued

INCOME TAX—IRS regulations concome from aircraft and vessels	t. postpone- f regulations fire suppres- on entry of slands; com-	change of classisteel wire rods HISTORIC PLACE National Register COMMENTS PER day comments making	ILES—Customs Bur. proposed iffication for "Stelmor" cooled iffication for "Stelmor" cooled iffication for "Stelmor" cooled iffication between IOD—FDA notice to allow a 60 filing time on proposed rule important in chicken feed	23 24 27 28 46
FOOD STANDARDS—FDA proposal assumption of sponsorship to establing characteristics of cranberry juice	ish identify- cocktail 20	ments and notice documents); effec	rketing quotas acreage allot- of referendum for 1972 crop (2 tive 12–29–71	8, 19
-	Con	tents		
AGRICULTURAL STABILIZATION AND CONSERVATION SERVICE Rules and Regulations Cotton; 1972 crop of extra long stable cotton; acreage allotments and marketing quotas; county reserves	Hearings, etc.: Allegheny Airline City of Palm Sprin Ozark Air Lines, I Swift Home-Wray Western Air Lines CIVIL SERVICE Rules and Regula Federal employees i program COMMERCE DE See Maritime Admin COMMODITY CORPORATION Proposed Rule Mc Dairy products; load	s, Inc	CUSTOMS BUREAU Proposed Rule Making Entry of merchandise into Virgin Islands; documents required Notices "Stelmor" cooled steel wire rods; proposed tariff classification ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY OFFICE Notices Availability of reports: Findings regarding employment policies Findings regarding learning FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION Rules and Regulations	19 23
AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT See Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service; Commodity Credit Corporation; Consumer and Marketing Service; Packers and Stockyards Administration. ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION Notices Availability of environmental report and statement: Elk River Reactor Dismantling, Elk River, Minn	and other operation port program for a CONSUMER AND SERVICE Rules and Regular Navel oranges grow and California; in tations (2 document Proposed Rule Manual Andrews and Andrews and Andrews area; suspensions of order Notices Grain standards; Tenn., grain inspections.	milk 20 D MARKETING tions m in Arizona handling liminats) 10 king mitic market- ion of certain conditions Chattanooga,	Airworthiness directives: Beech airplanes Beech Musketeer airplanes Belanca airplanes Prestolite belt driven alternator cooling fans Area high routes; designation Control zone and transition area; alteration Transition areas: Alteration Proposed Rule Making Control zone and transition area; designation Transition area; alteration Continued on next page)	11 12 12 12 13 13 13 13 21 21

FEDERAL MARITIME		Notices		LAND MANAGEMENT BUREAU
COMMISSION		Filing of comments on proposed rule making; extension of time_	27	Rules and Regulations
Notices	_	Petitions for color and food addi-	21	Public land orders:
Japan-Atlantic & Gulf Freight Conference; agreement filed	33	tives: Atlas Chemical Industries, Inc.	28	Alaska (2 documents) 18 Idaho 18
		Hoffmann-LaRoche, Inc Houghton, E. F., & Co	28 28	Notices
FEDERAL POWER COMMISSI	ION	Pennsylvania Industrial Chemi- cal Corp	28	Arizona; proposed withdrawal and reservation of lands 23
Proposed Rule Making				AAADITIAAT ADAMMICTDATION
Monthly report of cost and quality of fuels for steam-electric		GEOLOGICAL SURVEY		MARITIME ADMINISTRATION
plant; extension of time	22	Notices		Rules and Regulations
Notices		San Pedro River, Ariz.; power site cancellation	24	Capital construction fund; de-
Hearings, etc.:			24	posits for taxable years 1970 and 1971
Alabama Power CoAlabama-Tennessee Natural	33	HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND	•	
Gas Co	33	WELFARE DEPARTMENT		MINES BUREAU
Arizona Public Service Co Arkansas Louisiana Gas Co	34 34	See also Food and Drug Adminis- tration.		Rules and Regulations
Central Vermont Public Service		Rules and Regulations		Safety standards; fire suppres-
Corp Columbia Gas Transmission	34	Transportation and traffic man-		sion devices and fire-resistant
Corp	34	agement; exceptions to use of U.S. Government bill of lading		hydraulic fluids on under- ground equipment 17
Georgia Power Co	35 35	forms	17	
Michigan Wisconsin Pipe Line	00	HEARINGS AND APPEALS		NATIONAL PARK SERVICE
Co. and Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America	36	OFFICE		Notices
Northern Natural Gas Co	36	Notices		Intention to issue concession per-
Northern States Power Co Public Service of Indiana et al_	36 37	Armco Steel Corp, et al.; petition		mit and contract:
Southern Natural Gas Co	37	regarding modification of in-		Blue Ridge Parkway, Va 24 National Capital Parks 24
Tennessee Gas Pipeline Co. (2 documents)	27 20	terim mandatory safety stand- ard	27	National Register of Historic
Tennessee Gas Pipeline Co.	31, 30	•	4.	Places; additions, deletions, or corrections24
et al	38	INTERIOR DEPARTMENT		COLLECTIONS TITLIBRITATION 24
Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corp. (3 documents)	40	See Geological Survey; Hearings and Appeals Office; Land Man-		PACKERS AND STOCKYARDS
Trunkline Gas Co	40	agement Bureau; Mines Bu-		ADMINISTRATION
FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM		reau; National Park Service.		Notices
_		INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE		Designation of stockyards by
Rules and Regulations		Rules and Regulations		posted stockyard facility num-
Advances and discounts by Federal Reserve banks; changes in	0	Income tax; election regarding		ber 40
rates	11	income from certain aircraft and vessels	16	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE
Notices		Proposed Rule Making		COMMISSION
First National Co.; formation of		Income tax:		
one-bank holding company	41	Tax credit for foreign income taxes paid by controlled for-		Notices
FOOD AND DRUG		eign corporations; correction_	19	Hearings, etc.: GAC Income Fund, Inc
ADMINISTRATION		Use of full absorption or modi- fied full absorption method of		Royal Atlas Corp
n to our tine out of our		inventory valuation; correc-		
Rules and Regulations		tion	19	STATE DEPARTMENT
Food additives; mineral oil as per- mitted ingredient in feed grade		Notices Granting of relief regarding fire-		Rules and Regulations
biuret New animal drugs:	14	arms acquisition, shipment, pos-		Nonresident alien Mexican border
Sulfadimethoxine oral suspen-		session, etc	23	crossing cards1
sionTricaine methanesulfonate	14 14	INTERSTATE COMMERCE		TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT
Oleandomycin phosphate; revoca-		COMMISSION		See Federal Aviation Administra-
tion	15	Notices		tion.
Proposed Rule Making		Assignment of hearings	42	
Cranberry juice cocktail drinks; definitions and standards of		Fourth section applications for relief (2 documents)	43	TREASURY DEPARTMENT
identity; withdrawal of petition		Motor carrier transfer proceed-		See Customs Bureau; Internal
and assumption of sponsorship_	20	ings	43	Revenue Service.

CONTENTS 5

List of CFR Parts Affected

The following numerical guide is a list of the parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published in today's issue. A cumulative list of parts affected, covering the current month to date, appears following the Notices section of each issue beginning with the second issue of the month.

A cumulative guide is published separately at the end of each month. The guide lists the parts and sections affected by documents published since January 1, 1972, and specifies how they are affected.

5 CFR		18 CFR		26 CFR	
890	7	PROPOSED RULES:		12	16
7 CFR	,,	141	22	Proposed Rules: 1 (2 documents)	19
730	8	19 CFR		30 CFR	
812 814 907 (2 documents)	9 10	PROPOSED RULES:		7541 CFR	17
PROPOSED RULES:		Ch. I	19	103-40	17
730 1004	19 20	21 CFR		43 CFR	
1430	20	121	14	PUBLIC LAND ORDERS:	
12 CFR		135c	14 14	1932 (amended by PLO 5147)	18
201	11	148m	15	4582 (modified by PLO 5149) 4962 (see PLO 5149)	18 18
14 CFR 39 (4 documents)11 71 (3 documents)1	13	PROPOSED RULES:	20	5081 (see PLO 5149) 5147 5148	18 18 18 18
75Proposed Rules:	13	22 CFR		46 CFR	
71 (2 documents)	21	41	15	390	16

		•

Rules and Regulations

Title 5—ADMINISTRATIVE PERSONNEL

Chapter I-Civil Service Commission

PART 890—FEDERAL EMPLOYEES HEALTH BENEFITS PROGRAM

Miscellaneous Amendments

By virtue of the authority vested in the U.S. Civil Service Commission by 5 U.S.C. section 8913, the health benefit regulations are hereby amended to (1) postpone the effective date of 1972 changes in enrollment charges for all plans except the Government-wide service benefit plan, (2) redetermine the Government's contribution, and (3) extend the 1971 health benefits open season through January 31, 1972. The Commission will schedule another open season before the effective date of 1972 changes in enrollment charges postponed by these amendments. The effective date of any openseason enrollment or change in enrollment made through January 31, 1972, will normally be the first day of the first pay period which begins in 1972.

These amendments to the health benefits regulations are made necessary by amendments to Title 6, Chapter III, Code of Federal Regulations, pertaining to price and rent stabilization, which amendments require application of new Price Commission guidelines to 1972 enrollment charges previously negotiated by the U.S. Civil Service Commission under guidelines issued by the Cost of Living Council. Since some provisions of the following amendments must be in effect on January 1, 1972, the Civil Service Commission has for good cause found that the urgency of publication and the need to prevent any disruption that would harm all concerned makes notice and public procedure impracticable and contrary to the public interest.

Accordingly, effective January 1, 1972, the health benefits regulations are amended as set out below.

1. Section 890.203 is amended by adding the following new paragraph (c):

§ 890.203 Application for approval of, and proposal of amendments to, health benefits plans.

(c) (1) Except as provided in subparagraph (2) of this paragraph, enrollment charges are (i) at the 1971 rates for a plan participating in both 1971 and 1972, (ii) at the 1971 rates of the predecessor plan having the largest 1971 enrollment for a new plan which is a successor to two or more 1971 plans, and (iii) at rates negotiated by the Commission for a new

plan not described in subdivision (ii) of this subparagraph.

(2) The 1972 enrollment charges for the high-option Government-wide service benefit plan are the 1971 enrollment charges for that plan increased by 22 percent effective the first day of the first pay period which begins in 1972.

(3) Effective the first day of the first pay period which begins in 1972, and subject to § 890.501, the Government contribution for all plans is determined by using the high-option enrollment charges established under subparagraphs (1) and (2) of this paragraph.

(4) New enrollment charge proposals for 1972 for plans other than the Government-wide service benefit plan become effective on a date specified by the Commission after the proposals have been approved by an appropriate price control authority as meeting Price Commission guidelines.

(5) Notwithstanding § 890.503(c) (2), (3), and (4), the Commission pays to the carrier of each plan from its contingency reserve, to the extent there is a balance in that reserve, an amount equal to the difference between the amount paid the carrier for 1972 at 1971 enrollment charge rates and the amount which would have been paid at the 1972 enrollment charge rates approved by an appropriate price control authority as meeting Price Commission guidelines. This difference is paid as soon as the amount thereof is ascertained.

2. Section 890.301(d) is amended to read as follows:

§ 890.301 Opportunities to register to enroll and change enrollment.

(d) Open season. During the period November 15, 1971, through January 31, 1972, and the period November 15 through November 30 of each year thereafter beginning with 1972, an employee who is not registered to be enrolled may register to be enrolled, and an enrolled employee or annuitant may change his enrollment from one plan or option to another, or from self only to self and family, or both.

3. Section 890.306(c) is amended to read as follows:

§ 890.306 Effective dates.

(c) (1) The effective date of a change in enrollment under § 890,301(d) is the first day of the first pay period which hegins in January of the next following year, except that a change in enrollment for the open season ending January 31, 1972, is effective on the first day of the first pay period which begins in 1972.

(2) The effective date of a new enrollment under § 890.301(d) is the first day of the first pay period which begins in the next following year and which follows a pay period during any part of which the employee is in pay status, except that the effective date of a new enrollment for the open season ending January 31, 1972, is the first day of the first pay period which begins in 1972 and which follows a pay period during any part of which the employee is in pay status.

(6 U.S.C. Section 8313)

United States Civil Service Commission,
[SEAL] James C. Spry,
Executive Assistant to
the Commissioners.

[FR Doc.71-19163 Filed 12-23-71;3:59 pm]

Title 7-AGRICULTURE

Chapter VII—Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service (Agricultural Adjustment), Department of Agriculture

SUBCHAPTER B—FARM MARKETING QUOTAS AND ACREAGE ALLOTMENTS

PART 722—COTTON

Subpart—1972 Crop of Extra Long Staple Cotton: Acreage Allotments and Marketing Quotas

COUNTY RESERVES

Section 722.563 is issued pursuant to the Agricultural Adjustment Act of 1938, as amended (52 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1281 et seq.). This section establishes the county reserves for the 1972 crop of extra long staple cotton. Such determinations were made initially by the respective county committees and are hereby approved and made effective by the Administrator, ASCS, pursuant to delegated authority (29 F.R. 16210, 35 F.R. 19798, 36 F.R. 6907, 21529).

Notice that the Secretary was preparing to establish State and county allotments and reserves was published in the Federal Register on September 14, 1971 (36 F.R. 18412), in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 553. The views and recommendations received in response to such notice have been duly considered.

Since the establishment of county reserves requires immediate action by the county committees, it is essential that § 722.563 be made effective as soon as

possible. Accordingly, it is hereby determined and found that compliance with the 30-day effective date requirement of 5 U.S.C. 553 is impracticable and contrary to the public interest, and § 722.563 shall be effective upon filing this document with the Director, Office of the Federal Register.

§ 722.563 County reserves for the 1972 crop of extra long staple cotton.

The county reserves for the 1972 crop of extra long staple cotton are established in accordance with § 722.509 of the Regulations for Acreage Allotments for 1966 and Succeeding Crops of Extra Long Staple Cotton (31 F.R. 6247, 13530, 32 F.R. 5416, 33 F.R. 8427, 16066, 16435, 34 F.R. 5, 808). The following table sets forth the county reserves:

ARIZONA

County

County	reserve (acres)
Cochise	•
Gila	
Graham	
Maricopa	
Pima	
Pinal	
Yuma	_ 1.3
CALIFORNIA	_
Imperial	
Riverside	_ 3.5
FLORIDA Alachua	_ 0
Hamilton	
Jefferson	
Madison	
Marion	
Suwannee	
Union	_ 0
GEORGIA	
Berrien	
Cook	_ 0.1
New Mexico	
Chaves	
Dona Ana	
Eddy	
Hidalgo	
Luna	_ 0.4
Otero	_ 0
Sierra	_ 0.1
Texas	
Brewster	0
Culberson	
El Paso	6.5
Hudspeth	_ 1.8
Loving	
Pecos	_ 1.2
Presidio	
Reeves	
Ward	
(Secs. 344, 375, 63 Stat. 670, as amer	ided, 52

Stat. 66, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1344, 1375)

Effective date: Date of filing this document with the Director, Office of the Federal Register.

Signed at Washington, D.C., on December 27, 1971.

> KENNETH E. FRICK. Administrator, Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service.

[FR Doc.72-63 Filed 1-3-72;8:50 am]

PART 730-RICE

Subpart—1972–73 Marketing Year

DETERMINATIONS PROCLAMATIONS AND WITH RESPECT TO MARKETING QUOTA AND NATIONAL ACREAGE ALLOTMENT FOR 1972 CROP RICE AMONG THE SEVERAL

The provisions of §§ 730.1501 to 730.-1503 are issued pursuant to the Agricultural Adjustment Act of 1938, as amended (7 U.S.C. 1281 et seq.) (referred to as the "act"), with respect to the 1972 crop of rice. The purpose of these provisions is to (1) proclaim that marketing quotas shall be in effect for the 1972 crop of rice. (2) establish the national acreage allotment for such crop, and (3) apportion the national acreage allotment among the States. The latest available statistics of the Federal Government have been used in making determinations under these provisions.

Notice that the Secretary was preparing to make determinations with respect to these provisions was published in the Federal Register on July 27, 1971 (36 F.R. 13838) in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 553. Data, views, and recommendations were submitted pursuant to such notice and consideration was given thereto to the extent permitted by law.

It is essential that these provisions be made effective as soon as possible to allow rice growers ample time to make cropping plans for next year on land not used for rice. Also, a referendum to determine whether rice producers favor or oppose the quota must be held within 30 days after proclamation of the quotas. Accordingly, it is hereby found and determined that compliance with the 30day effective date requirement of 5 U.S.C. 533 is impracticable and contrary to the public interest and §§ 730.1501 to 730,-1503 shall be effective upon filing this document with the Director, Office of the Federal Register.

§ 730.1501 Marketing Quotas for the 1972 Crop of Rice.

The total supply of rice in the United States for the marketing year beginning August 1, 1971, is determined to be 104.4 million hundredweight (rough basis). The normal supply of rice for such marketing year is determined to be 103.8 million hundredweight (rough basis). Since the total supply of rice for the 1971-72 marketing year exceeds the normal supply for such marketing year, marketing quotas shall be in effect for the 1972 crop of rice.

§ 730.1502 National acreage allotment of rice for 1972.

The normal supply of rice for the marketing year commencing August 1, 1972, is determined to be 91.4 million hundred-

weight (rough basis). The carryover of rice on August 1, 1972, is estimated at 9.5 million hundredweight. Therefore, the production of rice needed in 1972 to make available a supply of rice for the 1972-73 marketing year equal to the normal supply for such marketing year is 81.9 million hundredweight. The national average yield of rice for the 5 calendar years 1967 through 1971 is determined to be 4,461 pounds per planted acre. The national acreage allotment of rice for 1972 computed on the basis of the normal supply for 1972, less estimated carryover, and the national average yield per planted acre for the 5 calendar years, 1967 through 1971, would be 1,836,461 acres. Since such amount is more than the minimum national acreage allotment of 1,652,596 acres prescribed under section 353(c)(6) of the act, the national acreage allotment for rice for the calendar year 1972 shall be 1,836,461 acres.

§ 730.1503 Apportionment of 1972 national acreage allotment of rice among the several States.

The national acreage allotment proclaimed in § 730.1502, less a reserve of 679 acres, is hereby apportioned among the several rice-producing States as follows:

State	Aores
Arizona	264
Arkansas	443,331
California	333,054
Florida	1,083
Illinois	23
Louisiana:	
Farm Administrative	
Area 508, 923	
Producer Administra-	
tive Area 18,833	
,	
State total	527, 756
Mississippi	51, 958
Missouri	6, 286
	43
North Carolina	
Oklahoma	100
Oklahoma	166 3, 163
Oklahoma South Carolina Tennessee	166 3, 163 678
Oklahoma	166 3, 163
OklahomaSouth CarolinaTennesseeTexas	166 3, 163 678
Oklahoma South Carolina Tennessee	106 3, 103 676 469, 211

Unapportioned National Reserve. 670

U.S. total_____ 1,830,401 (Sec. 301, 352, 353, 354, 375, 52 Stat. 38, 60, 61, 66, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1301, 1352, 1353,

Effective date: Date of filing with the Director, Office of the Federal Register.

Signed at Washington, D.C., on December 29, 1971.

> CLARENCE D. PALMBY, Acting Secretary.

Concurred:

CLARENCE D. PALMBY. Assistant Secretary for International Affairs and Commodity Programs.

[FR Doc. 71-19166 Filed 12-29-71;2:22 pm]

Chapter VIII—Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service (Sugar), Department of Agriculture SUBCHAPTER B—SUGAR REQUIREMENTS AND

PART 812—SUGAR REQUIREMENTS AND QUOTAS: HAWAII AND PUERTO RICO

Establishment of Quotas for Local Consumption in 1972

On page 23574 of the Federal Register of December 10, 1971, there was published a notice of proposed rule making to issue a regulation determining sugar requirements for 1972 and establishing quotas for Hawaii and Puerto Rico for the calendar year 1972. Interested persons were given until December 22, 1971, to submit written data, views, or arguments for consideration in connection with the proposed regulation.

No views or comments were received relative to the proposed regulation.

The proposed regulation is hereby adopted without change.

Effective date: January 1, 1972.

Signed at Washington, D.C., on December 28, 1971.

KENNETH E. FRICK, Administrator, Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service.

Basis and purpose. The purpose of Sugar Regulation 812 is to determine pursuant to sections 201 and 203 of the Sugar Act of 1948, as amended (hereinafter referred to as the "Act"), the amount of sugar needed to meet the requirements of consumers in Hawaii and in Puerto Rico and to establish quotas for local consumption in such areas for the calendar year 1972. To the extent required by section 201 of the Act, this regulation establishes sugar requirements based on official estimates of the Department of Agriculture and on statistics published by other agencies of the government.

Since the Act provides that the Secretary of Agriculture determine sugar requirements for local consumption in Hawaii and in Puerto Rico and establish local consumption quotas to be effective on January 1, 1972, it is found to be impracticable and not in the public interest to comply with the 30-day effective date requirements in 5 U.S.C. 553(d) (80 Stat. 378), and these regulations shall be effective January 1, 1972.

Sec. 812.1 Sugar requirements and quotas— Hawaii.

812.2 Sugar requirements and quotas— Puerto Rico.

812.3 Restrictions on marketing.

AUTHORITY: The provisions of these §§ 812.1 through 812.3 issued under sec. 403, 61 Stat. 932; 7 U.S.C. 1153, secs. 201, 203, 209, 211; 61 Stat. 923, as amended, 925, 928; 7 U.S.C. 1111, 1113, 1119, 1121.

§ 812.1 Sugar requirements and quota—Hawaii.

It is hereby determined, pursuant to section 203 of the Act, that the amount

of sugar needed to meet the requirements of consumers in Hawaii for the calendar year 1972 is 50,000 short tons, raw value, and a quota of 50,000 short tons, raw value, is hereby established for Hawaii for local consumption for the calendar year 1972.

§ 812.2 Sugar requirements and quotas—Puerto Rico.

It is hereby determined, pursuant to section 203 of the Act, that the amount of sugar needed to meet the requirements of consumers in Puerto Rico for the calendar year 1972 is 140,000 short tons, raw value, and a quota of 140,000 short tons, raw value, is hereby established for Puerto Rico for local consumption for the calendar year 1972.

§ 812.3 Restrictions on marketing.

Pursuant to section 209 of the Act, for the calendar year 1972 all persons are hereby prohibited from marketing, pursuant to Part 816 of this chapter (33 F.R. 8495), in Hawaii or in Puerto Rico, for consumption therein, any sugar or liquid sugar after the quota for the area for the calendar year 1972 has been filled. Pursuant to section 211(c) of the Act, the quota for each area may be filled only with sugar produced from sugarcane grown in the respective area.

Furthermore, pursuant to section 211(c) of the Act sugar may be unladed from a carrier and brought into a Foreign Trade Zone for manipulating therein or manufacturing therein another product for the subsequent entry into Hawaii or Puerto Rico for consumption only if such sugar is charged pursuant to S.R. 816 to the applicable respective local quota.

Statement of bases and consideration. Pursuant to section 203 of the Act, the determination of the amounts of sugar needed to meet the requirements of consumers in Hawaii and in Puerto Rico relate to (1) the quantities of sugar distributed for local consumption in Hawaii and in Puerto Rico during the 12-month period ended September 30, 1971, (2) deficiencies or surpluses in inventories of sugar, and (3) changes in consumption because of changes in population and demand conditions.

The quantities of sugar distributed for consumption in Hawaii and in Puerto Rico, including that which was lost in refining after charge to the local quotas, during such 12-month period are estimated to have been approximately 38,000 short tons of sugar, raw value, respectively.

Based on preliminary 1971 U.S. Census data the population of Hawaii as of April 1, 1971, was 789,000 and the population of Puerto Rico as of April 1, 1970, was 2,712,000.

In Hawaii industrial use accounts for a substantial portion of the total consumption of sugar and this demand is a significant factor in the total sugar requirements. During the period 1961 through 1971 the annual sugar consumption in this area has varied from approximately 93.5 to 130.5 pounds, raw value, per person. These wide year-to-year var-

iations suggest the possibility that requirements could be higher in 1972 than in the 12 months ended September 30, 1971, when sugar marketing approximated 37,000 short tons, raw value.

In Puerto Rico during the 12 months ended September 30, 1971, marketings of sugar for local consumption totalled approximately 130,000 short tons, raw value. After making allowance for possible consumption increases in 1972 resulting from probable population increases, the total sugar needed to meet requirements for local consumption in Puerto Rico in 1972 may be approximately 140,000 short tons, raw value.

Circumstances prevailing in the utilization of quota for local consumption in Hawali and Puerto Rico are such that no special problems arise nor are the objectives of the Act jeopardized if the 1972 local quota is not completely filled. It is, therefore, desirable to establish the 1972 requirements and quotas sufficiently high initially so that later adjustments may be avoided.

In accordance with the above, the requirements for local consumption in Hawaii and Puerto Rico for 1972 have been determined to be 50,000 and 140,000 short tons, raw value, respectively.

[FR Doc.72-64 Filed 1-3-72;8:50 am]

[Sugar Reg. 814.9, Amdt. 3]

PART 814—ALLOTMENT OF SUGAR QUOTAS, MAINLAND CANE SUGAR AREA

1971 Quota

Basis and purpose. This amendment is issued under section 205(a) of the Sugar Act of 1948, as amended (61 Stat. 922), as amended, hereinafter called the "Act," for the purpose of amending Sugar Regulation 814.9 (36 F.R. 24792) which established allotments for the Mainland Cane Sugar Area for the calendar year 1971.

This amendment it necessary to revise allotments to determine and prorate deficits in allotments.

On the basis of written advice from individual allottees, it is herein determined that four allottees are unable to fully utilize 1971 allotments of the Mainland Cane Sugar Area in excess of stated maximum quantities, and that there is a deficit in the allotments of such allottees amounting to 3,328 short tons, raw value, as follows:

	Short tons,
Processors	raw ralue
Frisco Cane Co., Inc	876
Louisa Sugar Coop., Inc	700
Louisiana State University	10
Vida Sugars, Inc.	1,742
	3, 328

Accordingly, a deficit of 3,328 short tons, raw value, is declared and is herein prorated to other allottees on the basis of 1971 allotments made effective Proposore

by Sugar Regulation 814.9, Amendment 2 (36 F.R. 24792).

It was found after notice and public hearing that this order shall be revised, without further notice or hearing, for the purpose of allotting any quantity of an allotment to other allottees when written notification of release by an allottee of any part of an allotment becomes a part of the official records of the Department.

The allotments set forth herein have been established in accordance with findings heretofore made by the Secretary in the course of this proceeding (36 F.R. 24792).

Order. Pursuant to the authority vested in the Secretary of Agriculture by section 205(a) of the Act: It is hereby ordered, That paragraph (a) of § 814.9, be amended to read as follows:

§ 814.9 Allotment of the 1971 sugar quota for the Mainland Cane Sugar Area.

(a) Allotments. The 1971 sugar quota for the Mainland Cane Sugar Area of 1,256,000 short tons, raw value, is hereby allotted to the following processors in the quantities which appear opposite their respective names:

one quantities windi appear	opposin
their respective names:	
	Allotments
(Short tons
	raw value)
Albania Sugar Co	10,094
T Ann & Go To	. 10,490
J. Aron & Co., Inc.	16,800
Billeaud Sugar Factory	. 12,631
Breaux Bridge Sugar Co-op	. 11, 284
Wm. T. Burton Industries, Inc.	7, 58
Caire & Graugnard	6,423
Cajun Sugar Co-op., Inc	. 26.232
Caldwell Sugars Co-op., Inc	. 17,798
Columbia Sugar Co	. 9,294
Columbia Sugar Co	,
Inc	. 9,838
Dugas & LeBlanc, Ltd	. 19,331
Duhe & Bourgeois Sugar Co	13,727
Evan Hall Sugar Co-op., Inc	
Frisco Cane Co., Inc	1,498
Glenwood Co-op., Inc	19,923
Helvetia Sugar Co-op., Inc	14,748
Iberia Sugar Co-op., Inc.	21, 58
LaFourche Sugar Co	
Harry L. Laws & Co., Inc.	16, 447
Levert-St. John, Inc.	14,382
Louisa Sugar Co-op., Inc.	10, 59
Louisiana State Penitentiary	3,740
Louisiana State University	
Meeker Sugar Co-op., Inc.	10,503
Milliken & Farwell, Inc.	11,108
M. A. Patout & Son, Ltd.	21, 107
Poplar Grove Planting & Refining	. 21,10
Savoie Industries	9,142
St. James Sugar Co-op., Inc.	24, 419
St. Mary Sugar Co-op., Inc.	
South Coast Corp., Inc.	
Southdown Sugars, Inc	
Sterling Sugars, Inc	30,980
J. Supple's Sons Planting Co.,	·
LtdValentine Sugars, Inc	5,629
Valentine Sugars, Inc	14,370
Vida Sugars, Inc	4,500
A. Wilbert's Sons Lumber &	,
Shingle Co	10,050
Louisiana subtotal	615, 957
	_

Atlantic Sugar Association, Inc._

op., Association...

32, 151

22,568

46, 118

Allotments (Short tons, raw value)

270000070	w casac,
Gulf & Western Food Products	
Co	87,638
Osceola Farms Co	54, 316
Sugarcane Growers Co-op., of	
Florida	117, 044
Talisman Sugar Corp	49,002
United States Sugar Corp	231,206
_	

Florida subtotal 640,043

Total all mainland cane 1,256,000

(Sec. 406, 61 Stat. 932; 7 U.S.C. interprets or applies secs. 205, 209; 61 Stat. 926, as amended, 928; 7 U.S.C. 1115, 1119)

Effective date. Because of the limited time remaining in the quota year to which the allotments apply, it is imperative that this amendment become effective at the earliest date in order to permit processors to fully utilize the entire quota for the area. Accordingly, it is hereby found that compliance with the 30-day effective date requirement in 5 U.S.C. 553 is impracticable and contrary to the public interest and consequently, this amendment shall be effective when filed for public inspection in the Office of the Federal Register.

Signed at Washington, D.C., on December 28, 1971.

KENNETH E. FRICK,
Administrator, Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation
Service.

[FR Doc.71-19163 Filed 12-29-71;12:24 pm]

Chapter IX—Consumer and Marketing Service (Marketing Agreements and Orders; Fruits, Vegetables, Nuts), Department of Agriculture

[Navel Orange Reg. 247, Amdt. 1]

PART 907—NAVEL ORANGES GROWN IN ARIZONA AND DESIGNATED PART OF CALIFORNIA

Limitation of Handling

(a) Findings. (1) Pursuant to the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 907, as amended (7 CFR Part 907, 35 F.R. 16359), regulating the handling of Navel oranges grown in Arizona and designated part of California, effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674), and upon the basis of the recommendations and information submitted by the Navel Orange Administrative Committee, established under the said amended marketing agreement and order, and upon other available information, it is hereby found that the limitation of handling of such Navel oranges, as hereinafter provided, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act.

(2) It is hereby further found that it is impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice, engage in public rule-making procedure, and postpone the effective date of this amendment until 30 days after publica-

tion thereof in the Federal Register (5 U.S.C. 553 (1966)) because the time intervening between the date when information upon which this amendment is based became available and the time when this amendment must become effective in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act is insufficient, and this amendment relieves restriction on the handling of Navel oranges grown in Arizona and designated part of California.

(b) Order, as amended. The provisions in paragraph (b) (1) (i) of § 907.547 (Navel Orange Regulation 247, 36 F.R. 24794) are hereby amended to read as follows:

§ 907.547 Navel Orange Regulation 247.

(b) Order. (1) * * * (i) District 1: 700,000 cartons.

(Sec. 1-19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.O. 601-674)

Dated: December 29, 1971.

PAUL A. NICHOLSOM, Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Consumer and Marketing Service.

[FR Doc.72-90 Filed 1-3-72;8:50 am]

[Navel Orango Reg. 248]

PART 907—NAVEL ORANGES GROWN IN ARIZONA AND DESIG-NATED PART OF CALIFORNIA

Limitation of Handling

§ 907.548 Navel Orange Regulation 248.

(a) Findings. (1) Pursuant to the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 907, as amended (7 CFR Part 907, 35 F.R. 16359), regulating the handling of Navel oranges grown in Arizona and designated part of California, effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674), and upon the basis of the recommendations and information submitted by the Navel Orange Administrative Committee, established under the said amended marketing agreement and order, and upon other available information, it is hereby found that the limitation of handling of such Navel oranges, as hereinafter provided, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act.

(2) It is hereby further found that it is impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice. engage in public rule making procedure, and postpone the effective date of this section until 30 days after publication hereof in the Federal Register (5 U.S.C. 553) because the time intervening between the date when information upon which this section is based became available and the time when this section must become effective in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act is insufficient, and a reasonable time is permitted, under the circumstances, for preparation for such effective time; and good cause exists for making the provisions hereof effective as hereinafter set

forth. The committee held an open meeting during the current week, after giving due notice thereof, to consider supply and market conditions for Navel oranges and the need for regulation; interested persons were afforded an opportunity to submit information and views at this meeting; the recommendation and supporting information for regulation during the period specified herein were promptly submitted to the Department after such meeting was held; the provisions of this section, including its effective time, are identical with the aforesaid recommendation of the committee, and information concerning such provisions and effective time has been disseminated among handlers of such Navel oranges; it is necessary, in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act, to make this section effective during the period herein specified; and compliance with this section will not require any special preparation on the part of persons subject hereto which cannot be completed on or before the effective date hereof. Such committee meeting was held on December 28, 1971.

(b) Order. (1) The respective quantities of Navel oranges grown in Arizona and designated part of California which may be handled during the period December 31, 1971, through January 6, 1972, are hereby fixed as follows:

- (i) District 1: 700,000 cartons;
- (ii) District 2: 84,430 cartons;
- (iii) District 3: Unlimited.

(2) As used in this section, "handled,"
"District 1," "District 2," "District 3,"
and "carton" have the same meaning as
when used in said amended marketing
agreement and order.

(Secs. 1-19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601-674)

Dated: December 29, 1971.

PAUL A. NICHOLSON,
Deputy Director, Fruit and
Vegetable Division, Consumer
and Marketing Service.

[FR Doc.71-19162 Filed 12-29-71;11:26 am]

Title 12—BANKS AND BANKING

Chapter II—Federal Reserve System
SUBCHAPTER A—BOARD OF GOVERNORS OF
THE FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

PART 201—ADVANCES AND DIS-COUNTS BY FEDERAL RESERVE BANKS

Changes in Rates

Pursuant to section 14(d) of the Federal Reserve Act (12 U.S.C. 357), and for the purpose of adjusting discount rates with a view to accommodating commerce and business in accordance with other related rates and the general credit situation of the country, Part 201 is amended as set forth below:

1. Section 201.51 is amended to read as follows:

§ 201.51 Advances and discounts for member banks under sections 13 and 13a.

The rates for all advances and discounts under sections 13 and 13a of the Federal Reserve Act (except advances under the last paragraph of such section 13 to individuals, partnerships, or corporations other than member banks) are:

Federal Reserve Bank of-	Rate	Effective
Boston New York. Philadelphila Cleveland Richmond Atlanta Chicago. St. Louis. Minneapolis Kansas City. Dallas San Francisco.		Dec. 13, 1971 Dec. 17, 1971 Dec. 17, 1971 Dec. 23, 1971 Dec. 23, 1971 Dec. 13, 1971 Dec. 23, 1971 Dec. 13, 1971

2. Section 201.52 is amended to read as follows:

§ 201.52 Advances to member banks under section 10(b).

The rates for advances to member banks under section 10(b) of the Federal Reserve Act are:

Federal Reserve Bank of-	Rate	Effective
Boston New York Philladelphia Cleveland Richmond Atlanta Chicago St. Louis Minneapolis Kansas City Dallas	555555555555555555555555555555555555555	
San Francisco	5	Dec. 13, 1971

3. Section 201.53 is amended to read as follows:

§ 201.53 Advances to persons other than member banks.

The rates for advances under the last paragraph of section 13 of the Federal Reserve Act to individuals, partnerships, or corporations other than member banks secured by direct obligations of, or obligations fully guaranteed as to principal and interest by, the United States or any agency thereof are:

Federal Reserve Bank of	Rate	Effective
Boston New York Philadelphia Cloveland Richmond Atlanta Chicago St. Louis Minneapolis Kansas City Dallas San Francisco	SOCOCOCOCOCOCOCOCOCOCOCOCOCOCOCOCOCOCOC	Dec. 13, 1971 Dec. 17, 1971 Dec. 17, 1971 Dec. 17, 1971 Dec. 23, 1971 Dec. 23, 1971 Dec. 23, 1971 Dec. 13, 1971 Dec. 13, 1971 Dec. 13, 1971 Dec. 13, 1971

(12 U.S.C. 248(1). Interprets or applies 12 U.S.C. 357)

By order of the Board of Governors, December 27, 1971.

[SEAL] ELIZABETH L. CARMICHAEL,
Assistant Secretary,

[FR Doc.72-9 Filed 1-3-72;8:45 am]

Title 14—AERONAUTICS AND SPACE

Chapter I—Federal Aviation Administration, Department of Transportation

[Docket No. 71-CE-35-AD, Amdt. 39-1371]

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

Beech 95-55 and 58 Series Airplanes

There have been reports of inflight loss of lubricant from engines installed in Beech Models 95-55 and 58 series airplanes occasioned by age hardening of the plastic drain tube to the point where engine movement causes a tube in this condition to apply sufficient upward force from contact with the lower cowling to open the oil sump quick drain valve. Since loss of engine lubricant can result in inflight engine seizure, the manufacturer has requested that the Federal Aviation Administration issue an airworthiness directive requiring removal of the oil drain hose. After analyzing the problem, and since the condition described herein exists or is likely to develop in other airplanes of the same type design, the agency concurs with the manufacturer that such an AD is required in the interest of safety.

Since a situation exists that requires immediate adoption of this regulation, it is found that notice and public procedure hereon are impracticable and good cause exists for making this amendment effective in less than thirty (30) days.

In consideration of the foregoing and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (31 F.R. 13697), § 39.13 of Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended by adding the following new AD.

BEECH. Applies to following airplanes:

Models	Serial Numbers Affected
95-55	TC-1 through TC-190.
95-A55	TC-191 through TC-501 (except TC-350 and
_	TC-371).
95-B55 and 95-	TC-371, TC-520
B55A.	through TC-1409.
95-B55B	TF-1 through TF-70.
95-C55 and 95-	TC-350, TE-1 through
C55A.	TE-451.
D55 and D55A	TE-452 through TE-767
E55 and E55A	TE-768 through TE-846
58 and 58A	TH-1 through TH-173.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless already accomplished:

To prevent engine damage from loss of lubricant due to accidental opening of oil sump drains by age stiffened plastic drain hoses, within 25 hours' time in service after the effective date of this AD, accomplish the following:

Remove the oil drain tubes from the sump drain valves.

Beechcraft Service Instructions No. 0473-241 pertains to this same subject.

This amendment becomes effective January 5, 1972.

(Secs. 313(a), 601, 603, Federal Aviation Act of 1958, 49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, 1423; sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act, 49 U.S.C. 1655(c))

Issued in Kansas City, Mo., on December 22, 1971.

CHESTER W. WELLS, Acting Director, Central Region.

[FR Doc.72-18 Filed 1-3-72;8:46 am]

[Docket No. 71-CE-36-AD, Amdt. 39-1372]

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

Beech Musketeer Airplanes

There have been reports of air box valve failures on Beech Musketeer airplanes equipped with carburetor engines, which resulted in engine malfunction. The manufacturer has requested that the Federal Aviation Administration issue an airworthiness directive requiring modification of the carburetor air box valve in accordance with Beechcraft Service Instructions No. 0471–241. After analyzing the problem and since the condition described herein exists or is likely to develop in other airplanes of the same type design, the agency concurs with the manufacturer that such an Airworthiness Directive is required in the interest of safety.

Since a situation exists that requires immediate adoption of this regulation, it is found that notice and public procedure hereon are impracticable and good cause exists for making this amendment effective in less than thirty (30) days.

In consideration of the foregoing and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (31 F.R. 13697), § 39.13 of Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended by adding the following new AD.

BEECH. Applies to Models 23, Serial Nos. M-2 and M-4 through M-554; B23 and C23, Serial Nos. M-1095 through 1368; and A23-19, 19A, M19A, and B19 Serial Nos. MB-1 through MB-522 airplanes.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless already accomplished.

To prevent engine power loss caused by ingestion of pieces of failed carburetor air box valves, within the next 25 hours' time in service after the effective date of this AD on airplanes having 500 hours' or more time in service, or within 525 total hours time in service on airplanes having less than 500 hours, accomplish the following:

Replace existing carburetor air box valve with a valve of double thickness (two Beech P/Ns 169-910027-29 valves riveted together), and if the valve shaft is aluminum replace it with Beech P/N 169-910049-27 steel shaft assembly, in accordance with Beechcraft Service Instructions No. 0471-241, or any alternate methods of compliance referred to and approved by the Chief, Engineering and Manufacturing Branch, FAA, Central Region.

This amendment becomes effective January 5, 1972.

(Secs. 313(a), 601, 603, Federal Aviation Act of 1958, 49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, 1423; sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act, 49 U.S.C. 1655(c))

Issued in Kansas City, Mo., on December 22, 1971.

CHESTER W. WELLS, Acting Director, Central Region. [FR Doc.72-19 Filed 1-3-72;8:46 am]

[Docket No. 71-CE-32-AD, Amdt. 39-1373]

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

Bellanca Models 17-30A, 17-31A, and 17-31ATC Airplanes

An airworthiness directive was adopted on December 17, 1971, and made effective immediately as to all known owners of Bellanca Models 17–30A, 17–31A, and 17–31ATC airplanes. This AD was issued because of the likelihood of engine power loss in these model airplanes resulting from the collapse of the main fuel supply hose (Aeroquip Hose P/N 359–8D–0153) located between the firewall and the engine driven fuel pump. In order to correct this condition the directive requires, before further flight, replacement of this hose with Bellanca P/N 198003–10 hose.

Since it was found that immediate corrective action was required, notice and public procedure hereon was impracticable and contrary to the public interest and good cause existed for making the AD effective immediately to the owners of Bellanca Models 17–30A, 17–31A, and 17–31ATC airplanes by telegram dated December 17, 1971. These conditions still exist and the AD is hereby published in the Federal Register as an amendment to § 39.13 of Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations to make it effective as to all persons.

In consideration of the foregoing and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (31 F.R. 13697), § 39.13 of Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended by adding the following new AD.

Bellanca. Applies to Models 17-30A (Serial Nos. 30394, 30395, 30396, 30397, 30398, 30399, 30400, 30401, 30402, 30403, and 30405); 17-31A (Serial No. 32-53); and 17-31ATC (Serial Nos. 31022, 31023, 31024 and 31025) Airplanes.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless already accomplished.

To prevent loss of engine power due to fuel starvation, accomplished the following: Prior to next flight replace hose Aeroquip P/N 359-8D-0153, located between the firewall and engine driven fuel pump, with hose Bellanca P/N 198003-10, or an equivalent method of compliance approved by the Chief, Engineering and Manufacturing Branch, FAA, Central Region.

Bellanca Service Letter No. 68 dated December 3, 1971, pertains to this subject.

This amendment becomes effective January 5, 1972, to all persons except those to whom it was made effective by telegram dated December 17, 1971.

(Secs. 313(a), 601, 603, Federal Aviation Act of 1958, 49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, 1423; sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act, 49 U.S.C. 1655(c))

Issued in Kansas City, Mo., on December 22, 1971.

CHESTER W. WELLS, Acting Director, Central Region. [FR Doc.72-20 Filed 1-3-72;8:40 am]

[Docket No. 71-CE-34-AD, Amdt. 39-1370]

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

Prestolite Belt Driven Alternator Cooling Fans

There have been failures of Prestolite belt driven alternators installed on various makes and models of aircraft engines. These failures consist of the alternator cooling fan breaking or coming apart resulting in engine damage that can be hazardous to the safe operation of affected aircraft. One reported failure resulted in an engine fire caused by fuel that spilled from a damaged line which had been cut by a piece that broke off from the alternator cooling fan.

Since the condition described herein exists or is likely to develop on other type design engines utilizing these belt driven alternators, an Airworthiness Directive is being issued requiring repetitive inspections of the alternator cooling fan and the replacement of this fan where necessary. As a result of tests being conducted by the manufacturer, it may be necessary to amend the AD to require replacement of existing fans with one of an improved design.

Since immediate action is required in the interest of safety, compliance with the notice and public procedure provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act is impractical and good cause exists for making this amendment effective in less than thirty (30) days.

In consideration of the foregoing and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (31 F.R. 13697), § 39.13 of Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended by adding the following new AD.

PRESTOLITE. Applies to Prestolite alternator cooling fans, P/N PUI600A installed on Prestolite Model ALV-8102 and P/N's PUI605 and PUI605A installed on Prestolite Models ALE 6406, ALE 6406R, ALE 8105A, ALE 8105B, ALE 8408, ALE 8105A, ALE 8105B, ALE 8105B, ALE 8105B, ALE 8105B, ALE 8105B, ALE 8105B, ALH 5105B, ALH 5105B, ALH 5105B, ALH 5105B, ALH 5105B, ALH 5101A, ALT 5101R, ALT 5102B, ALH 8403, ALT 8404, 8404R, ALT 8404RB, ALT 8404B, ALT 8404B, ALT 8404B, ALT 8405B, ALT 8403B, ALT 8403B, ALT 8403B, ALT 8403B, ALT 8405B, AL

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless already accomplished.

To detect defective alternator cooling fans and minimize the probability of in-service failures, accomplish the following:

(A) On all aircraft with more than 100 hours' time in service, within the next 25 hours' time in service, unless already accomplished within the previous 75 hours'

time in service and thereafter at intervals not to exceed 100 hours' time in service from the last inspection, remove and visually inspect the alternator cooling fan for damage, distortion, cracks, or breaks. Replace all alternator cooling fans found damaged, distorted, cracked, or broken with an airworthy fan prior to return to service. Replacement and/or reinstallation of the fan should be accomplished in accordance with the directions set forth in Prestolite Service Bulletin No. ASM-4 revised December 27, 1971.

Note: When removing and replacing the pulley retaining nut do not use the cooling fan to prevent rotation of the alternator shaft. Use of the fan to prevent shaft rotation can result in bending and distortion of the fan that will require it to be replaced.

(B) Notification in writing must be sent to Chief, Engineering and Manufacturing Branch, FAA, Central Region, of any defective condition found during inspections required by this AD, including alternator model and serial number. Malfunction or Defect Report, FAA, Form 8330-2, may be used for this purpose. (Report approved by the Bureau of the Budget under BOB No. 04-R0174.)

This amendment becomes effective January 5, 1972.

(Secs. 313(a), 601, 603, Federal Aviation Act of 1958, 49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, 1423; sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act, 49 U.S.C. 1655(c))

Issued in Kansas City, Mo., on December 22, 1971.

CHESTER W. WELLS,
Acting Director, Central Region.
[FR Doc.72-21 Filed 1-3-72;8:46 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 71-NE-6]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Alteration of Control Zone and Transition Area

On page 19398 of the FEDERAL REGISTER dated October 5, 1971, the Federal Aviation Administration published a notice of proposed rule making which would alter the Montpelier, Vt., control zone (36 F.R. 2107) and transition area (36 F.R. 2236)

Interested persons were given 30 days to submit written comments, suggestions, or objections regarding the proposed amendment.

No objections have been received and the proposed amendment is hereby adopted without change and is set forth below.

In view of the foregoing, the proposed regulations are hereby adopted effective 0901 G.m.t., March 2, 1972.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958, 49 U.S.C. 1348; sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act, 49 U.S.C. 1655(c))

Issued in Burlington, Mass., on December 16, 1971.

W. E. CROSBY, Jr.,

Deputy Director,

New England Region.

1. Amend § 71.171 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to delete the description of the Montpelier, Vt., Control Zone and insert the following in lieu thereof:

Within a 5-mile radius of the center, 44°12′15″ N., 72°33′45″ W., of Edward F. Knapp (Barre-Montpelier) State Airport, Barre-Montpelier, Vt.; within 3 miles each side of the Montpelier VOR 163° radial extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 8 miles south of the VOR; within 2 miles each side of the centerline of Runway 23 extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 8 miles southwest of the end of Runway 23.

2. Amend § 71.181 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to delete the description of the Montpelier, Vt., 700-foot transition area and insert the following in lieu thereof:

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 10-mile radius of the center, 44°12′15″ N., 72°33′45″ W., of Edward F. Knapp (Barre-Montpeller) State Airport, Barre-Montpeller, Vt.; within 6.5 miles west and 5 miles cast of the Montpeller VOR 163° radial extending from the 10-mile radius zone to 11.5 miles south of the VOR.

[FR Doc.72-22 Filed 1-3-72;8:46 am]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Alteration of Transition Area

On November 11, 1971, a notice of proposed rule making was published in the Federal Register (36 F.R. 21601) stating that the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) was considering amendments to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations that would alter the description of the Pasco, Wash., transition area.

Interested persons were given 30 days in which to submit written comments, suggestions, or objections. No objections have been received and the proposed amendments are hereby adopted without change.

Effective date. This amendment shall be effective 0901 G.m.t. 2 March 1972.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended, 49 U.S.C. 1348(a); ccc. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act, 49 U.S.C. 1655(c))

Issued in Seattle, Wash, on December 23, 1971.

C. B. WALK, Jr., Director.

In § 71.181 (36 F.R. 15113) the description of the Pasco, Wash, transition area is amended as follows:

Add: "* * * that air space extending upward from 1,200 feet above the surface, southwest of Pasco, Wash., bounded on the north by the south edge of V-298, on the east by the west edge of V-112W and on the southwest by the northeast edge of V-4."

[FR Doc.72-23 Filed 1-3-72;8:46 am]

[Airopace Docket No. 71-SO-167]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Designation of Transition Area

On November 19, 1971, a notice of proposed rule making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (36 F.R. 22071), stating that the Federal Aviation Administration was considering an amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations that would designate the Americus, Ga., transition area.

Interested persons were afforded an opportunity to participate in the rule making through the submission of comments. All comments received were favorable.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended, effective 0901 G.m.t., March 2, 1972, as hereinafter set forth.

In § 71.181 (36 F.R. 2140), the following transition area is added:

AMERICUS, GA.

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 6.5-mile radius of Souther Field (lat. 32°07'00" N., long. 84°11'30" W.); within 3 miles each side of the 047° bearing from Souther RBN (lat. 32°07'00" N., long. 84°11'30" W.), extending from the 6.5-mile-radius area to 8.5 miles northeast of the RBN.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958, 49 U.S.C. 1348(a); sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act, 49 U.S.C. 1655(c))

Issued in East Point, Ga., on December 23, 1971.

JAMES G. ROGERS, Director, Southern Region.

[FR Doc.72-24 Filed 1-3-72;8:47 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 71-WA-2B]

PART 75—ESTABLISHMENT OF JET ROUTES AND AREA HIGH ROUTES

Designation of Area High Routes

On November 3, 1971, F.R. Doc. 71–15970 was published in the Federal Register (36 F.R. 21030) with an effective date of January 6, 1972.

This document amended Part 75 of the Federal Aviation Regulations, in part, by establishing area navigation routes 1826R, J827R, J830R, J834R, J836R, J839R, J846R, and J849R serving parts of the central and eastern United States.

New area high route, J836R, between Kansas City, Mo., and Chicago, Ill., inadvertantly listed Dubuque, Iowa, as the reference facility for the "Bradford, Ill." waypoint. Also, new area high route, J839R, between Jacksonville, Fla., and Atlanta, Ga., listed "Woodbine, Ga," as the name for the first waypoint. However, this waypoint location is nearly coincident with the "Kings" intersection on low altitude airway Victor 267; therefore, the waypoint should also be named "Kings." Action is taken herein to reflect these two changes.

Since this amendment is minor in nature with no substantive change in the regulation, notice and public procedure thereon are unnecessary, and good cause exists for making this amendment effective on less than 30 days notice.

In consideration of the foregoing, effective upon publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER (1-4-72), F.R. Doc. 71-15970 (36 F.R. 21030) is amended as hereinafter set forth.

In J826R delete second waypoint information "Bradford, Ill., 41°09'35"/ 89°35′16" Dubuque, Iowa" and substitute "Bradford, Ill., 41°09'35"/89°35'16" Iowa City, Iowa" therefor. Also, in J839R de-City, Iowa" therefor. Also, in Josse Clete first waypoint information "Woodbine, Ga., 30°45'00"/81°44'02" Savannah, Ga." and substitute "Kings, Ga., Savannah, Ga." therefor.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958, 49 U.S.C. 1348(a)); sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act, 49 U.S.C. 1655(c))

Issued in Washington, D.C., on December 23, 1971.

T. McCORMACK. Acting Chief, Airspace and Air Traffic Rules Division.

[FR Doc.72-25 Filed 1-3-72;8:47 am]

Title 21—FOOD AND DRUGS

Chapter I-Food and Drug Administration, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare

SUBCHAPTER B-FOOD AND FOOD PRODUCTS PART 121-FOOD ADDITIVES

Subpart C-Food Additives Permitted in Feed and Drinking Water of Animals or for the Treatment of Food-**Producing Animals**

MINERAL OIL AS A PERMITTED INGREDIENT IN FEED GRADE BIURET

The Commissioner of Food and Drugs. having evaluated data in a petition (MF-3370V) filed by The Dow Chemical Co., Post Office Box 1706, Midland, Mich. 48640, and other relevant material, concludes that the food additive regulations should be amended as set forth below to provide for the safe use of mineral oil as a permitted ingredient in feed grade biuret.

Therefore, pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 409(c)(1), 72 Stat. 1786; 21 U.S.C. 348(c)(1)) and under authority delegated to the Commissioner (21 CFR 2.120), Part 121 is amended:

1. In § 121.246(b) by adding a new subparagraph, as follows:

§ 121.246 Mineral oil.

(b) * * *

(4) To serve as a diluent carrier in the manufacture of feed grade biuret in accordance with good manufacturing practice.

(a), as follows:

§ 121.328 Feed grade biuret.

(a) The food additive is the product resulting from the controlled pyrolysis of urea conforming to the following specifications:

Biuret _____ 60.0 minimum. Urea ______ 15.0 maximum. Cyanuric acid and triuret ___ 21.0 maximum. Mineral oil 0.5 maximum. Total nitrogen (equivalent to 35.0 minimum. 218.75 percent crude pro-

Any person who will be adversely affected by the foregoing order may at any time within 30 days after its date of publication in the Federal Register file with the Hearing Clerk, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Room 6-88, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, Md. 20852, written objections thereto in quintuplicate. Objections shall show wherein the person filing will be adversely affected by the order and specify with particularity the provisions of the order deemed objectionable and the grounds for the objections. If a hearing is requested, the objections must state the issues for the hearing. A hearing will be granted if the objections are supported by grounds legally sufficient to justify the relief sought. Objections may be accompanied by a memorandum or brief in support thereof. Received objections may be seen in the above office during working hours, Monday through Friday.

Effective date. This order shall become effective on its date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER (1-4-72).

(Sec. 409(c)(1), 72 Stat. 1786; 21 U.S.C. 348

Dated: December 21, 1971.

R. E. DUGGAN. Acting Associate Commissioner for Compliance.

[FR Doc.72-69 Filed 1-3-72;8:50 am]

SUBCHAPTER C-DRUGS

PART 135c-NEW ANIMAL DRUGS IN ORAL DOSAGE FORMS

Sulfadimethoxine Oral Suspension

The Commissioner of Food and Drugs has evaluated a supplemental new animal drug application (43-785V) filed by Hoffman-La Roche, Inc., Nutley, N.J. 07110 proposing the safe and effective use of sulfadimethoxine oral suspension for the treatment of cats. The supplemental application is approved.

Therefore, pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 512(i), 82 Stat. 347; 21 U.S.C. 360b(i)) and under authority delegated to the Commissioner (21 CFR 2.120), Part 135c is amended in § 135c.46 by revising paragraph (d) (1) as follows:

2. In § 121.328 by revising paragraph § 135c.46 Sulfadimethoxine oral suspension.

(d) * * *

(1) It is intended for use in the treatment of sulfonamide susceptible bacterial infections in dogs and cats and enteritis associated with coccidiosis in dogs.

Effective date. This order shall be effective upon publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER (1-4-72).

(Sec. 512(1) 82 Stat. 347; 21 U.S.C. 360b(1))

Dated: December 21, 1971.

FRED J. KINGMA, Acting Director, Bureau of Veterinary Medicine.

[FR Doc.72-71 Filed 1-3-72;8:51 am]

PART 135f-NEW ANIMAL DRUGS FOR MISCELLANEOUS USE

The Commissioner of Food and Drugs has evaluated an application (42-427V) filed by Ayerst Laboratories, Inc., propos-ing the safe and effective use of tricaine methanesulfonate as an anesthetic and tranquilizer in fish and other coldblooded animals. The application is ap-

Therefore, pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 512(i), 82 Stat. 347; 21 U.S.C. 360b(i)) and under authority delegated to the Commissioner (21 CFR 2.120), a new Part 135f consisting at this time of one section is added to Title 21, as fol-

§ 135f.2 Tricaine methanesulfonate.

- (a) Chemical name. Ethyl-m-aminobenzoate methanesulfonate.
- (b) Sponsor. See code No. 038 in § 135.501(c) of this chapter.
- (c) Conditions of use. (1) It is used for the temporary immobilization of fish, amphibians, and other aquatic coldblooded animals (poikilotherms) as an aid in handling during manual spawning (fish stripping), weighing, measuring, marking, surgical operations, transport, photography, and research.
 - (2) It is used as follows:
- (i) For fish the drug is added to ambient water at a concentration of from 15 to 330 milligrams per liter depending upon the degree of anesthetization or sedation desired, the species and size of the fish, and the temperature and coftness of the water. Preliminary tests of solutions must be made with small numbers of fish to determine the desired rates of sedation or anesthesia and the appropriate exposure times for the specific lots of fish under prevailing condi-
- (ii) For amphibians and other aquatic cold-blooded animals, the drug is added to ambient water in concentrations of from 1:1000 to 1:20,000 depending upon species and stage of development.

(iii) Do not use within 21 days of harvesting fish for food. Use in fish intended for food should be restricted to Ictaluridae, Salmonidae, Esocidae, and Percidae, and water temperature should exceed 10° C. (50° F.). In other fish and in cold-blooded animals, the drug should be limited to hatchery or laboratory use.

Effective date. This order shall be effective upon publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER (1-4-72).

(Sec. 512(i), 82 Stat. 347; 21 U.S.C. 360b(i))
Dated: December 21, 1971.

FRED J. KINGMA, Acting Director, Bureau of Veterinary Medicine.

[FR Doc.72-72 Filed 1-3-72;8:51 am]

PART 148m—OLEANDOMYCIN

Oleandomycin Phosphate; Revocation

In a notice (DESI 10902) published in the Federal Register of October 15, 1970 (35 F.R. 16199), the Commissioner of Food and Drugs announced the conclusions of the Food and Drug Administration following evaluation of reports received from the National Academy of Sciences-National Research Council, Drug Efficacy Study Group, on the following preparations containing oleandomycin phosphate, marketed by Chas. Pfizer and Co., 235 East 42nd Street, New York, N.Y. 10017:

- 1. Matromycin Capsules (NDA 10-551):
- 2. Oleandomycin Intramuscular (NDA 10-902); and
- 3. Oleandomycin Parenteral (NDA 10-902).

The notice stated that these drugs were regarded as possibly effective for certain of their labeled indications. These indications have been reclassified as lacking substantial evidence of effectiveness in that such evidence has not been submitted pursuant to the notice of October 15, 1970. Accordingly, the Commissioner concludes that the antibiotic drug regulations should be amended as follows to revoke provisions for certification of such drugs.

Therefore, pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (secs. 502, 507, 52 Stat. 1050-51 as amended, 59 Stat. 463, as amended; 21 U.S.C. 352, 357) and under authority delegated to the Commissioner (21 CFR 2.120), Part 148m is amended as follows:

§ 148m.1 [Revoked]

- 1. Section 148m.1 Oleandomycin phosphate is revoked.
- 2. Section 148m.2 is revised in paragraph (b) (1) (ii) and (iii) to read as follows:

§148m.2 Troleandomycin

- (b) * * * (1) * * *
- (ii) Microbiological agar diffusion assay. Proceed as directed in § 141.110 of

this chapter, preparing the sample for assay as follows: Dissolve the sample in sufficient 80 percent isopropyl alcohol solution (solution 15) to give a stock solution of convenient concentration. Further dilute an aliquot in 0.2M potassium phosphate buffer, pH 10.5 (solution 10), to the reference concentration of 15 micrograms of troleandomycin per milliliter (estimated).

(iii) Microbiological turbidimetric assay. Proceed as directed in § 141.111 of this chapter, preparaing the sample for assay as follows: Dissolve an accurately weighed sample in sufficient 80 percent isopropyl alcohol solution (solution 15) to give a stock solution containing 1,000 micrograms per milliliter. Further dilute the stock solution with 1 percent potassium phosphate buffer, pH 6.0 (solution 1), to the reference concentration of 25 micrograms of troleandomycln per milliliter (estimated).

Any person who will be adversely affected by the removal of any such drugs from the market may file objections to this order and request a hearing, showing reasonable grounds for the hearing. The statement of reasonable grounds and request for a hearing shall be submitted in writing within 30 days after publication hereof in the Federal Register, shall state the reasons why the antibiotic drug regulations should not be so amended, and shall include a well organized and full factual analysis of the clinical and other investigational data the objector is prepared to prove in support of his objections.

A request for a hearing may not rest upon mere allegations or denials, but must set forth specific facts showing that a genuine and substantial issue of fact requires a hearing. When it clearly appears from the data incorporated into or referred to by the objections and from the factual analysis in the request for a hearing that no genuine issue of fact precludes the action taken by this order, the Commissioner will enter an order stating his findings and conclusions on such data.

If a hearing is requested and justified by the objections, the issues will be defined and a hearing examiner named to conduct the hearing. The provisions of Subpart F of 21 CFR Part 2 shall apply to such hearing, except as modified by 21 CFR 146.1(f), and to judicial review in accord with section 701 (f) and (g) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (35 F.R. 7250, May 8, 1970).

Objections and requests for a hearing should be filed (preferably in quintuplicate) with the Hearing Clerk, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Room 6-88, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, Md. 20852. Received objections and requests for a hearing may be seen in the above office during regular business hours, Monday through Friday.

Effective date. This order shall become effective 40 days after its date of publication in the Federal Register. If objec-

tions are filed, the effective date will be extended for ruling thereon. In so ruling, the Commissioner will specify another effective date.

Dated: December 27, 1971.

R. E. Duggan,
Acting Associate Commissioner
for Compliance,

[FR Doc.72-53 Filed 1-3-72;8:50 am]

Title 22—FOREIGN RELATIONS

Chapter I—Department of State
[Dept. Reg. 108.651]

PART 41—VISAS: DOCUMENTATION OF NONIMMIGRANTS UNDER THE IMMIGRATION AND NATIONALITY ACT AS AMENDED

Nonresident Alien Mexican Border Crossing Cards

Part 41, Chapter I, Title 22 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended to provide that an application for a Mexican nonresident alien border crossing card be made on Form I-190, Form FS-257 or Form FS-257a.

Section 41.128(b) is amended to read as follows:

§ 41.128 Nonresident alien Mexican border crossing cards.

(b) Application for nonresident alien Mexican border crossing card. A citizen of Mexico shall apply on Form I-190, Form FS-257 or Form FS-257a for a nonresident alien border crossing card, supporting his application with evidence of Mexican citizenship and residence, a valid unexpired Mexican passport, and one photograph, 1½ inches square. Each applicant applying at a consular office, except a child under 14 years of age, shall appear in person before a consular officer and be interrogated regarding his eligibility for a temporary visitor visa.

Effective date. The amendment to the regulations contained in this order shall become effective upon publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER (1-4-72).

The provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act (80 Stat. 383; 5 U.S.C. 553) relative to notice of proposed rule making are inapplicable to this order because the regulations contained herein involve foreign affairs functions of the United States.

(Sec. 104, 66 Stat. 174; 8 U.S.C. 1104)

[SEAL] BARBARA M. WATSON,
Administrator, Bureau of
Security and Consular Affairs.

DECEMBER 17, 1971.

[FR Doc.72-62 Filed 1-3-72;8:50 am]

Title 26—INTERNAL REVENUE

Chapter I—Internal Revenue Service, Department of the Treasury

SUBCHAPTER A-INCOME TAX
[T.D. 7158]

PART 12—TEMPORARY INCOME TAX REGULATIONS UNDER THE REVE-NUE ACT OF 1971

Election Regarding Income From Certain Aircraft and Vessels

The following regulations relate to the application of section 861(e) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954, as added by section 314 of the Revenue Act of 1971 (Public Law 92–178, 39 Stat. 497), to the election to treat income from certain aircraft and vessels as income from sources within the United States.

The regulations set forth herein are temporary and are designed to inform taxpayers of the time and manner of electing to treat income from certain aircraft and vessels as income from sources within the United States under subsection (e) of section 861 with respect to elections made after December 10, 1971, and before the issuance of regulations to be prescribed by the Commissioner and approved by the Secretary or his delegate.

In order to provide such temporary regulations under section 861(e) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954, the following regulations are adopted:

- § 12.1 Election to treat income from certain aircraft and vessels as income from sources within the United States.
- (a) In general. Under section 861(e) a taxpayer owning certain aircraft or vessels manufactured in the United States who leases them to certain United States persons may elect to treat amounts includible in gross income with respect to such aircraft or vessels as income from sources within the United States. A vessel or aircraft with respect to which an election was made carries that election with it if it is transferred pursuant to a transaction described in section 861(e) (4).
- (b) Time and manner of making election. The election by a taxpayer to treat income from certain aircraft and vessels as income from sources within the United must be made not later than the time, including extensions thereof, prescribed by law for filing the income tax return for the first taxable year selected by the taxpayer for application of the rules of section 861(e) or March 31, 1972, whichever is later. The election shall be made by a statement attached to the taxpayer's return (or an amended return) for the first taxable year for which it is to be effective. The statement shall indicate that the election is made under section 861(e), shall set forth information to identify the vessel or aircraft to which it applies, and shall state that the electing taxpayer is the owner of the aircraft or vessel. In addition the statement shall represent:

(1) That the vessel is section 38 property or would be section 38 property but for section 48(a) (5);

(2) That the vessel or aircraft is leased to a United States person who is not a member of the same controlled group of corporations (as defined in section 1563) as the taxpayer and shall include the name and taxpayer identification number of the lessee; and

(3) That the vessel or aircraft was manufactured or constructed in the United States.

A copy of the statement of election shall be sent by the taxpayer to the Commissioner of Internal Revenue (Attention ACTS:A:P:), Washington, D.C. 20224.

- (c) Special rules concerning revocation of elections and permanent regulations.
 (1) An election made in accordance with paragraph (b) of this section shall be binding unless consent to revoke is obtained from the Commissioner. An application to revoke the election will not be accepted before the promulgation of the permanent regulations under section 861(e).
- (2) If the permanent regulations which are issued under section 861(e) require the furnishing of information additional to that which was furnished with the statement of election filed pursuant to paragraph (b) of this section the taxpayer must furnish such additional information in a statement addressed to the district director, or the director of the Internal Revenue service center, with whom the election was filed. This statement must clearly identify the election and the taxable year for which it was made.

Because of the need for immediate guidance with respect to the provisions contained in this Treasury decision, it is found impracticable to issue it with notice and public procedure thereon under subsection (b) of section 553 of title 5 of the United States Code or subject to the effective date limitation of subsection (d) of that section.

(Sec. 7805, Internal Revenue Code of 1954, 68A Stat. 917; 26 U.S.C. 7805)

[SEAL] JOHNNIE M. WALTERS, Commissioner of Internal Revenue.

Approved: December 29, 1971.

EDWIN S. COHEN,
Assistant Secretary of the
Treasury.

[FR Doc.71-19170 Filed 12-30-71;8:49 am]

Title 46—SHIPPING

Chapter II—Maritime Administration,
Department of Commerce

SUBCHAPTER K—REGULATIONS UNDER PUBLIC LAW 91-469

[General Order 109, Rev., Amdt. 3]

PART 390—CAPITAL CONSTRUCTION FUND

Deposits for Taxable Years 1970 and 1971

The following regulations relate to the application of section 607 of the Mer-

chant Marine Act, 1936 (46 U.S.C. 1177), as amended by section 21(a) of the Merchant Marine Act of 1970 (84 Stat. 1026) and to the requirements of the execution of agreements relating to capital construction funds and deposits therein. The regulations set forth herein are temporary and are designed to provide transitional rules with respect to the execution of agreements relating to capital construction funds and deposits therein. The regulations are effective until the issuance of final regulations to be prescribed by the Commissioner of Internal Revenue and approved by the Secretary or his delegate and prescribed by the Secretary of Commerce or his delegate.

In order to extend the time within which deposits may be made in capital construction funds with respect to income reported on the taxpayer's 1970 or 1971 return, § 390.1 is amended to read as follows:

§ 390.1 Deposits for taxable years 1970 and 1971.

In the case of a taxable year of a taxpayer beginning after December 31, 1960, and before January 1, 1972, the rules governing the execution of agreements and deposits under such agreements shall be as follows:

(a) A capital construction fund agreement executed and entered into by the taxpayer on or prior to the due date, with extensions, for the filing of his Federal income tax return for such taxable year or years will be deemed to be effective on the date of the execution of such agreement or as of the close of business of the last regular business day of each such taxable year or years to which such deposit relates, whichever day is earlier.

(b) Notwithstanding the provisions of paragraph (a) of this section, where (1) for taxable years beginning after December 31, 1969, and prior to January 1, 1971, an application for a capital construction fund agreement is filed by a taxpayer prior to January 1, 1972, and a capital construction fund agreement is executed and entered into by the taxpayer prior to March 1, 1972, and (2) for taxable years beginning after December 31, 1970, and prior to January 1, 1972, an application for a capital construction fund agreement is filed by a taxpayer prior to January 1, 1973, and a capital construction fund agreement is executed and entered into by the taxpayer prior to March 1, 1973 (or, if earlier, 60 days after the publication of final joint regulations under section 607 of the Merchant Marine Act, 1936, as amended); then such a capital construction fund agreement will be deemed to be effective as of the close of business of the last regular business day of each such taxable year or years to which such deposit relates.

(c) Deposits made in a capital construction fund pursuant to such an agreement within 60 days after the date of execution of the agreement, or on or prior to the due date, with extensions, for the filing of his Federal income tax return for such taxable year or years, whichever date shall be later, shall be deemed to have been made on the date of the actual deposit or as of the close

of business of the last regular business day of each such taxable year or years to which such deposit relates,

whichever day is earlier.

(d) Nothing in this section shall alter the rules and regulations governing the timing of deposits with respect to existing capital and special reserve funds or with respect to the treatment of deposits for any taxable year or years other than a taxable year or years beginning after December 31, 1969, and before January 1.1972.

(Sec. 204(g), 49 Stat. 1987, as amended; 46 U.S.C. 1114; Public Law 91-469, 84 Stat. 1018; Sec. 21(a), 84 Stat. 1026)

Dated: December 30, 1971.

By Order of the Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Maritime Affairs.

> JAMES S. DAWSON, Jr., Secretary, Maritime Administration.

[FR Doc.72-152 Filed 1-3-72;8:52 am]

Title 30---MINERAL RESOURCES

Chapter 1—Bureau of Mines, Department of the Interior

SUBCHAPTER O-COAL MINE HEALTH AND SAFETY

PART 75 - MANDATORY SAFETY STANDARDS, UNDERGROUND COAL MINES

Fire Suppression Devices and Fire-Resistant Hydraulic Fluids Underground Equipment; Notice of Extension of Effective Date

On Friday, October 8, 1971 there was promulgated in the Federal Register (36 F.R. 19583), §§ 75.1107-1 through 75.1107-15 of Part 75 of Chapter I, Subchapter O, Title 30, Code of Federal Regulations, which set forth specifications for fire suppression devices required to be installed on attended and unattended underground equipment and designated suitable fire-resistant hydraulic fluids approved by the Secretary for use in hydraulic systems of such equipment. Due to a work stoppage which was in effect in numerous coal mines when the standards were promulgated their effective date was extended from November 22, 1971 to December 31, 1971 by a notice published in the Federal Register of December 9, 1971 (36 F.R. 370).

Since the original promulgation of the standards on October 8, 1971, meetings have been held with representatives of manufacturers of fire protection equipment designed for use in mines and representative of the coal mining industry. These meetings have disclosed that the -standards as promulgated would preclude the use of several innovative fire protection devices, systems and methods which would provide equivalent or superior fire protection to those required under the standards. Other deficiencies in the standards of a minor nature have also been called to our attention.

Installation of fire suppression devices and systems is a major undertaking. Once such devices and systems are installed they are not easily modified and in any case could not be modified and remain within the requirements of the standards.

Accordingly, the effective date of the standards relating to fire suppression devices and fire resistant hydraulic fluids which were promulgated October 8, 1971 (36 F.R. 19583) is extended for 120 days from December 31, 1971, to April 29, 1972. Consultations and studies intended to improve the standards and to make them more flexible will be completed shortly at which time amendments and revisions of the standards will be published as proposed rule making. In the interim all of the other mandatory standards relating to fire protection in underground coal mines which are currently in effect (see .§§ 75.1100 through 75.1108-1, Part 75, Title 30, Code of Federal Regulations) will, of course, remain in effect and will be rigorously enforced.

> JOHN B. RICC. Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Interior.

DECEMBER 28, 1971.

[FR Doc.72-15 Filed 1-3-72;8:46 am]

Title 41—PUBLIC CONTRACTS AND PROPERTY MANAGEMENT

Education, and Welfare

SUBCHAPTER G-TRANSPORTATION AND MOTOR VEHICLES

PART 103-40-TRANSPORTATION AND TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT

Subpart 103-40.53-Bills of Lading

EXCEPTIONS TO USE OF U.S. GOVERNMENT BILL OF LADING FORMS

Chapter 103, Title 41, Code of Federal Regulations, is amended as set forth below. The purpose of this amendment is to provide for the settlement of transportation charges on small shipments by the imprest fund method of payment when advantageous to the Government and acceptable to the carrier involved.

It is the general policy of the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare to allow time for interested parties to take part in the rule making process. However, the amendment contained herein is minor and entirely administrative in nature. Therefore, the public rule making process is waived in this

Section 103-40.5310 is amended by revising paragraph (b) and adding paragraph (c) as follows:

§ 103-40.5310 Exceptions to use of U.S. Government Bill of Lading forms.

(b) Payment from imprest funds. Comptroller General Decision B-163758. -dated May 6, 1971, and Treasury Department Circular No. 1030, Second Re-

vision, Amendment No. 1, dated July 6. 1971, authorizes settlement of transportation charges on small shipments by the imprest fund method of payment when advantageous to the Government and agreeable to the carrier involved. The use of imprest funds for such shipments shall be in accordance with the provisions of FPR 1-3.604 and HEWPR 3-3.604, and subject to the following limitations:

(1) Transportation charges paid pursuant to the imprest fund procedures shall not exceed \$25 for any one

transaction.

(2) Imprest funds shall not be used to pay for international shipments or for household goods van shipments.

(3) Payment of transportation charges shall not be made prior to performance

of the service.

(c) Use of commercial forms and procedures. (Applicable only to Government property.) Subject to the following limitations and instructions, the head of a procuring activity or his designee (see § 3-75.103 HEWPR), may for specific circumstances, approve the use of commercial forms and procedures to procure freight or express transportation services for designated types of small shipments when he determines that this procedure is more efficient and economical than the use of Government bills of lading or the imprest fund method of payment set forth in paragraph (b) of this section. This discretionary authority is directed toward those shipping situations wherein it is cumbersome and im-Chapter 103—Department of Health,- practical to issue Government bills of lading at origin, and relatively expensive to convert commercial bills to Government bills of lading at destination, for small shipments bearing a nominal transportation charge.

(1) Limitations on the use of commercial forms. The discretionary authority to approve the use of commercial bills of lading or express receipts, for shipping property for the account of the United States, is subject to the following

limitations:

(i) The administrative authorization to use commercial forms and procedures must clearly define and describe the particular shipping circumstances and conditions in which they may be used for small shipments, following an administrative determination that commercial forms and procedures will be more efficient and economical than standard Government forms and procedures for the particular circumstances considered. A copy of each such authorization and any amendments extending, curtailing, or canceling an authorization shall be sent to the Transportation Division, U.S. General Accounting Office, Washington, D.C. 20548.

(ii) In no circumstances shall the use of commercial forms and procedures be authorized, unless the transportation charges ordinarily do not exceed \$25 per shipment and the occasional exception does not exceed this monetary limitation by an unreasonable amount.

(iii) A letter of agreement must be executed by each participating carrier (or its agent) signifying acceptance of the arrangements to use commercial bills and procedures. The letter shall contain the following provision: "The shipments covered by this agreement are subject to the terms and conditions set forth in the standard form of the U.S. Government Bill of Lading and any other applicable contract or agreement of the carrier for the transportation of shipments for the United States on Government bills of lading." The head of the procuring activity approving the use of commercial forms and procedures shall advise each participating carrier (or its agent) of this requirement. A copy of each such agreement shall be retained by the head of the procuring activity. Each participating carrier (or its agent) shall be required to file a copy of each such agreement with the appropriate fiscal office (organization and address) responsible for payment of transportation charges.

(2) Agreements. Agreements have been accepted by GSA with certain carriers for use by civilian agencies for shipments on commercial bills of lading. Such agreements are announced and published in GSA Bulletins to the Federal Property Management regulations. These agreements may be utilized when advantageous. Additional agreements, when accepted by GSA, will be announced and become available for use in a similar manner.

(5 U.S.O. 301; 40 U.S.C. 486(c))

Effective date. This amendment shall be effective upon publication in the Feberal Register (1-4-72).

Dated: December 16, 1971.

Norman B. Houston,
Deputy Assistant Secretary
for Administration.

[FR Doc.72-55 Filed 1-3-72;8:49 am]

Title 43—PUBLIC LANDS:

Chapter Il—Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior

APPENDIX---PUBLIC LAND ORDERS
[Public Land Order 5147]
[F-019125]

ALASKA

Transfer of Lands From Jurisdiction of the Department of the Air Force to Jurisdiction of the Department of the Navy; Partial Amendment of Public Land Order No. 1932

By virtue of the authority vested in the President and pursuant to Executive Order No. 10355 of May 26, 1952 (17 F.R. 4831), it is ordered as follows: 1. Subject to valid existing rights, the following described lands, which were withdrawn for use of the Department of the Air Force as a part of the Point Barrow Air Force Station by Public Land Order No. 1932 of July 31, 1959, are hereby transferred to the jurisdiction of the Department of the Navy for use in conjunction with the Arctic Research Laboratory.

BARROW, ALASKA

A parcel of land located approximately 4.5 miles northeast of Barrow, in the Second Judicial District, State of Alaska, more specifically described as follows:

Commencing at U.S.C. & G.S. Station Point Barrow—South Base; thence west a distance of 4,632.83 feet; thence horth a distance of 146 feet, more or less, to the mean high waterline of Imikpuk Lake; thence northerly a distance of 3,550 feet, more or less, along said mean high waterline to a point, said point being the true point of beginning of this description; thence N. 50° E., a distance of 700 feet; thence south a distance of 480 feet, more or less, to said mean high waterline; thence northerly along said line to the true point of beginning, containing 3.32 acres, more or less.

2. This order does not otherwise serve to change the provisions of Public Land Order No. 1932, withdrawing and reserving the lands for military purposes.

HARRISON LOESCH, Assistant Secretary of the Interior.

DECEMBER 27, 1971.

[FR Doc.72-11 Filed 1-3-72;8:45 am]

[Public Land Order 5148] [Idaho 07547]

IDAHO

Withdrawal for National Forest Administrative Site

By virtue of the authority vested in the President and pursuant to Executive Order No. 10355 of May 26, 1952 (17 F.R. 4831), it is ordered as follows:

1. Subject to valid existing rights, the following described national forest lands are hereby withdrawn from appropriation under the mining laws (30 U.S.C., Ch. 2), but not from leasing under the mineral leasing laws, in aid of programs of the Department of Agriculture:

PAYETTE NATIONAL FOREST

BOISE MERIDIAN

Warren Ranger Station House, Lot Addition T. 22 N., R. 6 E.,

Sec. 12, that portion described as follows: Commencing at corner No. 3 of Warren Ranger Station House Lot, thence S. 35° 00' W., 124.08 feet along the southeast boundary line of Warren Ranger House Lot to corner No. 4; S. 35°00' W., 50 feet to corner No. 5; S. 48°45' E., 45 feet to corner No. 6; N. 41°36' E., 173.56 feet to corner No. 7; N. 49°15' W., 65 feet to corner No. 3 the point of beginning.

The area described contains 0.22 acre in Idaho County.

2. The withdrawal made by this order does not alter the applicability of those public land laws governing the use of the national forest lands under lease, license, or permit, or governing the disposal of their mineral or vegetative resources other than under the mining laws.

Harrison Loesch, Assistant Secretary of the Interior. December 27, 1971.

[FR Doc.72-12 Filed 1-3-72;8:45 am]

[Public Land Order 5149] [AA-5597]

ALASKA

Withdrawal of Public Land and Modification of Public Land Order No. 4582, as Amended

By virtue of the authority vested in the President and pursuant to Executive Order No. 10355 of May 26, 1952 (17 F.R. 4831), it is ordered as follows:

1. Subject to valid existing rights, the following described lands are hereby withdrawn from all forms of appropriation under the public land laws, including the mining laws (30 U.S.C., Ch. 2), and from leasing under the mineral leasing laws, and reserved for use by the Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior, as an administrative site:

COPPER RIVER MERIDIAN

T. 4 N., R. 2 W.,

Sec. 23: E½SW¼, that portion lying south of the centerline of the Glenn Highway.

Containing 26.8 acres at Glennallen, Alaska.

- 2. Of the above-described lands, 2.5 acres comprising the SE½SE½SE½SE¾SW¾, have been patented under the Recreation and Public Purposes Act of June 14, 1926, 44 Stat. 471, as amended, 43 U.S.C. 869 et seq. (1970).
- 3. The remaining 24.3 acres of land described in paragraph 1 of this order are hereby removed from the terms and provisions of Public Land Order No. 4582 of January 17, 1969, as amended by Public Land Order No. 4962 of December 8, 1970, and Public Land Order No. 5081 of June 17, 1971, withdrawing all unreserved public lands in Alaska for the determination and protection of the rights of Native Aleuts, Eskimos, and Indians of Alaska.

HARRISON LOESCH,
Assistant Secretary of the Interior.

DECEMBER 27, 1971.

[FR Doc.72-13 Filed 1-3-72;8:46 am]

Proposed Rule Making

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Bureau of Customs I 19 CFR Ch. I 1

ENTRY OF MERCHANDISE INTO VIRGIN ISLANDS

Proposal Regarding Required Documents

Under Danish Law No. 64 of April 1. 1914, as amended, continued in force in the Virgin Islands of the United States. by section 4 of the Act of March 3, 1917. as amended (48 U.S.C. 1395), and section 36 of the Act of June 22, 1936 (48 U.S.C. 1406i), merchandise of foreign origin imported into the Virgin Islands from the Customs territory of the United States is dutiable regardless of whether duty was paid on its entry into the Customs territory of the United States. Merchandise which is the growth, product, or manufacture of the Customs territory of the United States, however, may be admitted into the Virgin Islands free of duty.

To enable Customs officers to determine the origin of merchandise entered in the Virgin Islands from the Customs territory of the United States so as to insure the collection of the proper amount of duty, notice is hereby given that under the authority vested in the Secretary of the Treasury by section 36 of the Act of June 22, 1936, to make rules and regulations deemed necessary for the administration of the Customs laws in the Virgin Islands, delegated to the Commissioner of Customs by Treasury Department Order No. 165, Revised (T.D. 53654, 19 F.R. 7241), it is proposed to issue a ruling in tentative form as follows;

Upon entry into the Virgin Islands of the United States from the Customs territory of the United States of merchandise claimed to be duty-free as the growth, product, or manufacture of the Customs territory of the United States, the following documents shall be filed in addition to the documents ordinarily required:

(1) When the entire shipment of merchandise is claimed to be duty-free as the growth, product, or manufacture of the Customs territory of the United States, a declaration, in duplicate, by the importer, owner, consignee, or agent, in substantially the following form:

Vessel or Aircraft Bill of Lading No Date of Arrival

(Importer, owner, (Name of firm)

consignee, or agent)
do hereby declare that the above-described shipment contains only merchandise which is the growth, product, or manufacture of the Customs territory of the United States. Signature_____

Date_

(2) When a part, but not the entire shipment, is claimed to be duty-free as the growth, product, or manufacture of the Customs territory of the United States, a declaration shall be made, in duplicate, by the importer, owner, consignee, or agent, in substantially the following form:

vessel or Aircraft
Bill of Lading No. Date of Arrival

_ of _. (Importer, owner, (Name of firm)

consignee, or agent)
do hereby declare that the above-described shipment contains merchandise which is not the growth, product, or manufacture of the Customs territory of the United States. An entry will be made for that part of the ship-ment which is not the growth, product, or manufacture of the Customs territory of the United States within the prescribed time limits.

· Signature ____ Data ...

(3) A copy of the Commercial invoice or, if necessary, a pro forma invoice. Each line or item of the invoice shall be marked by the importer, owner, consignee, or agent, to indicate the country of origin of the imported merchandise.

If the District Director in the Virgin Islands is satisfied that all the requirements of the law have been met, he may waive the requirement for filing the documents provided for in (1), (2), and (3) above.

As used in this section the term "Customs territory of the United States" includes the States, the District of Columbia, and Pucrto

Prior to the issuance of the proposed. ruling, consideration will be given to any relevant data, views, or arguments which are submitted in writing to the Commissioner of Customs, Bureau of Customs, Washington, D.C. 20226, and received not later than 30 days from the date of publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Written material or suggestions submitted will be available for public inspection in accordance with § 103.3(b) of the Customs regulations (19 CFR 103.3(b)) at the Bureau of Customs, Washington. D.C., during regular business hours.

EDWIN F. RADIS. Acting Commissioner of Customs.

Approved: December 15, 1971.

EUGENE T. ROSSIDES. Assistant Secretary of the Treasury.

[FR Doc.72-89 Filed 1-3-72;8:52 am]

Internal Revenue Service [26 CFR Part 1] INCOME TAX

Use of the Full Absorption or Modified Full Absorption Method of Inventory Valuation

Correction

In F.R. Doc. 71-18321 appearing at page 23809 in the issue of Wednesday, referendum under said act for the 1972

December 15, 1971, the following corrections should be made in Example (1) (i) of § 1.471-11(d) (3) (v) (page 23813, third column):

1. The second and third lines should refer to "the FIFO method of inventory identification".

2. The second figure in the second column of the table, "1,000", should be underscored.

[26 CFR Part]] INCOME TAX

Special Rules for Determining Tax Credit for Foreign Income Taxes Paid by Controlled Foreign Corporations

Correction

In F.R. Doc. 71–18670 appearing at page 24816 in the issue of Thursday, December 23, 1971, the following changes should be made:

- 1. In § 1.960-1(c) (3) (ii) the period at the end of the 12th line should be a comma.
- 2. In § 1.960-1(c) (4) the formula that appears under the "attributable to other income" category of the "earnings and profits" section of the table in Example 6 reading "(250[\$250×0.40])" should read "(\$250-[\$250×0.40])"
- 3. In § 1.960-2(c) (2) (ii) the period at the end of the 12th line should be a comma.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service

> [7 CFR Part 730] RICE

Notice of Marketing Quota Referendum for the 1972 Crop

Marketing quotas for the crop of rice to be produced in 1972 have been duly proclaimed pursuant to provisions of the Agricultural Adjustment Act of 1938, as amended. Said act requires a referendum to be conducted within 30 days after the date of the issuance of said proclamation of farmers who were engaged in the production of rice in 1971 to determine whether such farmers are in favor of or opposed to such quotas. Prior to establishing the date for the referendum on the 1972 crop rice, public notice (36 F.R. 13838) was given in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 553. Data, views, and recommendations were submitted pursuant to such notice. They have been considered to the extent permitted by law. It is hereby determined that the rice marketing quota

crop of rice shall be held during the period January 17 to 21, 1972, each inclusive by mail ballot in accordance with Part 717 of this chapter (33 F.R. 18345, 34 F.R. 12940, 36 F.R. 12730).

Signed at Washington, D.C., on December 28, 1971.

Kenneth E. Frick, Administrator, Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service.

[FR Doc.71-19167 Filed 12-29-71;2:23 pm]

Commodity Credit Corporation [7 CFR Part 1430] · DAIRY PRODUCTS

Loans, Purchases, and Other Operations; Price Support Program for Milk

Notice is hereby given that the Secretary of Agriculture, under authority of section 210(c) of the Agricultural Act of 1949, as amended (63 Stat. 1051, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1446), and sections 4 and 5 of the Commodity Credit Corporation Charter Act, as amended (62 Stat. 1070, as amended; 15 U.S.C. 714b and 714c), is considering the terms and conditions of the price support program for milk, for the 1972-73 marketing year beginning April 1, 1972, including the general level of prices to producers for milk and the prices for and terms of purchase by CCC of butter, nonfat dry milk, and cheddar cheese. Section 201(c) of the Agricultural Act of 1949, as amended, provides as follows: "The price of milk shall be supported at such level not in excess of 90 per centum nor less than 75 per centum of the parity price therefor as the Secretary determines necessary in order to assure an adequate supply. Such . price support shall be provided through purchases of milk and the products of

Consideration will be given to any data, views, and recommendations which are submitted in writing to the Director. Livestock and Dairy Division, Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. 20250. In order to be sure of consideration, all submissions must be received by the Director not later than 30 days after publication of this notice in the Federal Register. All written submissions made pursuant to this notice will be made available for public inspection at the Office of the Director during regular business hours (8:15 a.m.-4:45 p.m.). (7 CFR 1.27(b))

Signed at Washington, D.C., on December 27, 1971.

KENNETH E. FRICK,
Executive Vice President,
Commodity Credit Corporation.
[FR Doc.72-66 Filed 1-3-72;8:50 am]

Consumer and Marketing Service

1.7 CFR Part 1004.1

MILK IN THE MIDDLE ATLANTIC MARKETING AREA

Notice of Proposed Suspension of Certain Provisions of the Order

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to the provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601 et seq.), the suspension of certain provisions of the order regulating the handling of milk in the Middle Atlantic marketing area is being considered for the months of January and February 1972.

All persons who desire to submit written data, views, or arguments in connection with the proposed suspension should file the same with the Hearing Clerk, Room 112-A, Administration Building, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C., 20250, not later than 3 days from the date of publication of this notice in the Federal Register. All documents filed should be in quadruplicate.

All written submissions made pursuant to this notice will be made available for public inspection at the office of the Hearing Clerk during regular business hours (7 CFR 1.27(b)).

The provisions proposed to be suspended are the provisions of paragraph (c) of \$1004.15 (Producer) that follow the parenthetical text "(other than a producer-handler plant)" as it appears in the first sentence.

If this proposed action is taken, paragraph (c) of § 1004.15 would read as follows:

§ 1004.15 Producer.

(c) A dairy farmer with respect to milk which is diverted to a nonpool plant (other than a producer-handler plant).

The proposed suspension would remove all limitations on producer milk diverted to nonpool plants during the months of January and February 1972. Milk diversions otherwise are limited (during the months of September through February) to not more than 10 days' production of an individual producer or in the alternative, in the case of a cooperative association diverting milk for its account to nonpool plants, not more than 25 percent of the volume of milk of all members of such cooperative association received at all pool plants during the month. Likewise, a proprietary handler may divert milk under the alternative 25 percent limitation. This limitation applies to the proprietary plant's nonmember producers.

This suspension was requested on behalf of 23 nonmember producers who ship to a proprietary handler operating both a pool plant and a nonpool manufacturing plant in Philadelphia, Pa. The handler has advised these producers that on or about January 1, 1972, they may

not have a market with him unless relief sought through this proposed suspension is granted.

Loss of a substantial Government contract effective January 1, 1972, decreases the handler's need for milk for Class 1 use. Petitioning producers claim the diversion provisions are too stringent to permit the handler to divert their milk to his nonpool manufacturing plant while maintaining producer status for all producers currently shipping to his plant. Thus, they say the producers' market for milk is in jeopardy. The suspension is requested to insure a continuing market for these producers during January and February when the diversion limitations are operative.

Signed at Washington, D.C., on December 27, 1971.

JOHN C. BLUM, Deputy Administrator, Regulatory Programs.

[FR Doc.72-6 Filed 1-3-72;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Food and Drug Administration
I 21 CFR Part 27 1

CRANBERRY JUICE COCKTAIL DRINKS

Definitions and Standards of Identity;
Notice of Withdrawal of Petition
and of Assumption of Sponsorship
of All Further Activity in the Matter
by the Commissioner of Food and
Drugs

In the matter of establishing definitions and standards of identity for cranberry juice cocktail—a juice drink and for artificially sweetened cranberry juice cocktail—a juice drink:

A notice of proposed rule making in the above-identified matter was published in the Federal Register of March 2, 1967 (32 F.R. 3469), based on a petition filed by Ocean Spray Cranberries, Inc., Hanson, Mass. 02341. An order establishing definitions and standards of identity was published on April 11, 1968 (33 F.R. 5617). Because of objections filed, a notice staying the effective date of the order pending a hearing was published on July 13, 1968 (33 F.R. 10088).

In a letter to the Commissioner, Ocean Spray Cranberries, Inc., has withdrawn its petition to establish definitions and standards of identity for cranberry juice cocktail—a juice drink and for artificially sweetened cranberry juice cocktail—a juice drink. The Commissioner considers the definitions and standards of identity to be in the interest of consumers; he does not wish to cancel them at this time. Accordingly, he assumes sponsorship in lieu of the original petitioner for all further activity regarding this matter.

This action is taken pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (secs. 401, 701, 52 Stat. 1046, 1055-56 as amended by 70 Stat. 919 and 72 Stat. 948; 21 U.S.C. 341, 371) and under authority delegated to the Commissioner of Food and Drugs (21 CFR

Dated: December 22, 1971.

R. E. DUGGAN, Acting Associate Commissioner for Compliance.

[FR Doc.72-73 Filed 1-3-72;8:51 am]

DEPARTMENT OF **TRANSPORTATION**

Federal Aviation Administration

[14 CFR Part 71]

[Airspace Docket No. 71-WE-59]

CONTROL ZONE AND TRANSITION AREA

Proposed Designation

The Federal Aviation Administration is considering amendments to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations that would establish a control zone and transition area for Thermal Airport, Calif.

Interested persons may participate in the proposed rule making by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submited in triplicate to the Chief, Airspace and Procedures Branch, Federal Aviation Administration, 5651 West Manchester Boulevard, Post Office Box 92007, Worldway Postal Center, Los Angeles, CA 90009. All communications received within 30 days after publication of this notice in the Federal Register will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendments. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Administration officials may be made by contacting the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief. Any data, views, or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

A public docket will be available for examination by interested persons in the office of the Regional Counsel, Federal Aviation Administration, 5651 West Manchester Boulevard, Los Angeles, CA 90045.

A new instrument approach procedure (VOR/DME Rwy 35/30) has been developed for Thermal Airport. The Thermal VORTAC 155° T (140° M)

incorporated extending clockwise from the 107° M to the 140° M radial.

The airspace requirements Thermal and Bermuda Dunes, Calif., have been reviewed in accordance with criteria contained in the U.S. Standard for Terminal Instrument Procedures (TERPs). The review revealed that a control zone and a small portion of 700 foot and 1,200 for transition area is required to provide controlled airspace protection for aircraft executing prescribed instrument procedures at Thermal Airport. The 700-foot portion of the transition area for Bermuda Dunes Airport remains unchanged.

If the proposed airspace actions are adopted the Twentynine Palms, Calif., transition area will be amended to reflect the transition area changes.

In consideration of the foregoing, the FAA proposes the following airspace actions.

In § 71.171 (36 F.R. 2055) the following control zone is added:

THERMAL, CALIF.

Within a 5-mile radius of Thermal Airport (latitude 33°37'40" N., longitude 116°09'45" W.)

In § 71.181 (36 F.R. 2140) the following transition area is added:

THERMAL, CALIF.

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within 3.5 miles each side of the Thermal VORTAC 140° radial, extending from the VORTAC to 8 miles southeast of the VORTAC, within 3.5 miles southwest of and parallel to the Thermal VORTAC 155° radial, extending from the VORTAC to 6.5 miles southeast of the VORTAC to 6.5 miles southeast of the VORTAC and within 3 miles each side of the TAC and within 3 miles each side of the Thermal VORTAC 324° radial, extending from the VORTAC to 16 miles northwest of the VORTAC; that airspace extending upward from 1,200 feet above the surface within 9.5 miles northeast and 5 miles southwest of the Thermal VORTAC 140° radial extending from the VORTAC to 20 miles southeast of the VORTAC, excluding the portion within

This amendment is proposed under the authority of section 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended (49 U.S.C. 1348(a)), and of section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).

Issued in Los Angeles, Calif., on December 22, 1971.

> ROBERT O. BLANCHARD, Acting Director, Western Region. [FR Doc.72-27 Filed 1-3-72;8:47 am]

[14 CFR Part 71]

[Airspace Docket No. 71-WE-64]

TRANSITION AREA

Proposed Alteration

The Federal Aviation Administration radial is utilized for final approach is considering an amendment to Part 71 course and 13 NM DME transition is of the Federal Aviation Regulations that

would alter the description of the Marys-ville, Calif., transition area.

Interested persons may participate in the proposed rule making by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Chief, Airspace and Procedures Branch. Federal Aviation Administration, 5651 West Manchester Avenue, Post Office Box 92007, Worldway Postal Center, Los Angeles, CA 90009. All communications received within 30 days after publication of this notice in the Federal Register will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Administration officials may be made by contacting the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief. Any data, views, or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposalcontained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

A public docket will be available for examination by interested persons in the office of the Regional Counsel, Federal Aviation Administration, 5651 West Manchester Boulevard, Los Angeles, CA 90045.

A new VOR-A instrument approach procedure has been developed for Oroville Municipal Airport, Calif., utilizing the Marysville VOR 355° T (337° M) radial for final approach radial. The additional 700-foot portion of the transition area is required to provide controlled airspace protection for that portion of the approach not contained in existing controlled airspace.

In consideration of the foregoing, the FAA proposes the following airspace action.

In § 71.181 (36 F.R. 2140) the description of the Marysville, Calif. transition area is amended as follows:

In the third line of the text delete the numeral " * * 8 * * * and substitute "• • • 9 • • •" therefor. In the fourth line of the text delete "* * * 6.5 * * *" and substitute "* * * 17 * * *" there-

This amendment is proposed under the authority of section 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended (49 U.S.C. 1348(a)), and of section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).

Issued in Los Angeles, Calif., on December 22, 1971.

ROBERT O. BLANCHARD, Acting Director, Western Region. [FR Doc.72-28 Filed 1-3-72;8:47 am]

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

[18 CFR Part 141]

[Docket No. R-432]

MONTHLY REPORT OF COST AND QUALITY OF FUELS FOR STEAM-ELECTRIC PLANT

Notice of Extension of Time

DECEMBER 27, 1971.

On December 17, 1971, Edison Electric Institute filed a request for an extension of time of 30 days within which to file comments in the above-designated matter.

Upon consideration, notice is hereby given that the time is extended to and including January 11, 1972, within which any interested person may submit data, views, comments, or suggestions in writing concerning the notice of proposed rule making, issued November 26, 1971 (36 F.R. 23163), in the above-designated matter.

MARY B. KIDD, Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.72-34 Filed 1-3-72;8:47 am]

Notices

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Bureau of Customs [422.2]

"STELMOR" COOLED STEEL WIRE RODS

Proposed Tariff Classification

Steel wire rods, subjected to the "Stelmor" controlled cooling process, appear to be classifiable under item 608.73 or 608.75, Tariff Schedules of the United States (TSUS), as wire rods of iron or steel, other than alloy iron or steel, tempered, treated, or partly manufactured, valued not over 4 cents per pound or valued over 4 cents per pound, dutiable at the rates of 0.2 cent and 0.375 cent per pound, respectively.

This classification appears to be necessary because merchandise so processed has been tempered, treated, or partly manufactured.

Pursuant to § 16.10a(d) of the Customs regulations, notice is hereby given that there is under review in the Bureau of Customs the existing and uniform practice of classifying "Stelmor" controlled cooled steel wire rods under the provisions for rods of iron or steel, other than alloy iron or steel, not tempered, not treated, and not partly manufactured, valued not over 4 cents per pound or valued over 4 cents per pound, in item 608.70 or 608.71, TSUS.

Consideration will be given to any relevant data, views, or arguments pertaining to the correct tariff classification of this merchandise which are submitted to the Commissioner of Customs, Bureau of Customs, Washington, D.C. 20226. To assure consideration, such communication must be received in the Bureau not later than 30 days from the date of publication of this notice.

[SEAL]

EDWIN F. RAINS. Commissioner of Customs.

Approved: December 17, 1971.

EUGENE T. ROSSIDES. Assistant Secretary of the Treasury.

[FR Doc.72-88 Filed 1-3-72;8:52 am]

Internal Revenue Service NOTICE OF GRANTING OF RELIEF

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 925(c) the following named persons have been granted relief from disabilities imposed by Federal laws with respect to the acquisition, transfer, receipt, shipment, or possession of firearms incurred by reason of their convictions of a term exceeding 1 year.

It has been established to my satisfaction that the circumstances regarding the convictions and each applicant's record and reputation are such that the applicant will not be likely to act in a manner dangerous to public safety, and that the granting of the relief will not be contrary to the public safety.

Buckmeyer, John Fred, 805 Southeast Tenino Street, Portland, OR, convicted on December 14, 1959, in the Circuit Court of

Multnomah County, Oreg. Coon, Jack Lynne, 802 South Lucas, Iowa City, IA, convicted on November 20, 1962, by the District Court, Council Bluffs, Iowa; and on April 24, 1964, by the District Court, Logan, Iowa.

Davidson, Carl L., Jr., 1120 North Holly, Sloux Falls, SD, convicted on May 28, 1964, by the Circuit Court of Minnehaha County, Sioux Falls, S. Dak.

Femiani, Ernest Eugene, 4300 Government Road, Richmond, VA, convicted on December 24, 1969, in the Henrico County Circuit Court. Va.

Guadagno, Rosolino, 1120 59th Street, Brooklyn, NY convicted on May 6, 1937, in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of New York.

Hamilton, James Wesley, 10 High Street, Millinocket, ME, convicted on April 29, 1968, in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas.

Heika, Ronald William, 10786 Haverhill, Detroit, MI, convicted on July 17, 1956, by the Recorder's Court of the City of Detroit, Mich.

King, James, Sr., 4758 Sturtevant, Detroit, MI, convicted on September 17, 1957, by the U.S. District Court in and for the Eastern District of Michigan.

Kutsoginis, William B., 5366 Pontiac Lake Road, Pontiac, MI, convicted on December 23, 1946, by the Detroit Recorder's Court, Detroit, Mich.

Lee, James S., 805 Russell Lane, Yakima, WA, convicted on December 13, 1961, in the Superior Court of the State of Washington, in and for Yakima County.

Long, George, 5301 Heath Street, Clarkston, MI, convicted on July 15, 1957, in the Circuit Court for the County of Oakland, Mich.

Longpree, Ferdinand Ernest, 8127 Abington. Post Office Box 4434, Detroit, MI, convicted on November 22, 1954, by the Recorder's Court of the City of Detroit, Mich.

McMahon, Joseph Patrick, 300 North Sumner, Box 38, Tombstone, AR, convicted on January 6, 1956, by the Circuit Court of the 19th Judicial Circuit, in and for the County of Lake, Ill.

Manges, Clinton, 6701 Blanco Road, San Antonio, TX, convicted on October 8, 1965, in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Texas.

Miller, Wilbur Gene, 417 North Michigan, Lake City, Iowa, convicted on August 1, 1969, in the U.S. District Court, Northern District of Iowa, Central Division.

Moore, Thomas L., Jr., 1219 Barracks Street, New Orleans, LA, convicted on February 25, 1969, in the U.S. District Court Augusta,

crimes punishable by imprisonment for Nelson, Robert C., 1411 North Dakota Avenue, Sloux Falls, SD, convicted on June 3, 1963, in Minnehaba County Circuit Court, South Dakota.

Parcons, Gerald Lee, 1734 Northeast 146th Street, Seattle, WA, convicted on Sep-tember 11, 1961, in the 17th Judicial Dis-trict Court, Adams County, Colo. Pyburn, Ralph Waldo, 5215 Southeast 79th

Avenue, Portland, OR, convicted on December 20, 1935, by the Circuit Court, in and for the County of Union, La Grande,

Shillinger, Charles Eugene, 23591 Lawson, Warren, MI, convicted on January 6, 1958, by the Macomb County Circuit Court, Macomb County, Mich. Tate, Thomas, 3917 Sheridan Avenue, De-

troit, MI, convicted on January 4, 1940, in the Recorder's Court for the City of Detroit, Mich.; and on February 21, 1950, in the Wayne County, Mich., Circuit Court.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 17th day of December 1971.

[SEAL] REX D. DAVIS. Director, Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms Division.

[FR Doc.72-67 Filed 1-3-72:8:50 am]

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management [A 6451]

ARIZONA

Notice of Proposed Withdrawal and Reservation of Lands

The Bureau of Land Management, U.S. Department of the Interior, has filed an application, Serial No. A 6451, for the withdrawal of the lands described below from all forms of disposal or appropriation, including the mining laws, except that the land will remain open to the operation of the mineral leasing laws. The withdrawal would be made subject to valid existing rights.

The Bureau of Land Management desires these lands for protection of the scenic, wildlife, archeological, and recreational values of the Virgin Gorge Recreation Lands area.

For a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this notice, all persons who wish to submit comments, suggestions, or objections in connection with the proposed withdrawal, may present their views in writing to the undersigned officer of the Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior, 3022 Federal Building, Phoenix, Ariz. 85025.

If circumstances warrant it, a public hearing will be held at a convenient time and place, which will be announced.

The determination of the Secretary on the application will be published in the Federal Register. A separate notice will ber 16, 1956, is hereby canceled to the 24008, for information as to the requirements to each interested party of record. extent that it affects the following dements of the proposed permit. The lands involved in the application

GILA AND SALT RIVER MERIDIAN T. 40 N., R. 14 W., Sec. 5, lot 4; Sec. 6, lots 1, 2, and 3. T. 41 N., R. 13 W., Sec. 1, W½SW½; Sec. 2, lots 1 to 4, inclusive, S½N½, and Sec. 3, lot 1, S%NE%, and S% Sec. 4, lots 3 and 4, S%NW1/4, SW1/4, and SE¼; Sec. 5, lots 1 to 4, inclusive, S1/2N1/2, and Sec. 6, lots 1 to 7, inclusive, S½NE¼, SE¼ NW¼, E½SW¼, and SE¼; Sec. 7, lots 1 to 4, inclusive, E1/2 W1/2, and E1/2; Secs. 8, 9, and 10; Sec. 11; N¼ and N½S½; Sec. 12; W½NW¼, SE¼NW¼, SW¼, and SW¼SE¼; Sec. 15, N½NW¼; Sec. 16, N½NE¼, SW¼NE¼, NW¼, and NW¼SW¾; Sec. 17: Sec. 18, lots 1 to 4, inclusive, E1/2W1/2, and E½; Sec. 19, lots 1 to 4, inclusive, NE¼NE¼, W%NE%, and E%NW%; Sec. 30, lots 1 to 4, inclusive. T. 41 N., R. 14 W., Sec. 1, lots 1 to 4, inclusive, S1/2 N1/2, and S½; Sec. 2, lots 1 and 2, S½NE¼, S½SW¼, and SE14

Sec. 3, 5½ SE½; Sec. 9, 5½; Secs. 10 to 15, inclusive; Sec. 16, NE¼, S½, and E½NW¼; Sec. 20, NE¼SE¼, S½SE¼, and SE¼NE¼; Secs. 21 to 25, inclusive; Sec. 26, N½NE¼ and NW¼; Secs. 27, 28 and 29; Sec. 30, lots 2 and 3, SE¼NW¼, S½NE¼, E1/2SW1/4, and SE1/4; Sec. 31, lots 1 and 2, NE1/4, E1/2 NW1/4, and E1/2SE1/4; Sec. 32; Sec. 33, N½NE¼, SW¼NE¼, and W½; Sec. 34, N½N½; Sec. 36, N½N½. T. 42 N., R. 13 W.

The areas described above aggregate approximately 23,070.31 acres.

Sec. 31, lot 6, SE¼SW¼, and SW¼SE¼; Sec. 32, E½SW¼, and SE¼;

Dated: December 22, 1971.

Sec. 33, SW 1/4.

Sec. 35, E1/2 SE1/4;

T. 42 N., R. 14 W.,

Sec. 36, 81/2.

JOE T. FALLINI, State Director.

[FR Doc.72-14 Filed 1-3-72:8:46 am]

Geological Survey [Power Site Cancellation 311] SAN PEDRO RIVER, ARIZ. **Power Site Cancellation**

Pursuant to authority under the Act of March 3, 1879 (20 Stat. 394; 43 U.S.C. 31), and 220 Departmental Manual 6.1, Power Site Classification 438 of Novemscribed land:

GILA AND SALT RIVER MERIDIAN

T. 7 S., R. 16 E.,

```
Sec. 1, SW¼;
Sec. 2, lots 1 to 4, inclusive, S½N½, and
   S½;
Sec. 3, lot 1;
   Sec. 4, lot 14;
   Sec. 10, lot 7 and SE14SE14;
   Sec. 11, S½S½;
Sec. 12, S½SW¼;
Sec. 13, W½W½;
   Sec. 14;
   Sec. 15, lots 10 and 12, and NE1/4 NE1/4;
  Sec. 23, E½, E½NW¼, and NW¼NW¼;
Sec. 24, W½W½, SE¼NW¼, and NE¼
   SW14;
Sec. 25, W1/2 and SW1/4 SE1/4;
   Sec. 26, E1/2 NE1/4, NW1/4 NE1/4, and NE1/4
SE%.
T. 8 S., R. 16 E.,
Sec. 1, lot 1;
Sec. 2, lot 1 and SE% NE%;
   Sec. 12, E½NW¼.
T. 7S., R. 17E.
Sec. 6, W½SE¼.
T. 8 S., R. 17 E.,
   Sec. 6, lot 6;
   Sec. 7, E1/2 NW1/4.
```

The area described aggregates about 3,647 acres.

> W. A. RADLINSKI, Acting Director.

DECEMBER 28, 1971.

[FR Doc.72-56 Filed 1-3-72;8:49 am]

National Park Service BLUE RIDGE PARKWAY, VA.

Notice of Intention To Issue Concession Permit

Pursuant to the provisions of section 5, of the Act of October 9, 1965 (79 Stat. 969; 16 U.S.C. 20), public notice is hereby given that thirty (30) days after the date of publication of this notice, the Department of the Interior, through the Superintendent, Blue Ridge Parkway, proposes to issue a concession permit to Richard Brogan authorizing him to provide concession facilities and services for the public at Roanoke Mountain Campground on the Blue Ridge Parkway for the period from January 1, 1972, through December 31, 1973.

The foregoing concessioner has performed his obligations under a prior permit to the satisfaction of the National Park Service and, therefore, pursuant to the Act cited above, is entitled to be given preference in the renewal of the permit and in the negotiation of a new permit. However, under the Act cited above, the National Park Service is also required to consider and evaluate all proposals received as a result of this notice. Any proposal to be considered and evaluated must be submitted within thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice.

Interested parties should contact the Superintendent, Blue Ridge Parkway, Post Office Box 1710, Roanoke, VA

GRANVILLE B. LILES, Superintendent, Blue Ridge Parkway.

NOVEMBER 19, 1971.

[FR Doc. 72-57 Filed 1-3-72:8:49 am]

NATIONAL CAPITAL PARKS

Notice of Intention To Extend **Concession Contract**

Pursuant to the provisions of section 5, of the Act of October 9, 1965 (79 Stat. 969; 16 U.S.C. 20), public notice is hereby given that thirty (30) days after the date of publication of this notice, the Department of the Interior, through the Director of the National Park Service, proposes to extend the concession contract with S. G. Leosser Co. authorizing it to provide concession facilities and services for the public within the National Capital Parks, for a period of two (2) years from January 1, 1972 through December 31, 1973.

The foregoing concessioner has performed its obligations under the expiring contract to the satisfaction of the National Park Service, and therefore, pursuant to the Act cited above, is entitled to be given preference in the renewal of the contract and in the negotiation of a new contract. However, under the Act cited above, the Secretary is also required to consider and evaluate all proposals received as a result of this notice. Any proposal to be considered and evaluated must be submitted within thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice.

Interested parties should contact the Chief, Division of Concessions Management, National Park Service, Washington, D.C. 20240, for information as to the requirements of the proposed contract.

LAURENCE C. HADLEY, Assistant Director. National Park Service.

DECEMBER 21, 1971.

[FR Doc.72-60 Filed 1-3-72;8:49 am]

NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC **PLACES**

Additions, Deletions, or Corrections

By notice in the Federal Register of February 20, 1971, Part II, there was published a list of the properties included in the National Register of Historic Places. This list has been amended by a notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER of March 2 (pp. 3930-31), April 6 (pp. 6526-28), May 4 (pp. 8333-36), June 3 (pp. 10811-13), July 8 (pp. 12868-70), August 3 (pp. 14275-76), September 8 (pp. 18016-19), October 5 (pp. 19409-10), November 2 (pp. 20995-96), and December 7 (p. 23258). Further notice is hereby given that certain amendments or revisions in the nature of additions, deletions, or corrections to the previously published list are adopted as set out below.

It is the responsibility of all Federal agencies to take cognizance of the properties included in the National Register as herein amended and revised in accordance with section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, 80 Stat. 915, 16 U.S.C. 470.

The following property has been removed from the Register:

GEORGIA

Jackson County

Jefferson, Crawford W. Long Medical Museum, U.S. 129.

The following properties have been added to the National Register since December 7:

ARIZONA

Pima County

Tucson, El Tiradito (Wishing Shrine), 221 South Main.

Santa Cruz County

Nogales vicinity, Guevavi Mission Ruins, approximately 6 miles above the international boundary.

Yavapai County

Camp Verde, Fort Verde District, bounded by Hance Street on the north, Coppinger Street on the east, and Woods Street on the west.

Prescott, Old Governor's Mansion, 400 block of West Gurley.

CALIFORNIA

. Alameda County

Oakland, Mills Hall, Mills College, Mills College.

Contra Costa County

Byron vicinity, Marsh, John, Home, Marsh Creek Road, approximately 6 miles west of Byron.

Fresno County

Fresno, Old Fresno Water Tower, 2444 Fresno Street.

Los Angeles County

Los Angeles, Ennis House, 2607 Glendower Avenue.

Los Angeles, Freeman, Samuel, House, 1962 Glencoe Way.

Los Angeles, Lovell House, 4616 Dundee Drive.

Marin County

Tiburon vicinity, Angel Island, southeast of Tiburon in San Francisco Bay.

Orange County

San Juan Capistrano, Mission San Juan Capistrano, Camino Capistrano and Ortega Highway.

Sacramento County

Locke vicinity, Delta Meadows Site, 1 mile northeast of Locke.

Sacramento, Joe Mound, Discovery Park, 0.5 mile east of Interstate 5.

Sacramento, Stanford-Lathrop Home, 800 N Street.

San Diego County

San Diego, Old Town San Diego Historic Distric, approximately from the easterly line of Wallace and Washington Streets to the westerly line of Twiggs Street, and from the northerly line of Congress Street to the southerly line of Juan Street.

San Francisco County

San Francisco, U.S. Post Office and Courthouse, northeast corner of Seventh and Mission Streets.

Shasta County

Millville vicinity, Cow Greek Petroglyphs, 2.25 miles east of Millville.

Miliville vicinity, Dersch-Taylor Petroglyphs, on Dersch Road, 5 miles east of Deschutes Road, southeast of Miliville. Shasta, Shasta State Historic Park, U.S. 299.

Sierra County

Verdl vicinity, Stampede Site, west of Verdl, sec. 17, T. 19 N., R. 17 E.

Yolo County

Woodland, Woodland (Hershey) Opera House, 320 Second Street.

DELAWARE

New Castle County

Wilmington, Breck's Mill Area (Henry Clay Village), Breck's Lane and Creek Road. Wilmington, Louviers (Upper Louviers and Black Gates), 10 Black Gates Road.

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

Washington, Church of the Epiphany, 1317

Beverly, Fish Flake Hill (Front Street) Historic District, north and south sides of

GEOEGIA

Glynn County

Jekyll Island, Horton-duBignon House, Brewery Ruins, duBignon Gemetery, Riverview Drive.

ILLINOIS

Cook County

Chicago, Kimball, William W., House, 1801 South Prairie Avenue.

Fulton County

Canton, Orendorff, Ulysses G., House, 345 West Elm Street.

Morgan County

Jacksonville, Duncan Mansion (Elm Grove), 4 Duncan Place.

INDIANA

Washington County

Salem, Hay-Morrison House, 106 South College Avenue.

· Wayne County

Centerville, Centerville Historic District, bounded on the north by the corporation line, on the east by Third Street, on the south by South Street, and on the west by Willow Grove Road.

KANEAS

Allen County

Iola vicinity, Funston Home, 4 miles north of Iola on U.S. 69.

Doniphan County

White Cloud, Poulet House, Poplar Street between First and Second Streets.

Shawnee County

Topeka, Kansas State Capitol, bounded by Eighth and 10th Avenues and Jackson and Harrison Streets.

Topeka, Pottawatomic Baptist Mission Building, off West Sixth Street, 0.5 mllo west of Wanamaker Road.

Sumner County

Argonia, Salter Home, 220 West Garfield Street.

Wyandotte County

Kansas City, Huron Cemetery, Minnesota Avenue between Sixth and Seventh Streets.

LOUISIANA

Orleans Parish.

New Orleans, Pilot House (Ducayet House), 1440 Mccs Street.

MARYLAND

Baltimore (independent city)

Franklin Street Presbyterian Church and Parsonage, 504 Cathedral Street (parsonage), 100 West Franklin Street.

Frederick: County

Frederick, Rose Hill Manor, 1611 North Market Street.

Somerset County

Princess Anne, Teachle Mansion (Beckford Mansion), Mansion Street.

Wicomico County

Salisbury, Poplar Hill Mansion, 117 Elizabeth Street.

MASSACHUSETTS

Essex County

Beverly, Fish Flake Hill (Front Street) Historic District, north and south sides of Front Street from Cabot to Bartlett Streets (excluding the lot on the northwest corner of Front and Cabot Streets).

Thacher's Island, Twin Lights, 1 mile off the coast, east of Rockport.

Middlesex County

Woburn, Baldwin, Loammi Mansion, 12 Elm Street.

Norfolk-Suffolk County

Boston-Brookline, Olmsted Park System, encompassing the Back Bay Fens, Muddy River, Olmsted (Leverett) Park, Jamaica Park, Arborway, and Franklin Park.

MICHIGAN

Calhoun County

Marchall, Wagner's Block, 143 West Michigan.

Delta County

Summer Island, Summer Island Site, Summer Harbor, SE¼NW¼ sec. 27, T. 37 N., R. 19 W.

Eaton County

Vermontville, First Congregational Church, 341 South Main Street.

Huron County

Grindstone City, Grindstone City Historic District, on U.S. 25.

Ingham County

East Lansing, Eustace Hall (Horticultural Laboratory Building), Michigan State University campus.

Mason, Ingham County Courthouse, Jeffercon and Ash Streets.

Kent County

Grand Rapids, Ladies' Literary Club, 61 Sheldon SE.

Grand Rapids, St. Cecilia Society Building, 24-30 Ransom Avenue NE.

Lapeer County

Lapeer, Lapeer County Courthouse, Courthouse Square, Nepessing Street.

Lenauee County

Blicofield, First Presbyterian Church of Blissfield, 306 Franklin Street.

Mackinac County

City of Mackinac Island, Indian Dormitory, Huron Street.

Macomb County

Washington, Washington Octagon House, 5763 Van Dyke.

Marquette County

Negaunee vicinity, Jackson Mine, west of Negaunee, sec. 1, T. 47 N., B. 27 W.

Monroe County

Monroe, McClelland, Governor Robert, House, 47 East Elm.

Shiawassee County

Owosso, Curwood Castle, 224 John Street.

Wayne County

Detroit, Fort Street Presbyterian Church, 631 West Fort Street.

Detroit, Hecker, Colonel Frank J., House, 5510 Woodward Avenue.

Detroit, Pewabic Pottery, 10125 East Jefferson Avenue,

Detroit, SS. Peter and Paul Church (Roman Catholic), 629 East Jefferson Avenue. Grosse Pointe Farms, Dodge Mansion (Rose

Grosse Pointe Farms, Dodge Mansion (Terrace), 12 Lakeshore Drive.

MINNESOTA

St. Louis County

Duluth, Duluth Union Depot, Fifth Avenue West and Michigan Street.

MISSISSIPPI

Claiborne County

Port Gibson vicinity, Windsor Ruins, 12 miles southwest of Port Gibson on Mississippi 552.

Hinds County

Bolton vicinity, Champion Hill Battlefield, approximately 4 miles southwest of Bolton.

Hinds County (also in Warren County)

Smith's Station vicinity, Big Black River Battlefleld, on both banks of the Big Black River between Smith's Station and Bovina.

Jefferson County

Fayette vicinity, Springfield Plantation, 8 miles west of Fayette via Mississippi 553.

Lauderdale County

Meridian, Merrehope, 905 31st Avenue.

Warren County (also in Hinds County)

Bovina vicinity, Big Black River Battlefield. Vicksburg, Balfour House, 1002 Crawford Street.

MISSOURI

Barry County

Monett vicinity, Courdin, David W., House, 2.4 miles southeast of Monett on Blacktop Road.

NEBRASKA

Lancaster County

Lincoln, Rock Island Depot, 1944 O Street.

Otoe County

Nebraska City, U.S. Post Office, 202 South Eighth Street.

NEVADA

Nye County

Berlin, Berlin Historic District, sec. 29, T. 12 N., R. 30 E.

NEW HAMPSHIRE

Rockingham County

Portsmouth, Whidden-Ward House, 117 Deer Street.

NEW JERSEY

Burlington County

Batsto, Batsto Village, Route 542, 10 miles east of Hammonton.

Camden County

Camden, Newton Friends' Meetinghouse, 722 Cooper Street.

Mercer County

Trenton, The Old Ryan Farm, 2306 Pennington Road.

Monmouth County

Middletown, Christ Church, Middletown, 92 King's Highway.

NEW YORK

Oneida County

Utica, Utica State Hospital, 1213 Court Street.

Tompkins County

Ithaca, Clinton House, 116 North Cayuga Street.

Ulster County

Kingston, Kingston City Hall, 408 Broadway.

NORTH CAROLINA

Catawba County

Newton vicinity, St. Paul's Church and Cemetery (Lutheran), junction of North Carolina 1149 and North Carolina 1164.

Craven County

New Bern, Blades House, 602 Middle Street. New Bern, Harvey Mansion, 219 Tryon Palace Drive.

Richmond County

Rockingham, Great Falls Mill, West Washington and Broad Avenue.

Wake County

Raleigh, Dodd-Hinsdale House, 330 Hills-borough Street.

Benson County

Fort Totten vicinity, Fort Totten, south of Fort Totten.

OHO

Athens County

The Plains, Hartman Mound (Connett Mound), Ohio 682, 0.1 mile west on small road.

Brown County

Fincastle vicinity, Eagle Township Works I Mound, southeast of Fincastle off Mound Road.

Butler County

Hamilton vicinity, Fairfield Township Works I, about 3.6 miles northeast of Hamilton between Ohio 4 and the Great Miami River.

Pisgah vicinity, Union Township Works II, about 1 mile south of Pisgah.

Greene County

Cedarville vicinity, Williamson Mound State Memorial, northwest of Cedarville near U.S. 42.

Hamilton County

Elizabethtown vicinity, Fort Hill (Fort Miami), approximately 3 miles south of Elizabethtown on Brower Road.

Highland County

Pricetown vicinity, Workman Works, approximately 1.3 miles south of Pricetown.

Lake County

Fairport Harbor, Fairport Marine Museum, 129 Second Street.

Lieling County

Granville vicinity, Alligator Efflyy Mound, east of Granville off Ohio 16.

Perru Countu

Glenford vicinity, Glenford Fort, approximately 0.75 mile south of Glenford.

Preble County

College Corner vicinity, Hueston Woods Park Mound, northeast of College Corner near Little Four Mile Creek.

Trumbull County

Warren, Mahoning Avenue Historic District, 241-391 Mahoning Avenue NW.

Warren County

Franklin vicinity, Hill-Kinder Mound, northeast of Franklin off Deardoff Road.

OKLAHOMA

Johnston County

Tishomingo, Chickasaw National Capitals, Capitol Avenue, between Eights and Ninth Streets.

Mayes County

Mazie vicinity, Union Mission Site, about 5 miles northeast of Mazie.

Wagoner County

Tullahassee vicinity, Tullahassee Mission Site, northeast of Tullahassee.

OREGON

Baker County

Sumpter, Sumpter Valley Gold Dredye, southwest of town near Cracker Creek.

Klamath County

Fort Klamath vicinity, Fort Klamath Site, approximately 1.25 miles southeast of Fort Klamath.

Multnomalı County

Portland, Brown, Captain John A., House 525 Northwest 19th Avenue.

PENNSYLVANIA

Delaware County

Haverford, Federal School, Haverford-Darby Road.

Luzerne County

Eckley, Eckley Historic District.

Avenue.

Philadelphia County

Philadelphia, Billmeyer, Daniel, House, 6504 Germantown Avenue.

Philadelphia, Wanamaker, John, House, 2032 Walnut Street. Philadelphia, Wyck House, 6026 Germantown

York County

York, Gates, Horatio, House and Golden Plough Tavern, 157-159 West Market Street.

RHODE ISLAND

Newport County

Newport, Stevens, Robert, House, 261-266 Thames Street.

Providence County

Providence, Elizabeth Building, 100 North Main Street.

SOUTH CAROLINA

Anderson County

Anderson, Anderson Historic District, 14 blocks in the city of Anderson, bounded by Hampton, Main, Franklin, McDuille, Benson, and Fant Streets.

Anderson, Johnson-Morris Cottage, 220 East Morris Street. Colleton County

Walterboro, Walterboro Library Society Building, 801 Wichman Street.

Edgefield County

Edgefield vicinity, Cedar Grove, 5 miles northwest of Edgefield on U.S. 25.

Fairfield County

Winnsboro, Winnsboro Historic District, bounded on the west by Garden Street; on the south by Buchanan Street, Fairfield Country Club, and Moultrie Street; on the east by Congress Street, Zion Street, Walnut Street, and Gooding Street; and on the north by a line running from Roosevelt Street to Woodland Terrace.

Georgetown County

Georgetown, City of Georgetown Historic District, the Old City of Georgetown, bounded on the south by the Sampit River; on the west by Wood Street; on the north by U.S. 17; and on the east by Meeting Street.

Pickens County

Pendleton vicinity, Old Stone Church and Cemetery, off U.S. 76 1.5 miles north of Pendleton at the intersection of County Route 37.

Spartanburg County

Spartanburg, Seay, Jammie, House, Darby Road off Crescent Avenue, within the city limits.

TENNESSEE

Davidson County

Nashville, Jubilee Hall, Fisk University, 17th Ayenue North.

Washington County

Jonesboro vicinity, Taylor, Christopher, House, southwest of Jonesboro off Old U.S. 11-E.

TEXAS

Maverick County

Eagle Pass, Fort Duncan, bounded on the east by Monroe, on the north by Garrison, on the south by the city limits, and on the west by the Rio Grande.

VIRGINIA

Henrico County

Richmond vicinity, Flood Marker of 1771, 0.8 mile southeast of the intersection of Routes 5 and 156.

WASHINGTON

King County

Seattle, Fire Station No. 23, 18th and Columbia.

Whatcom County

Bellingham, Pickett House, 910 Bancroft Street.

WISCONSIN

Sheboygan County

Greenbush, Wade, Sylvanus, House, intersection of Wisconsin 23 and Kettle Moraine Drive in Old Wade House State Park.

ERNEST ALLEN CONNALLY,
Director, Office of Archeology
and Historic Preservation.

[FR Doc.72-107 Filed 1-3-72;8:52 am]

Office of Hearings and Appeals
[Docket No. M71-1]

NOTICES

ARMCO STEEL CORP., ET AL.

Petition Regarding Modification of an Interim Mandatory Safety Standard

In accordance with the provisions of section 301(c) of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969 (83 Stat. 742, et seq., Public Law 91-173, 30 U.S.C. section 801, et seq.), notice is hereby given that the petitioners herein have filed an amendment to their Petition to Modify Application of Interim Mandatory Safety Standard (section 303(y) (1)) which substitutes the following language for the modification which petitioners seek in this proceeding:

In any coal mine the entries used as intake and return air courses shall be separated from belt haulage entries, and each operator of such mine shall limit the velocity of the air coursed through belt haulage entries to the amount necessary to ventilate adequately such entries as prescribed in section 303(b) and to insure that the air therein shall contain less than 1 volume per centum of methane. Air coursed through belt haulage en-tries may be used to ventilate active working places, provided that an authorized representative of the Secretary, after inspection of such coal mine, may limit the velocity of or prohibit the use of the coursed air where such limitation or prohibition clearly outweighs the safety benefits of supplying such air to dilute methane and respirable dust concentrations in active working places.

This matter is scheduled for hearing before Hearing Examiner William Fauver, commencing at 9:30 a.m., February 1, 1972, in Hearing Room B, 11th Floor, Ballston Tower No. 3, 4015 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA.

A copy of the original petition and the amendment to petition are available for inspection in the Office of Hearings and Appeals at the above address.

OFFICE OF HEARINGS AND APPEALS,
JAMES M. DAY,
Director.

DECEMBER 10, 1971.

[FR Doc.72-16 Filed 1-3-72;8:46 am]

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Consumer and Marketing Service
GRAIN STANDARDS

Chattanooga, Tenn., Grain Inspection
Point

Statement of considerations. On October 30, 1971, there was published in the Federal Register (36 F.R. 20903) a notice announcing (1) a proposed transfer of the designation to operate the official grain inspection agency, as defined in section 3(m) of the U.S. Grain Standards Act (7 U.S.C. 75(m)), at Chattanooga, Tenn., and (2) the application by George E. Butler, Chattanooga, Tenn.

for designation to operate the official grain inspection agency. Inspection agencies, members of the grain trade, and other interested parties were given until November 30, 1971, to submit written data, views, or arguments with respect-to the proposed transfer and to make application for designation.

Comments were received from three members of the grain trade recommending that George E. Butler be designated to operate the official grain inspection agency at Chattanooga. No applications for designation were received other than the application from George E. Butler, and no adverse comments were received.

After due consideration of all submissions made pursuant to the notice of October 30, 1971, and all other relevant matters, and pursuant to the authority contained in sections 3(m) and 7(f) of the U.S. Grain Standards Act (7 U.S.C. 75(m) and 79(f)), the designation as the official grain inspection agency at Chattanooga, Tenn., is hereby transferred from the Chattanooga Chamber of Commerce to George E. Butler.

Effective date. This notice shall become effective February 1, 1972.

Done in Washington, D.C., this 28th day of December 1971.

G. R. Grange, Deputy Administrator, Marketing Services.

[FR Doc.72-7 Filed 1-3-72;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Food and Drug Administration
FILING OF COMMENTS ON PROPOSED RULE MAKING

Notice Regarding Extension of Time

In the past the Food and Drug Administration has ordinarily allowed a period of 30 days for interested persons to file comments regarding FDA notices of proposed rule making published in the Federal Register. In many instances the Commissioner of Food and Drugs has extended, usually for an additional 30 days, the time allowed for filing comments regarding such proposals on the basis of requests from interested persons who provided good reason for such extensions.

The Commissioner is aware that 30 days is frequently insufficient time for interested consumer, industry, or professional groups to distribute proposals to their members, hold meetings and discussions, and formulate meaningful comments regarding FDA proposed rule making. Accordingly, in the interest of obtaining as much public comment as possible on FDA proposals, the Commissioner hereby gives notice that future FDA notices of proposed rule making will ordinarily allow a period of 60 days for

interested persons to file comments unless safety or other considerations require a shorter period or unless there is a good reason for allowing a longer period

Dated: December 21, 1971.

R. E. DUGGAN, Acting Associate Commissioner for Compliance.

[FR Doc.72-3 Filed 1-3-72;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH. **EDUCATION. AND WELFARE**

Food and Drug Administration ATLAS CHEMICAL INDUSTRIES, INC. Notice of Filing of Petition for Food Additive

Pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 409 (b) (5), 72 Stat. 1786, 21 U.S.C. 348(b) (5)), notice is given that a petition (FAP 2A2746) has been filed by Atlas Chemical Industries, Inc., Wilmington, Del. 19899, proposing that §121.1029 Sorbitan monostearate and §.121.1030 Polysorbate 60 (21 CFR 121.1029, 121.1030) be amended to provide for the safe use of polysorbate 60 and sorbitan monostearate as emulsifiers in water-fat emulsion beverages.

Dated: December 17, 1971.

Virgil O. Wodicka. Director, Bureau of Foods.

[FR Doc.72-74 Filed 1-3-72;8:51 am]

HOFFMANN-LAROCHE, INC.

Notice of Filing of Petition Regarding **Color Additive Canthaxanthin**

Pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 706(d), 74 Stat. 403, 21 U.S.C. 376(d)), notice is given that a petition (CAP 1C0101) has been filed by Hoffmann-LaRoche, Inc., Nutley, N.J. 07110, proposing that § 8.326 Canthaxanthin (21 CFR 8.326) be amended to provide for the safe use of the color additive, canthaxanthin, in chicken feed as an aid in the pigmentation of chicken skin.

Dated: December 17, 1971.

VIRGIL O. WODICKA. Director, Bureau of Foods.

[FR Doc.72-54 Filed 1-3-72;8:50 am]

E. F. HOUGHTON & CO.

Notice of Filing of Petition for Food Additive

Pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 409 (b) (5), 72 Stat. 1786, 21 U.S.C. 348(b) (5)) notice is given that a petition (FAP 2B2756) has been filed by E. F. Houghton & Co., 303 West Lehigh Avenue, Phil§ 121.2526 Components of paper and paperboard in contact with aqueous and fatty foods (21 CFR 121.2526) be amended to provide for the safe use of polyamide polyamine-epichlorohydrin wat-er-soluble thermosetting resins, preprepared by reacting 1-(carboxy pentyl)-4carboxy pyrrolidone with caprolactam, diethylenetriamine, and epichlorohydrin to form a basic polyamide and further reacting the polyamide with epichlorohydrin, as components of paper and paperboard for food contact use.

Dated: December 17, 1971.

VIRGIL O. WODICKA, Director, Bureau of Foods.

[FR Doc.72-76 Filed 1-3-72;8:51 am]

PENNSYLVANIA INDUSTRIAL CHEMICAL CORP.

Notice of Withdrawal of Petition for Food Additives

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 409(b), 72 Stat. 1786, 21 U.S.C. 348(b)), the following notice is issued:

In accordance with § 121.52 drawal of petitions without prejudice of the procedural food additive regulations (21 CFR 121.52), Pennsylvania Industrial Chemical Corp., 120 State Street, Clairton, Pa. 15025, has withdrawn its petition (FAP 1B2695), notice of which was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of July 24, 1971 (36 F.R. 13805), proposing the issuance of a food additive regulation (21 CFR Part 121) to provide for the safe use of α-methylstyrenevinyltoluene copolymer resins as a component of food-contact articles.

Dated: December 17, 1971.

VIRGIL O. WODICKA, Director, Bureau of Foods.

[FR Doc.72-75 Filed 1-3-72;8:51 am]

ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

ELK RIVER REACTOR DISMANTLING, ELK RIVER, MINN.

Notice of Availability of the General Manager's Draft Environmental Statement

Notice is hereby given that a document entitled, "Draft Environmental Statement—Elk River Reactor Dismantling, Elk River, Minn.," issued pursuant to the Atomic Energy Commission's implementation of section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 is being placed in the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street NW., Washington, DC 20545, and in the Commission's Chicago Operations Office, 9800 South Cass Avenue, Argonne, IL 60439; Oak Ridge Operations Office, Post Office Box E, Oak Ridge, TN 37830; San Francisco Operations Office, 2111 Bancroft Way, Berkeley, CA 94704. This statement adelphia, Pa. 19133, proposing that is issued in support of administrative

action related to decommissioning of the Elk River Reactor by the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission.

The draft environmental statement will be furnished upon request addressed to the Assistant General Manager for Environment and Safety, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545.

Dated at Germantown, Md., this 27th day of December 1971.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

F. T. Hones, Acting Secretary of the Commission.

[FR Doc.72-29 Filed 1-3-72;8:47 am]

[Dockets Nos. 50-275, 50-323]

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC CO.

Notice of Availability of Applicant's Environmental Report and Supplements to Environmental Report

Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and the Atomic Energy Commission's regulations in Appendix D to 10 CFR Part 50, notice is hereby given that an Environmental Roport and Supplement Nos. 1 and 2 to the Environmental Report for the Diablo Canyon Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2, submitted by the Pacific Gas and Electric Co., have been placed in the Commission's Public Document Room at 1717 H Street NW., Washington, DC, and in the San Luis Obispo County Free Library, 1354 Bishop Street, San Luis Obispo, CA 93401. The reports are also being made available to the public at the Office of the Lieutenant Governor, Office of Intergovernmental Management, State Capitol. Sacramento, Calif. 95814.

These reports discuss environmental considerations related to the proposed construction of the Diablo Canyon Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2, located in San Luis Obispo County, Calif.

After the reports have been analyzed by the Commission's Director of Regulation or his designee, a draft detailed statement of environmental considerations related to the proposed action will be prepared. Upon preparation of the draft detailed statement, the Commission will, among other things, cause to be published in the Federal Register a summary notice of availability of the draft detailed statement. The summary notice will request comments from interested persons on the proposed action and on the draft statement. The summary notice will also contain a statement to the effect that the comments of Federal agencies and State and local officials thereon will be available when received.

Dated at Bethesda, Md., this 22d day of December 1971.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

RICHARD C. DEYOUNG, Assistant Director for Pressurized Water Reactors, Division of Reactor Licensing.

[FR Doc.72-8 Filed 1-3-72; 8:45 am]

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

[Docket No. 22058; Order 71-12-128]

ALLEGHENY AIRLINES, INC.

Order Granting Petition for Reconsideration

Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D.C., on the 28th day of December 1971.

On October 14, 1971, Allegheny Airlines, Inc. (Allegheny) filed a petition for reconsideration of Order 71-10-15. dated October 4, 1971, insofar as it amended the carrier's certificate for Route 97 so as to redesignate the point Martinsburg as "Hagerstown-Martinsburg" on segment 8 of Route 97. Allegheny does not request reconsideration of the hyphenation of "Hagerstown-Martinsburg" on segment 1.

In support of its petition Allegheny asserts, inter alia, that its application in Docket No. 22058 requested the deletion of Martinsburg as an intermediate point on segment 8-rather than redesignation of Martinsburg as "Hagerstown-Martinsburg;"-that by hyphenating Hagerstown and Martinsburg on segment 8, Allegheny has, in effect, been certificated to provide service to Hagerstown in markets for which no need for new service has been demonstrated. As an alternative to deletion of Martinsburg from segment 8, Allegheny requests that the Board amend its certificate to specify that service to Hagerstown-Martinsburg shall be through the Hagerstown airport.

No answers to Allegheny's petition have been received.

Upon consideration of the foregoing and all the relevant facts, we have decided to grant Allegheny's petition for reconsideration and issue an amended certificate deleting Martinsburg from segment 8. We agree with the carrier that addition of Hagerstown-Martinsburg to segment 8 could create new responsibilities for the carrier, which was not the intention of Order 71-10-15. On the other hand, the addition of Hagerstown-Martinsburg to segment 1—which Allegheny does not contest—will insure that Martinsburg will receive the benefits of being retained in Allegheny's certificate, as part of a hyphenated point. Accordingly, we conclude that the public convenience phenated and necessity require the amendment of Allegheny's certificate for route 97 in the form and manner set forth in the attached certificate. We further find that the carrier is fit, willing and able properly to perform the transportation authorized herein and to conform to the provisions of the Act and the rules, regulations and requirements of the Board thereunder.

For the purposes of determining a license fee, the fee set forth in § 389.25 of the Board's organization regulations for deletion of a single point will be applicable.

Accordingly, it is ordered, That:

1. An amended certificate of public convenience and necessity for route 97 in the form attached hereto be issued to Allegheny Airlines, Inc.;

2. Said certificate shall be signed on behalf of the Board by its Secretary, shall have affixed thereto the seal of the Board, and shall be effective on February 2, 1972: Provided, however, That the effective date of said certificate shall be automatically postponed until further Board order if the appropriate license fee is not paid pursuant to § 389.21(b) of the regulations; and 3. This order shall be published in the

FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Civil Aeronautics Board.

[SEAL] HARRY J. ZINK,

Secretary.

[Issued pursuant to Order 71-12-128]

CERTIFICATE OF PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY FOR LOCAL OR FEEDER SERVICE (AS AMENDED) FOR ROUTE 97

Allegheny Airlines, Inc., is hereby authorized, subject to the provisions hereinafter set forth, the provisions of Title IV of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, and the orders, rules, and regulations issued therounder, to engage in air transportation with respect to persons, property, and mail, as follows:

1. Between the coterminal points Walhington, D.C., and Baltimore, Md., the intermediate points Hagerstown, Md.-Martinsburg, W. Va., Harrisburg-York, Williamsport, Altoona, Johnstown, Pittsburgh, Oli City-Franklin, and Bradford, Pa., and Jamestown, N.Y., and the terminal point Buffalo,

2. Between the coterminal points Washington, D.C., and Baltimore, Md., the intermediate points Salisbury, Md., Cape May and Atlantic City, N.J., Wilmington, Del., Philadelphia, Pa.-Camden, N.J., and Trenton, N.J., and (a) beyond Trenton, the intermediate points New York, N.Y.-Newark, N.J., Islip, N.Y., Bridgeport and New Haven, Conn., Hartford, Conn.-Springfield, Mass., New London-Groton, Conn., and Providence, R.I., and the terminal point Boston, Mass., and (b) beyond Trenton, the terminal point Albany, N.Y.;

3. Between the coterminal points Memphis and Nashville, Tenn., the intermediate points and Nashville, Tenn., the intermediate points Lexington, Ky., Huntington, Parkersburg, and Wheeling, W. Va., and Pittsburgh, Pa., and (a) beyond Pittsburgh, the intermediate points Oil City-Franklin and Bradford, Pa., and Jamestown, N.Y., and the terminal point Buffalo, N.Y., and (b) beyond Pittsburgh, the intermediate points Johnstown, Altoona, and Harrisburg-York, Pa., and (i) beyond Harrisburg-York, Pa., and (ii) beyond Harrisburg-York, Pa., and (iii) beyond Harrisburg-York, Pa., and (iv) beyond Pittsburgh, the individual Harrisburgh, the individual Harrisbur burg-York, the intermediate points Allentown-Bethlehem-Easton, Pa., and the coterminal points New York, N.Y., and Newark, N.J., and (ii) beyond Harrisburg-York, the intermediate points Lancaster and Reading. Pa., and Philadelphia, Pa.-Camden, N.J., and the terminal point Atlantic City, N.J.;

4. Between the terminal point Pittsburgh, Pa., the intermediate points Johnstown, Altoona, Du Bols, Clearfield-Philipsburg, Belefonte-State College, Williamsport, Harris-burg-York, Hazelton, Scranton-Wilker-Barre, and Allentown-Bethlehem-Easton, Pa., New York, N.Y.-Newark, N.J., Islip, N.Y., Bridge-port and New Haven, Conn., Hartford, Conn.-Springfield, Mass., New London-Groton, Conn., and Providence, R.I., and the terminal point Boston, Mass.;

5. Between the terminal point Detroit, o. Between the terminal point Detroit, Mich., the intermediate points Cleveland, Ohio, Erie, Pa., Jamestown, N.Y., and Bradford, Williamsport, and Harrisburg-York, Pa., and (a) beyond Harrisburg-York, the coterminal points Washington, D.C., and Baltimore, Md., and (b) beyond HarrisburgYork, the intermediate points Lancaster, Reading, and Allentown-Bethlehem-Easton, Pa., and (i) beyond Allentown-Bethlehem-Easton, the intermediate points Scranton-Wilkee-Barre, Pa., Islip, N.Y., Bridgeport and New Haven, Conn., Hartford, Conn.-Springfield, Mass., the New London-Groton, Conn., and Providence, R.I., and the terminal point Boston, Mass., and (ii) beyond Allentown-Bethlehem-Easton, the intermediate points Philadelphia, Pa.-Camden, N.J., and Atlantic

City, N.J., and the coterminal points New York, N.Y., and Newark, N.J.; 6. Between the terminal point Philadel-phia, Pa.-Camden, N.J., and the coterminal points Norfolk-Virginia Beach-Portsmouth-

Chempeake and Newport News-Hampton-Williamsburg-Yorktown, Va.; 7. Between the terminal point Louisville, Ky., and the terminal point Pittsburgh, Pa.; 8. Between the coterminal points Washington, D.C. and Baltimore, Md., the intermediate point Elkins, W. Va., and (a) beyond Elkins, the terminal point Charleston, W. Va., and (b) beyond Eikins, the intermediate points Clarksburg-Fairmont, W. Va., Parkersburg, W. Va.-Marietta, Onio, Cincinnati, Ohio, Indianapolis and South Bend, Ind., and Kalamazoo, Mich., and the terminal point Grand Rapids, Mich.;

9. Between the terminal point Chicago, Ill., the intermediate point Lafayette, Ind., and (a) beyond Lafayette, the terminal point Indianapolis, Ind., and (b) beyond Lafayette, the intermediate points Kokomo-Logansport-Peru and Marion, Ind., and (i) beyond Marion, the intermediate points Lima and Mansfield, Ohio, and the terminal point Pittsburgh, Pa., and (ii) beyond Marion, the inburgh, Pa., and (ii) beyond Marion, the in-termediate point Muncie-Anderson-New Castle, Ind., and the terminal point Cincin-

nati, Ohio;

10. Between the terminal point Chicago,
Ill., the intermediate points Danville, Ill.,
and Terre Haute, Ind., and (a) beyond Terre Haute, the intermediate point Indianapolis, Indu, and (i) beyond Indianapolis, the terminal point Evansville Ind., and (ii) beyond Indianapolis, the intermediate points Dayton and Columbus, Ohio, and (1) beyond Columbus, the intermediate point Mansfield, Ohio, and the terminal point Cieveland, Ohio, and (2) beyond Columbus the intermediate and (2) beyond Columbus, the intermediate points Akron-Canton and Youngstown, Ohio, and Erie, Pa., and the terminal point Buffalo. N.Y., and (b) beyond Terre Haute, the intermediate point Bloomington, Ind., and (i) beyond Bloomington, the terminal point Indianapolis, Ind., and (ii) beyond Bloom-ington, the terminal point Cincinnati, Ohio, and (c) beyond Terre Haute, the inter-mediate point Lawrenceville, Ill.-Vincennes, Ind., and the terminal point Evansville, Ind.;

11. Between the alternate terminal points Louisville, Ky., and Evansville, Ind., the intermediate points Cincinnati, Dayton, Columbus, Lima, and Toledo, Ohio, and the terminal point Detroit, Mich.;

12. Between the terminal point Detroit, Mich., the intermediate points Toledo, Sandusky, Gleveland, Akron-Canton, and Youngstown, Ohlo, and the terminal point Pittsburgh, Pa.; 13. Between the terminal point Charles-

ton, W. Va., the intermediate points Clarksburg-Fairmont, Morgantown, and Wheeling, W. Va., and Pittsburgh, Pa., and the terminal point Eric, Pa.;

14. Between the terminal point Dayton,

Ohio, the intermediate points Columbus and Zaneaville-Cambridge, Ohio, and (a) beyond Zanesville-Cambridge, the terminal point Pittsburgh, Pa., and (b) beyond Zanesville-Cambridge, the intermediate points Wheeling and Morgantown, W. Va., and the coterminal points Washington, D.C., and Baltimore, Md.;

15. Between the terminal point Louisville, Ey., and the terminal point Indianapolis, Ind.;

- 16. Between the terminal point St. Louis, Mo., the intermediate point Terre Haute, Ind., and the terminal point Indianapolis, Ind.
- 17. Between the terminal point Indianapolis, Ind., and the terminal point New York,
- N.Y.-Newark, N.J.; 18. Between the terminal point St. Louis, Mo., the intermediate points Dayton and Columbus, Ohio, and the terminal point Pittsburgh, Pa.

The service herein authorized is subject to the following terms, conditions, and limitations:

(1) The holder shall render service to and from each of the points named herein, except as temporary suspensions of service may be authorized by the Board; and may begin or terminate, or begin and terminate, trips at points short of terminal points.

(2) The holder may continue to serve regularly any point named herein through the airport last regularly used by the holder to serve such point prior to the effective date of this certificate. Upon compliance with such procedure relating thereto as may be prescribed by the Board, the holder may, in addition to the service hereinabove expressly prescribed, regularly serve a point named herein, other than a point required to be served through a single airport, through any airport convenient thereto.

- (3) On each trip operated by the holder over all or part of a segment other than segment 6, 7, 15, 17, or 18, the holder shall stop at each point named between the point of origin and point of termination of such trip on such segment, except a point or points with respect to which (a) the Board, pursuant to such procedure as the Board may from time to time prescribe, may by order relieve the holder from the requirements of such condition, (b) the holder is authorized by the Board to suspend service, (c) the holder is unable to render service on such trip because of adverse weather conditions or other conditions which the holder could not reasonably have been expected to foresee or control, or (d) paragraph (4), (5), or (6) below is applicable.
- (4) If the holder has scheduled two daily round trips to a given intermediate point on a segment other than segment 6, 7, 15, 17, or 18, it may omit such point on any additional trips scheduled over all or part of such segment, subject to the following limitations:
- (a) The holder shall schedule service to a minimum of two intermediate points between the following pairs of points:

Baltimore, Md., and Buffalo, N.Y., or Dayton, Ohio.

Boston, Mass., and Buffalo, N.Y., or Detroit, Mich.

Bridgeport, Conn., and Buffalo, N.Y. Buffalo, N.Y., and Islip, N.Y., or Washington,

Cleveland, Ohio, and Islip, N.Y., or New York, N.Y.-Newark, N.J.

Dayton, Ohio, and Washington, D.C.

Detroit, Mich., and Hartford, Conn.-Spring-field, Mass., Islip, N.Y., or New York, N.Y.-Newark, N.J.

Provided, That if Scranton-Wilkes-Barre or Williamsport, Pa., is scheduled as an inter-mediate point between Detroit and Boston or Hartford-Springfield, no other intermediate point need be scheduled.

(b) The holder shall schedule service to a minimum of one intermediate point between the following pairs of points:

Baltimore, Md., and Cleveland or Columbus. Ohio, or Detroit, Mich.

Boston, Mass., and Cleveland, Ohio, Erie, Pa., Jamestown, N.Y., or New York, N.Y.-Newark, N.J.

Bridgeport, Conn., and Detroit, Mich.

Buffalo, N.Y., and Columbus, Ohio, or Memphis or Nashville, Tenn.

Charleston, W. Va., and Pittsburgh, Pa., or Washington, D.C.

Chicago, Ill., and Cincinnati or Dayton, Ohio, or Evansville, Ind.

Cincinnati, Ohio, and Washington, D.C. Cleveland, Ohio, and Hartford, Conn.-Spring-field, Mass., Indianapolis, Ind., Philadel-

phia, Pa.-Camden, N.J., Providence, R.I., or Washington, D.C. Columbus, Ohio, and Washington, D.C. Detroit, Mich., and Evansville, Ind., Hartford. Conn.-Springfield, Mass., Philadelphia, Pa.-Camden, N.J., Providence, R.I., or Wash-

ington, D.C. Detroit, Mich., and Pittsburgh, Pa. (exclusive of Cleveland, Ohio).

Erie, Pa., and Hartford, Conn.-Springfield, Mass., or Providence, R.I.

Hartford, Conn.-Springfield, Mass., and Jamestown, N.Y.

Jamestown, N.Y., and Providence, R.I. New York, N.Y.-Newark, N.J., and Lexington, Ky., Memphis or Nashville, Tenn., or Wash-

ington, D.C.

Pittsburgh, Pa., and Washington, D.C. Albany, N.Y., and Washington, D.C.: Provided, That this condition shall not prevent the holder from providing nonstop service between Albany, N.Y., and Friend-ship Airport and from holding out such service as service to Washington, D.C., so long as the holder apprises the public of the airport through which such Albany-Washington nonstop service is being pro-

(5) Notwithstanding the provisions of paragraph (4) above, the holder may schedule nonstop service between Columbus and Youngstown, Ohio.

(6) If the holder has scheduled one daily round trip to Hagerstown, Md.-Martinsburg, W. Va., on segment 1, Salisbury, Md., or Cape May or Atlantic City, N.J., on segment 2, or Du Bois, Pa., on segment 4, the holder may omit service to such point on any additional trips scheduled over all or part of the seg-ment on which such point is named. (7) Flights serving Boston, Mass., on the

one hand, and Providence, R.I., or Hartford, Conn.-Springfield, Mass., on the other hand, shall originate or terminate at a point south or west of New York, N.Y.-Newark, N.J.

(8) Flights serving Memphis and Nash-ville, Tenn., shall originate or terminate at a point north and east of Nashville.

(9) The holder shall schedule nonstop service (a) between Detroit, Mich., and Cleveland, Ohio, only on flights originating or terminating at a point east or south of Cleveland; and (b) between Pittsburgh, Pa., and Cleveland, Ohio, only on flights which also serve a point beyond either Pittsburgh or Cleveland.

(10) Flights scheduled over segment 10 to serve Chicago, Ill., on the one hand, and Columbus, Cleveland, Akron-Canton or Youngstown, Ohio, Erie, Pa., or Buffalo, N.Y., on the other hand, shall also serve Indianapolis, Ind.

(11) Flights scheduled to serve Washington, D.C., on the one hand, and Grand Rapids or Kalamazoo, Mich., South Bend or Indianapolis, Ind., or Cincinnati, Ohio, on the other hand, shall also serve one intermediate point east of Cincinnati on segment 8.

(12) Flights scheduled to serve Cincinnati, Ohio, and Indianapolis, Ind., shall also serve a point beyond Cincinnati or Indianapolis.

(13) Flights scheduled to serve Louisville, Ky., and any other point on segment 11 shall also serve Cincinnati, Ohio.

(14) The holder shall not serve Cleveland, Ohio, or Detroit, Mich., on nonstop flights scheduled between Baltimore, Md., and Pittsburgh, Pa.

(15) The holder shall not schedule singleplane service between Albany, N.Y., on the one hand, and Pittsburgh, Pa., or New York, N.Y.-Newark, N.J., on the other hand, (16) Harrisburg-York, Pa., on segments 1,

3, 4, and 5 shall be served through a single

airport.
(17) The intermediate point Cape May, N.J., shall be served only during the period commencing not earlier than June 1, or later than June 15, and terminating not earlier than September 1, or later than September 15, inclusive, of each year, except that the Board may enlarge said period if the Board determines that said period does not permit adequate seasonal service.

(18) The holder is authorized to render flagstop service by omitting the physical landing of its alteraft at any intermediate point or (on segments 8 through 16) at any segment junction point scheduled to be served on a particular flight: Provided, That there are no persons, property, or mail on the aircraft destined for such point, and no such traffic available at such point for the flight at the scheduled time of departure. (19) Notwithstanding the linear route de-

scription in this certificate, the holder may schedule nonstop service between Grand Rapids, Mich., and Toledo, Ohio.

(20) The holder's authority to engage in the transportation of mail with respect to

those operations set forth in Appendix A to Order 71-6-53, as amended by Order 71-7-191, is limited to the carriage of mail on a nonsubsidy basis, i.e., on a service mail rate to be paid entirely by the Postmaster General, and the holder shall not be entitled to any subsidy with respect to such operations.

The exercise of the privileges granted by this certificate shall be subject to such other reasonable terms, conditions, and limitations required by the public interest as may from time to time be prescribed by the Board.

The services authorized by this certifi-

cate were originally established pursuant to a determination of policy by the Civil Acro-nautics Board that in the discharge of its obligation to encourage and develop air transportation under the Civil Aeronautics Act, as amended, it is in the public interest to establish certain air carriers who will be primarily engaged in short-haul air trans-portation as distinguished from the service rendered by trunkline air carriers. In accepting this certificate, the holder acknowledges and agrees that the primary purpose of this certificate is to authorize and require it to offer short-haul, local or feeder, air transportation cervice of the character described above.

The holder acknowledges and agrees that it is entitled to receive only service mail pay for the mail service rendered or to be rendered solely in connection with operations specified in paragraph (20), and that it is not authorized to request or receive any compensation for mail service rendered or to be rendered for such operations in excess of the amount payable by the Postmaster General.

This certificate shall be effective on February 2, 1972: Provided, however, That the effective date of said certificate shall be automatically postponed until further Beard order if the appropriate license fee is not paid pursuant to section 389,31(b) of the regulations.

The authorizations to serve (a) the pertion of segment 8 east of Cincinnati, Ohio, (b) between Columbus and Akron-Canton, Ohio, on segment 10, (c) Cincinnati, Ohio, on segment 10, and (d) segment 14, exclusive of segment 14(a), shall expire on December 23, 1965.3

² On June 11, 1965, an application was filed in Docket No. 16232 for renewal of these authorizations.

In witness whereof, the Civil Aeronautics Board has caused this certificate to be executed by the Secretary of the Board, and the seal of the Board to be affixed hereto, on the 28th day of December 1971.

HARRY J. ZINK Secretary.

[FR Doc.72-78 Filed 1-3-72;8:51 am]

[Docket No. 14235; Order 71-12-132]

OZARK AIRLINES, INC., ET AL.

Order Regarding Fares for Foreign Visitors

Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D.C., on the 29th day of December 1971. Agreement among certain air carriers concerning promotional area-fare tariffs for foreign visitors, Docket 14235, Agreement CAB 17281-A8.

On December 14, 1971, Ozark Airlines, Inc., on behalf of itself and certain other air carriers 1 filed with the Board an amendment of an existing agreement concerning the "Visit USA" tariff. The existing agreement was first approved by Order E-19961, on August 29, 1963. and by subsequent amendments the carriers have continued it in effect through December 31, 1971.2 By the instant amendment the carriers would extend the expiration date on the current tariff for 1 year, to December 31, 1972 and, in addition, Frontier would limit its participation to the provision of nonreservation service beginning with February 1, 1972.

In view of the nature of the amendment, and for the same reasons as were stated by the Board in Order E-19961, the Board does not find Agreement CAB 17281-A8 to be adverse to the public interest or in violation of the Act.

Accordingly, pursuant to the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, and particularly sections 204(a), 412 and 414 thereof, It is ordered, That:

1. Agreement CAB 17281-A8 is approved; and

2. Any air carrier party to the agreement, or any interested person, may within 10 days from the date of service of this order submit statements in writing containing reasons deemed appropriate, together with supporting data in support of or in opposition to the Board's action herein. An original and nineteen copies of the statement should be filed with the Board's docket section. The Board may, upon consideration of any statements filed, modify or rescind its action herein by subsequent order.

FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Civil Aeronautics Board.

HARRY J. ZINK. Secretary.

[FR Doc.72-79 Filed 1-3-72;8:51 am]

[Docket No. 24060; Order 71-12-74]

CITY OF PALM SPRINGS, CALIF.

Order Granting Exemption

Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D.C., on the 16th day of December 1971.

By telegraphic application filed on December 16, 1971, the city of Palm Springs, Calif., requests that the Board grant American Airlines, Inc. (American) an emergency temporary exemption from condition (26) of its certificate for route 4 so as to permit American to carry Palm Springs-Los Angeles/Phoenix local traffic 1 during the pendency of the current strike against Air West.

In support of its request, the city asserts, inter alia, that the strike against Air West has eliminated 80 percent of the Palm Springs-Los Angeles nonstop service and 100 percent of the Palm Springs-Phoenix service; and that since Palm Springs is beginning its peak winter season, the elimination of service between these points will seriously inconvenience traveling public. Palm Springs further states that Western Air Lines has advised that they will not interpose an objection to the instant request.

In light of the unusual circumstances raised by the instant application, we are taking action pursuant to Rule 410 of the Board's rules of practice without awaiting the filing of answers or replies

Upon consideration of the application and all the relevant facts, we have decided to exempt American from section 401 of the Act and the terms, conditions, and limitations of its certificate for route 4 to the extent necessary to enable American to carry local traffic between Palm Springs, on the one hand, and Phoenix and Los Angeles, on the other hand, pending resumption of service by

This order will be published in the Air West. We will also exempt American from the tariff filing provisions of section 403 of the Act with respect to the markets herein in issue, so long as the carrier charges the Palm Springs-Los Angeles, or Palm Springs-Phoenix fares set forth in its current tariff on file with the Board, used in making involuntary refunds.

The demand for replacement service arising from the Air West strike constitutes unusual circumstances affecting American's operations. In these circumstances, enforcement of the certification requirements of the Act would preclude the provision of needed public service and enforcement of these requirements would be an undue burden on American. We find that enforcement of the provisions of sections 401 and 403 of the Act, and the terms, conditions, and limitations of the carrier's certificate of public convenience and necessity for route 4, insofar as they would otherwise prohibit the service herein authorized would be an undue burden on American by reason of the limited extent of and unusual circumstances affecting its operations and not in the public interest.

Accordingly, it is ordered, That:

- 1. American Airlines, Inc. be and it hereby is temporarily exempted from the provisions of section 401 of the Act and the terms, conditions, and limitations of its certificate of public convenience and necessity for route 4 insofar as they would otherwise prevent it from carrying traffic between Palm Springs, Calif., on the one hand, and Phoenix, Ariz., and Los Angeles, Calif., on the other hand;
- 2. American Airlines, Inc. be and it hereby is exempted from section 403 of the Act insofar as that section would require the filing of a tariff for the carriage of local traffic in the Palm Springs-Phoenix/Los Angeles markets, so long as American charges the Palm Springs-Los Angeles, or Palm Springs-Phoenix fares set forth in its current tariff on file with the Board, used in making involuntary refunds;
- 3. The authority granted herein shall become effective on the date of this order and shall continue in effect until such time as Air West resumes service in the Springs-Phoenix and Palm Springs-Los Angeles markets; and
- 4. This order may be amended or revoked at any time in the discretion of the Board without notice or hearing.

¹ Hughes Air Corp., d.b.a. Air West, Inc., Alaska Airlines, Inc., Allegheny Airlines, Inc., Frontier Airlines, Inc., Mohawk Airlines, Inc., North Central Airlines, Inc., Piedmont Airlines, Southern Airways, Inc., Texas International Airlines, Inc.

²Orders E-21851 (February 26, 1965); E-22975 (December 7, 1965); E-24503 (December 9, 1966); E-26100 (December 11, 1967); 68-11-19 (November 5, 1968); 69-10-58 (October 13, 1969); and 70-12-69 (December 14, 1976) cember 14, 1970).

¹Condition (26) of American's route 4 states as follows: "(26) On flights serving Palm Springs, Calif., on the one hand, and Los Angeles, Calif., or Phoenix, Ariz., on the other hand, the holder shall not discharge at Palm Springs persons, property, or mail enplaned at Los Angeles or Phoenix and shall not discharge at Los Angeles or Phoenix persons, property, or mail enplaned at Palm Springs."

²American filed an answer in support of Palm Springs' request.

³ As stated above, we are advised by Palm Springs that Western Air Lines has no objection to Palm Springs' request. In addition, Air West has advised the Board's staff that it has no objection to the award to American so long as the authority terminates when Air West resumes service.

Both Palm Springs and American request that American's exemption authority continue until 1 week after Air West resumes service. We do not find it to be necessary to extend the authority herein granted beyond the date when Air West resumes service in the market.

^{*}Moreover, to require the carrier to engage in a certification proceeding in order to provide the service would subject it to a financial burden wholly disproportionate to the revenues to be derived from the proposed operations.

FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Civil Aeronautics Board. [SEAL]

HARRY J. ZINK. Secretary.

[FR Doc.72-80 Filed 1-3-72;8:51 am]

[Order 71-12-130]

SWIFT HOME-WRAP, INC.

Order Granting Temporary Relief

Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D.C., on the 28th day of December 1971

From time to time, at the request of the Department of Defense (DOD), the Board has granted temporary relief from provisions of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (the Act) to permit 34 unauthorized indirect air carriers 1 to transport by air, used household goods 2 of Department of Defense personnel. The relief will expire 180 days after the Board's decision in Docket No. 20812 becomes final or, as to each individual company named in the appendix to Orders 71-10-56 and 71-11-74, upon Board disposition of such company's application for air freight forwarder and/or international air freight forwarder authority, whichever event shall occur first.3

By letter dated November 18, 1971, the Department of the Army, acting on behalf of DOD, stated that, in addition to the 34 carriers already exempted, it now has a requirement for the services of Swift Home-Wrap, Inc., an unauthorized indirect air carrier, and requests that it

¹ American Ensign Van Sèrvice, Inc., Asiatic Forwarders, Inc., CTI-Container Transport International, Inc., Four Winds Forwarding, Inc., HC&D Moving & Storage, Imperial Household Shipping Co., Inc., International Sea Van, Inc., North American Van Lines, Inc., Aero Mayflower Transit Co., Inc., Allied Van Lines, Inc., Astron Forwarding Company, Davidson Forwarding Company, Fernstrom Storage and Van Co., Home-Pack Transport, Inc., King Van Lines, Inc., Richardson Transfer & Storage Co., Inc., Smyth Worldwide Movers, Inc., Air Van Lines, Inc., Burnham Van Service, Inc., Suddath Van Lines, Inc., United Van Lines, Inc., Von der Ahe Van Lines, Inc., Door to Door International, Inc., Republic Van & Storage Co., Inc., Trans-American Van Service, Inc., American Red Ball Transit Company, Getz Bros. and Co., U.S., Neptune Thru-Container Corp., Karevan, Inc., DeWitt Freight Forwarding. Deloher Intercontinental Moving Service (DIMS), Garrett Forwarding Co., Pyramid Van Lines, Inc., and Rocky Ford Moving Van, Inc.

"The term "used household goods" means personal effects (including unaccompanied baggage) and property used or to be used in a dwelling, when a part of the equipment or the supply of such dwelling, but specifically excludes (1) furniture, fixtures, equipment, and the property of stores, offices, museums, institutions, hospitals, or other establish-ments, when a part of the stock, equipment, or supply of such stores, offices, museums, institutions, hospitals, or other ments, and (2) objects of art (other than personal effects), displays and exhibits.

*See Order 71-10-56, dated October 13,

This order will be published in the be similarly relieved from the requirements of the Act.

> In view of the foregoing circumstances, the Board finds that it is in the public interest to temporarily relieve from the provisions of the Act Swift Home-Wrap, Inc. to transport by air used household goods of personnel of DOD.

Accordingly, it is ordered,

1. That pursuant to sections 101(3) and 204 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended, Swift Home-Wrap, Inc. is hereby relieved from the provisions of Title IV and section 610(a)(4) of the Act to the extent necessary to transport by air used household goods of personnel of DOD upon tender by that Department;

2. That the relief granted herein shall terminate 180 days after the Board's decision in Docket 20812 becomes final or upon Board disposition of Swift Home-Wrap, Inc.'s application for air freight forwarder and/or international air freight forwarder authority, whichever event shall occur first:

3. That this order may be amended or revoked at any time in the discretion of the Board, without hearing; and

4. That copies of this order shall be served on the Military Traffic Management and Terminal Service, U.S. Army, and Swift Home-Wrap, Inc.

This order shall be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Civil Aeronautics Board.

[SEAL]

HARRY J. ZINK. Secretary.

[FR Doc.72-81 Filed 1-3-72;8:51 am]

[Docket No. 24085; Order 71-12-133]

WESTERN AIR LINES, INC.

Order of Investigation and Suspension Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D.C.,

on the 29th day of December 1971.

By tariff revisions 1 marked to become effective December 30, 1971, Western Air Lines, Inc. (Western) proposes to extend the expiration of its circle-trip excursion fares from December 31, 1971 to May 31. 1972. The fares are available from numerous western U.S. points to Alaska, Hawaii and return and offer discounts in the 20- to 40-percent range depending on the routing chosen. Free stopovers at any or all points on a particular routing are permitted and travel is permitted at any time.

In support of its proposal, Western alleges that during the entire period the fares have been in effect the growth of air travel has been adversely affected by the economic recession, and that as a result an accurate testing of the fares' generative effect has not been possible. The carrier believes that with the current signs of potential improvement in traffic growth the fares may prove to be an effective stimulant, and accordingly

wishes to extend their availability for an additional 5-month period.

Aloha Airlines, Inc., (Aloha) and Hawaiian Airlines, Inc., (Hawaiian) have filed a joint complaint requesting buspension and investigation of the proposed extension in view of the absence of a Hawaiian common-fare provision. These carriers contend that extension of the fares without applicability of this provision violates Western's certificates for routes 35 and 139, which provide that authority to serve Hilo shall be contingent upon its filing and keeping on file with the Board tariffs providing for common fares. Aloha and Hawaiian further contend that the Board removed all doubts as to the scope of this condition when it suspended United's recent proposal to establish one-way affinity group fares which likewise did not provide for intra-Hawaii common fares.3

In answer to the complaint Western alleges that the fares are intended to encourage passengers to visit Hawaii as an intermediate point on trips between west coast cities and Alaska, and that they were set at a level essentially equal to direct mainland-Anchorage fares except where the resulting yields would be below 4 cents per mile. The carrier contends that this level was needed to generate traffic on its new nonstop Honolulu-Anchorage segment and that, had it been required to offer common-fare privileges, it is doubtful that it would have implemented the fares because of the expected revenue dilution.

Western also alleges that its certificate provision regarding Hawaiian common fares does not apply to this tariff ginco the fares are essentially for mainland-Alaska service, although routed via Hawaii in one direction. It asserts that its circle-trip fares are comparable to the Los Angeles-Hong Kong, Los Angeles-Tokyo, and New York-Sydney round trip fares of transpacific carriers, all of which permit stopovers in Hawaii without availability of the common-fare privilege.

Upon consideration of the tariff proposal and all other relevant matters, the Board finds that the proposal may be unjust, unreasonable, unjustly discriminatory, unduly preferential, or otherwise unlawful and should be investigated. The Board further concludes that the fares should be suspended pending investiga-

Order 71-9-113, September 29. By 1971, the Board suspended affinity group fares proposed in the Hawaiian market because such fares did not provide for common fares and stopovers provided free or at a nominal charge within the state of Hawaii, as required by the carriers' authority to serve Hilo. Western's certificate authority contains a similar requirement which, as we indicated, applies to all classes of fares to Hawaii which the carriers publish.

Western's allegation that its circletrip fares are comparable to fares applied by other carriers on transpacific services has little bearing on the issue at hand. While those carriers offer stopover privileges at Honolulu, without

¹ Revisions to Western Air Lines, Inc.'s Tariff CAB No. 87.

² Order 71-9-113.

NOTICES 33

providing common fare privileges within the State, their certificates for transpacific service do not contain the common-fare condition attached to their domestic certificate authority to serve Hawaii. Since the tariff proposal relates to operations conducted under. Western's domestic certificate, it is prima facie a violation of a certificate condition similar to that interpreted in Order 71–9–113 and therefore should be suspended.

Accordingly, pursuant to the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, and particularly sections 204(a), 403, 404, and 1002 thereof, •

It is ordered, That:

1. An investigation be instituted to determine whether the expiration date of May 31, 1972, on the Fourth Revised Title Page of Western Air Lines, Inc.'s CAB No. 87 and rules, regulations or practices affected by such expiration date are or will be unjust, unreasonable, unjustly discriminatory, unduly preferential, unduly prejudicial, or otherwise unlawful, and if found to be unlawful, to determine and prescribe the lawful expiration date (if any) and rules, regulations, or practices affecting such expiration date;

2. Pending hearing and decision by the Board, the expiration date of May 31, 1972, on Fourth Revised Title Page of Western Air Lines, Inc.'s CAB No. 87 is suspended and its use deferred to and including March 28, 1972, unless otherwise ordered by the Board, and that no changes be made therein during the period of suspension except by order or special permission of the Board;

 The proceeding ordered herein be assigned for hearing before an Examiner of the Board at a time and place hereafter to be designated; and

4. Except to the extent granted herein, the complaint in Docket No. 24053

is dismissed; and

5. Copies of this order be filed with the aforesaid tariff and be served upon Aloha Airlines, Inc., Hawaiian Airlines, Inc., and Western Air Lines, Inc., which are hereby made parties to this proceeding.

This order will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Civil Aeronautics Board.

[SEAL]

HARRY J. ZINK, Secretary.

[FR Doc.72-82 Filed 1-3-72;8:52 am]

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

JAPAN-ATLANTIC & GULF FREIGHT CONFERENCE

Notice of Agreement Filed

Notice is hereby given that the following agreement has been filed with the Commission for approval pursuant to section 15 of the Shipping Act, 1916, as amended (39 Stat. 733, 75 Stat. 763, 46 U.S.C. 814).

Interested parties may inspect and obtain a copy of the agreement at the

Washington office of the Federal Maritime Commission, 1405 I Street, NW., Room 1015; or may inspect the agreement at the Field Offices located at New York, N.Y., New Orleans, La., and San Francisco, Calif. Comments on such agreements, including requests for hearing, may be submitted to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C. 20573, within 10 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL Register. Any person desiring a hearing on the proposed agreement shall provide a clear and concise statement of the matters upon which they desire to adduce evidence. An allegation of discrimination or unfairness shall be accompanied by a statement describing the discrimination or unfairness with particularity. If a violation of the Act or detriment to the commerce of the United States is alleged, the statement shall set forth with particularity the acts and circumstances said to constitute such violation or detriment to commerce.

A copy of any such statement should also be forwarded to the party filing the agreement (as indicated hereinafter) and the statement should indicate that this has been done.

Notice of agreement filed by:

Mr. C. A. Cole, Jr., Chairman, Japan-Atlantic & Gulf Freight Conference, Sumitomo Seimel Yaesu Building, 3, Yaesu 4-Chome, Chuo-Ku, Tokyo 104, Japan.

Agreement No. 3103-48 supersedes Agreement No. 3101-47 (which appeared in the Federal Register of December 15, 1971). In addition to containing all new matters appearing in Agreement No. 3103-47, Agreement No. 3103-48 also amends Article 3, Freight Charges and Filing of Documents, of the basic agreement, to include transportation "to United States Atlantic and Gulf Ports or points within the scope of section 18(b) of the Shipping Act, 1916, as amended."

Dated: December 29, 1971.

By order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

Francis C. Hurney, Secretary.

[FR Doc.72-87 Filed 1-3-72;8:52 am]

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

[Project 2146]

ALABAMA POWER CO.

Notice of Application for Change in Land Rights

DECEMBER 22, 1971.

Public notice is hereby given that application for approval of the conveyance of an easement on project property to the State of Alabama Highway Department, to permit raising and maintaining a bridge and approaches, has been filed under the Federal Power Act (16 U.S.C. 791a-825r) by Alabama Power Co. (correspondence to Mr. S. R. Hart, Jr., vice-president—engineering, Alabama Power Co., Birmingham, Ala. 35202) in Project No. 2146 located on the Coosa River in

St. Clair, Elmore, Chilton, Coosa, Shelby, Calhoun, Etowah, and Cherokee Counties in Alabama and Floyd County, Ga. The project land to be conveyed is in St. Clair and Talladega Counties, Ala.

The application seeks Commission approval of a proposed conveyance of an easement on project lands, covering about 5.9 acres of project land, in order to allow construction and maintenance of a bridge and approaches on U.S. Highway 78 where it crosses Logan Martin Reservoir in St. Clair and Talladega Counties. Raising of the bridge would increase the clearance from 5 feet to about 14.5 feet above full reservoir level. Applicant states that such easement will not be inconsistent with project operations or recreational uses of project land or waters, and that the State of Alabama will be required to protect the reservoir from pollution during bridge construction and maintenance.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before February 3, 1972, file with the Federal Power Commission, in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestant parties to the proceedings. Persons wishing to become parties to a proceeding must file petitions to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules. The application is on file with the Commission and available for public inspec-

> MARY B. KIDD, Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.72-30 Filed 1-3-72;8:47 am]

[Docket No. CP72-152]

ALABAMA-TENNESSEE NATURAL GAS CO.

Notice of Application

DECEMBER 28, 1971.

Take notice that on December 7, 1971, Alabama-Tennessee Natural Gas Co. (applicant), Post Office Box 918, Florence, AL 35630, filed in Docket No. CP72-152 an application pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing it to increase its sales on a temporary basis to the town of Selmer, Tenn., all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Specifically, applicant seeks authority to increase sales and deliveries up to 800 Mcf per day to the town to Selmer, Tenn., an existing customer of applicant, on a temporary basis until November 1, 1973. Applicant states that no additional facilities or gas supply are required to enable it to render the increased service to Selmer. The increased volumes for the proposed service to Selmer will be obtained through a temporary exchange agreement whereby the town of Moulton,

Ala., and Reynolds Metals Co., existing customers of applicant, will reduce their present contract volumes by 300 and 500 Mcf per day, respectively, to enable applicant to serve Selmer.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before January 17, 1972, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intrevene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

> MARY B. KIDD. Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.72-38 Filed 1-3-72;8:48 am]

[Docket No. E-7689]

ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE CO. Notice of Application for Initial Rate Schedule

DECEMBER 28, 1971.

Take notice that on November 15, 1971, Arizona Public Service Co. filed in Docket No. E-7689 an application for an initial rate schedule. The company's letter of transmittal appears below.1

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said application should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street NW., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before January 7, 1972. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. The company's application is on file with the Commission and available for public inspection.

> MARY B. KIDD. Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.72-39 Filed 1-3-72;8:48 am]

[Docket No. CP71-311]

ARKANSAS LOUISIANA GAS CO.

Order Setting Date for Prehearing Conference and Prescribing Procedures

DECEMBER 28, 1971.

On June 24, 1971, Arkansas Louisiana Gas Co. (applicant) filed an application in Docket No. CP71-311 to acquire by purchase and operate facilities in interstate commerce consisting of 3.7 miles of 6-inch diameter pipeline and appurtenant facilities from Bromet Co., Columbia County, Ark. for \$52,610.

On April 1, 1969, in Docket No. CP69-209 (41 FPC 440), Arkansas Louisiana was authorized by the Commission to commence a direct sale of natural gas to Bromet. An industrial gas sales contract dated January 27, 1969, between Arkansas Louisiana and Bromet has a term of 2 years and an option allowing Bromet to extend the term of the contract to December 31, 1985. On March 2, 1971, Bromet exercised its right to extend the term to 1985 and, pursuant to the contract, tendered to Arkansas Louisiana the pipeline for purchase pursuant to section 3(c) of the pipeline agreement dated February 25, 1969, and section 13(b) of the industrial gas sales contract. The said contract provisions are subject to the receipt by Arkansas Louisiana of the necessary regulatory approvals.

Pursuant to the foregoing, Arkansas Louisiana has applied for authorization from the Commission under section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act to acquire and operate the existing pipeline facility. Arkansas Louisiana stated that, to its knowledge, Bromet had received no authorization from the Federal Power Commission to operate the 3.7 mile line between Arkansas Louisiana's facilities and the Bromet Co. plant.

In Docket No. RP71-122, applicant's gas supply deficiency situation reveals a need to conserve deliverability to meet human needs and applicant is curtailing industrial customers this year. Curtailments in the next year appear likely. Because of gas supply deficiencies on applicant's system this application should be disposed of only after formal hearing thereof. No petition to intervene or notice of intervention was filed on or before July 26, 1971, as required by the Commission's notice of application issued July 2, 1971, and published in the FEDERAL REG-ISTER on July 13, 1971, 36 F.R. 13061.

The Commission finds: (1) The above matters relating to the application of Arkansas Louisiana Gas Co. in Docket No. CP71-311 should be disposed of upon hearing thereof;

(2) The disposition of the above matters will be facilitated and the proceeding expedited by the setting of a prehearing conference before a preciding examiner pursuant to § 1.18 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure: and

(3) The grant of the application in this proceeding may not be in the public interest due to the present gas supply deficiency existing on applicant's system.

The Commission orders: (A) Pursuant to sections 7, 15, and 16 of the Natural Gas Act, a prehearing conference will be held at 10 a.m. (e.s.t.), January 18, 1972, in a hearing room of the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street NW., Washington, DC 20426, before a presiding examiner to be designated by the Chief Examiner.

(B) The prehearing conference set in paragraph (A) above will be pursuant to § 1.18 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure to consider the means by which the hearing may be facilitated and expedited.

(C) The Chief Examiner will designate an examiner to preside at the prehearing conference herein and to control proceedings thereafter in his discretion pursuant to the Commission's rules and regulations.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] KENNETH F. PLUMB, Secretary.

[FR Doc.72-40 Filed 1-3-72;8:48 am]

[Docket No. E-7685]

CENTRAL VERMONT PUBLIC SERVICE CORP.

Notice of Extension of Time

DECEMBER 22, 1971.

On December 20, 1971, The Vermont Electric Cooperative, Inc., filed a request for an extension of time within which to file protests or petitions to intervene in the above-designated matter.

Upon consideration, notice is hereby given that the time is extended to and including January 7, 1972, within which protests or petitions to intervene may be filed in the above-designated matter.

> MARY B. KIDD. Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.72-31 Filed 1-3-72;8:47 am]

[Docket No. RP72-89]

COLUMBIA GAS TRANSMISSION CORP.

Notice of Filing of Proposed **Curtailment Plan**

DECEMBER 23, 1971.

Take notice that, on December 15, 1971, Columbia Gas Transmission Corp. (Columbia) tendered for filing First Revised Sheet Nos. 1, 18, 19, 20, 28, 29, 30, 33, 47, and 62 and Original Sheet Nos. 19A, 28A, 28B, 47A, 62A, 62B, 90, 91, 92, 93, and 94 to its FPC Gas Tariff Original Volume No. 1, which constitute its curtailment plan pursuant to Order No. 431.

¹ Filed as part of the original.

NOTICES 35

tariff sheets become effective January 15, 1972.

The proposed curtailment procedures contained in these tariff sheets continues to recognize Columbia's primary public service obligation to domestic customers. The basic change made by this filing is the addition of limitations of service and curtailment provisions under gas supply deficiency conditions. Section 14.2 limits Columbia's obligation to deliver maximum monthly volumes of gas to its customers, except those who purchase under Rate Schedule SGS. Such monthly volumes are set forth on Original Sheet Nos. 90-94 of the tariff.

Section 14.3 sets forth the curtailment procedures for storage protection and gas supply deficiency curtailment when Columbia is not able to deliver the maximum monthly volumes or to inject the necessary volumes of gas into its underground storage fields. In such event, Columbia will ratably curtail all customers, except those who purchase under Rate Schedule SGS.

The tariff changes further provide that if such ratable curtailment, after utilization of all other sources of gas supply including peak shaving and after full interruption and curtailment of interruptible and curtailable loads and firm industrial loads, would result in inadequate gas supply for customer's remaining markets, then, upon a satisfactory verified notification to Columbia, Columbia to the extent possible will not curtail such customer below that level and such volumes not curtailed will be assigned to the remaining customers of Columbia as additional curtailment, for which the customers shall be compensated by a credit to their bills at the rate of 25 cents

Identical new sections 6(c) of the CDS and G Rate Schedules are penalty provisions for volumes taken in excess of the volumes specified in the limitations and curtailment procedures. A penalty of \$10 per Mcf is imposed for each Mcf in excess of 103 percent of the total winter month volumes (November through March) provided for in §§ 14.2 and 14.3; however, a buyer which has utilized the 3 percent allowable overrun or any part thereof during the winter months will have its maximum monthly volumes reduced during the subsequent summer months (April through October) by an amount equal to the excess volumes up to 3 percent taken during the winter period with 75 percent of such reduction to be reflected in the 4-month period ending with the July billing month. In the event that a buyer exceeds 101 percent of the total of its maximum monthly volumes for the November-October period, a penalty of \$10 per Mcf will be assessed for volumes in excess of 101 percent excluding volumes for which penalties were previously imposed. New section 6(d) provides that there will be deducted from penalties imposed as a result of section 6(c) any penalties otherwise payable under other tariff provisions.

Section 14.5 provides for a commodity rate adjustment whereby Columbia's

Columbia requests that the tendered rates shall be increased or decreased to reflect the net of credits made to buyers and penalties received by accumulating any penalties paid together with the credits made to customers to compensate them for additional ordered curtailment and annually such net of the credits and penalties shall be divided by the sales of Columbia to all customers (except those under Rate Schedule SGS) to determine a rate adjustment to be effective for the next calendar year.

Columbia is also proposing to eliminate the demand charge credit in instances where curtailments are under conditions of storage protection and gas supply deficiency or result from operating conditions.

The "free" day currently provided for in the CDS and G Rate Schedules for excess takes by customers and for underdeliveries by Columbia has been eliminated. In addition to the above, minor changes have been made to the current tariff in order to accommodate the proposed curtailment procedures.

The above recitation summarizes Columbia's proposed curtailment plan. The full proposal is on file with the Commission and is available for public inspection.

Columbia states that copies of its filing have been mailed to all of its jurisdictional customers and interested State Commissions. Additionally, Columbia states that a copy of its filing is available for public inspection in its office in Wilmington, Del.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to this filing should on or before January 5, 1972, file with the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street NW., Washington, DC 20426, petitions to intervene or protests in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Persons wishing to participate as parties in any hearing therein must file petitions to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

Any order issued in this proceeding will be subject to the Commission's Statement of Policy Implementing the Economic Stabilization Act of 1970 (Public Law 91-379, 84 Stat. 799, as amended by Public Law 92-15, 85 Stat. 38) and Executive Order 11615 including such amendments as the Commission may require.

> MARY B. KIDD, Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.72-32 Filed 1-3-72;8:47 am]

[Project No. 2336]

GEORGIA POWER CO.

Notice of Application for Change in Land Rights

DECEMBER 22, 1971.

Public notice is hereby given that application for approval of the convey-ance of project property to Property Management Services, Inc. (PMS), a subsidiary of Georgia Kraft Co., for development as a vacation home area, has been filed under the Federal Power Act (16 U.S.C. 791a-825r) by Georgia Power Co. (correspondence to Mr. I. S. Mitchell III, vice president and secretary, Georgia Power Co., 270 Peachtree Street, Post Office Box 4545, Atlanta, GA 30302) in Project No. 2336, located in Jasper, Butts, and Newton Counties, Ga., near Monti-cello and Jackson, and on the Ocmulgee River. The project land to be conveyed is in Jasper County, Ga.

The application seeks Commission approval of a proposed conveyance of 5.9 acres of shoreline land within the project boundary. The project land to be conveyed is bounded by the project reservoir (Jackson Lake) and land now owned by PMS. The project land will be incorporated into PMS's recently begun 1,560-acre Turtle Cove vacation development on the eastern shore of the lake. The applicant states that it cannot utilize whatever recreational potential the parcel may have because the land is isolated from its other holdings and access is lacking. This conveyance will also serve to complement Exhibit R, the applicant's own plan for recreational use of project lands and waters.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before February 3, 1972, file with the Federal Power Commission, in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceedings. Persons wishing to become parties to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file petitions to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules. The application is on file with the Commission and available for public inspection.

> MARY B. KIDD, Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.72-33 Filed 1-3-72; 8:47 am]

[Docket No. E-7692]

INTERSTATE POWER CO.

Notice of Application for Increase in Resale Rates

DECEMBER 28, 1971.

Take notice that on December 14, 1971, Interstate Power Co. filed in Docket No. E-7692 an application for an increase in its resale rates. The company's letter of transmittal appears below.3

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said application should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street NW., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with \$\$ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure

Filed as part of the original.

(18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All petitions or protests should be filed on or before January 17, 1972. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. The company's application is on file with the Commission and available for public inspection.

MARY B. KIDD, Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.72-41 Filed 1-3-72;8:48 am]

[Docket No. CP69-251]

MICHIGAN WISCONSIN PIPE LINE CO. AND NATURAL GAS PIPELINE COM-PANY OF AMERICA

Notice of Supplement to Petition To Amend

DECEMBER 28, 1971.

Take notice that on December 8, 1971, Michigan Wisconsin Pipe Line Co. (Michigan Wisconsin), 1 Woodward Avenue, Detroit, MI 48226, filed in Docket No. CP69-251 a supplement to petition to amend filed September 22, 1971, by itself and Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America (Natural) by requesting authorization for the construction and operation of a pipeline and the related gas purchase meter at the Bass wellhead located in the Deep Bayou Field, Cameron, Parish, La., all as more fully set forth in the supplement which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

By order issued in this proceeding on May 20, 1969 (41 FPC 655), as amended, the Commission issued a certificate of public convenience and necessity pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act authorizing the exchange of natural gas between Michigan Wisconsin and Natural. On September 22, 1971, Michigan Wisconsin and Natural filed a petition to amend said order by authorizing a new point of delivery of gas by Michigan Wisconsin to Natural to enable Michigan Wisconsin, in the most economic manner, to accept delivery of gas it has contracted to purchase from Perry R. Bass in Cameron Parish.

In the instant supplement, Michigan Wisconsin requests that it be authorized to construct and operate 1.5 miles of 6-inch pipeline extending from the Bass well to the new delivery point located on Natural's 30-inch pipeline in Cameron Parish where Michigan Wisconsin will deliver the gas purchased from Bass to Natural for exchange, Michigan Wisconsin had heretofore contemplated constructing the facilities under budget authorization.

Michigan Wisconsin states that the estimated cost of \$114,220 will be financed with funds on hand.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said supplement should on or before January 17, 1972, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a

petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules. Persons who have already filed petitions to intervene or protests with regard to the petition to amend of September 22, 1971, need not file again.

Kenneth F. Plumb, Secretary.

[FR Doc.72-42 Filed 1-3-72;8:48 am]

[Docket No. CP72-154]

NORTHERN NATURAL GAS CO.

- Notice of Application

DECEMBER 23, 1971.

Take notice that on December 8, 1971, Northern Natural Gas Company (applicant), 2223 Dodge Street, Omaha, Nebr. 68102, filed in Docket No. CP72–154 an application pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the construction and operation of certain natural gas compressor facilities, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicant proposes to install one 350 horsepower compressor unit at its Hobbs, N. Mex., Compressor Station and Treating Plant Complex in order to take into its transmission line approximately 3,500 Mcf per day of natural gas formerly utilized for plant fuel which has been displaced by applicant's recent purchase of an intrastate supply of natural gas. Applicant plans to utilize the 350 horsepower compressor unit scheduled to be abandoned and removed from its North Gomez Gathering System in Pecos County, Tex., for installation at the Hobbs Plant. The estimated cost of the project is \$78,600, which applicant states will be financed from cash on hand and from funds generated through operations. Applicant says that this proposal will provide for the conservation of its Lea County, N. Mex., gas reserved by displacing those volumes previously used for fuel with an intrastate supply.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before January 11, 1972, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be

taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to became a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

> MARY B. KIDD, Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.72-35 Filed 1-3-72;8:48 am]

[Docket No. E-7694]

NORTHERN STATES POWER CO.

Notice of Application

DECEMBER 27, 1971.

Take notice that on December 16, 1971, Northern States Power Co. (applicant) filed an application pursuant to section 204 of the Federal Power Act seeking an order authorizing the issuance of \$50 million principal amount of first mortgage bonds (bonds).

Applicant is incorporated under the laws of the State of Minnesota with its principal business office at Minneapolis, Minn., and is engaged primarily in the electric utility business in central and southern Minnesota, southeastern South Dakota, and in the Fargo-Grand Forks and Minot areas of N. Dak.

The bonds are to be issued at competitive bidding pursuant to the Commission's regulations under the Federal Power Act. Applicant has scheduled February 23, 1972, as the date for the opening of bids. The bonds will be dated as of March 1, 1972, and will mature on March 1, 2002.

None of the bonds will be redeemable prior to March 1, 1977, other than for the sinking fund, with money borrowed at a lower cost.

The proceeds from the sale of the new bonds will be added to the general funds of NSP-MINN which are estimated at approximately \$50 million as of the date of the issuance and delivery of the new bonds. If the short-term borrowings at the date of the issuance and delivery of the new bonds total less than \$50 million, then the balance of the proceeds not used

to prepay short-term borrowings will be used for construction expenditures. The short-term borrowings have been or will be incurred in connection with the construction program of NSP-MINN.

Expenditures during 1972 for the construction program of applicant are estimated at \$184 million, of which \$167 million is for electric facilities, \$7 million for gas facilities, and \$10 million for heating, telephone, and general facilities.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should, on or before January 14, 1972, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426. petitions or protests in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). The application is on file and available for public inspection.

> MARY B. KIDD, Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.72-43 Filed 1-3-72;8:48 am]

[Docket No. E-7669]

PUBLIC SERVICE OF INDIANA ET AL. Notice of Rate Schedule Changes

DECEMBER 28, 1971.

Take notice that on August 2, 1971, Public Service Co. of Indiana, Inc. (PSI) submitted for filing certain proposed rate schedule changes to the Kentucky Indiana Pool Planning and Operating Agreement (KIP Agreement) designated Rate Schedule FPC No. 217. The KIP Agreement to be superseded is an agreement between PSI, Indianapolis Power & Light Co. (IPL) and Kentucky Utilities Company (KU) and was dated September 5, 1968.

The proposed rate schedule changes are filed in the form of an agreement between PSCI, IPL, KU, and Eastern Kentucky Rural Electric Cooperative Corp. (EK), and is dated July 9, 1971.

The new agreement proposes to effect revisions in the existing KIP Pool Agreement by the addition of a new member, EK.

The proposed rate schedule changes embodied in the new agreement are as follows:

(a) Diversity power:

Energy delivered under this service schedule will be billed at 110 percent of suppliers out-of-pocket cost.

(b) Unit power:

Demand charges will be computed annually using the most recent plant cost and expenses and each party's annual fixed charged rate. Energy charges will be determined using a fuel adjustment formula.

(c) Back up power:

The applicable demand charge is to be \$0.06 per kilowatt per day or \$0.35 per kilowatt_for any 7 consecutive days. Energy will be billed at 110 percent of suppliers out-of-pocket cost.

(d) Emergency power:

Will be compensated for by return of equivalent energy or billed at 110 percent of suppliers out-of-pocket cost.

(e) Economy energy:

1

Displacement energies; to be billed on split savings basis. Surplus energy: Nondisplacement energy; compensated for by the return of equivalent energy or billed at 110 percent of suppliers out-ofpocket cost.

(f) Short term power:

Demand charge will be \$0.40 per kilowatt per week; energy charge will be 110 percent of suppliers out-of-pocket cost.

In addition to superseding the present KIP Agreement the proposed rate schedule changes provide for the suspension of certain articles in two interconnection agreements one between PSCI and IPL Rate Schedule FPC No. 48; and the other between KU and EK, Rate Schedule FPC

PSCI has requested waiver of the Commission's notice requirement to permit an effective date of September 1, 1971,

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with any reference to said application should on or before January 25, 1972, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426 petitions to intervene or protests in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Persons wishing to become parties or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file petitions to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules. The application is on file with the Commission and available for public inspection.

> MARY B. KIDD, Acting Sccretary.

[FR Doc.72-44 Filed 1-3-72;8:48 am]

[Docket No. CP72-153]

SOUTHERN NATURAL GAS CO.

Notice of Application

DECEMBER 28, 1971.

Take notice that on December 8, 1971, Southern Natural Gas Co. (applicant), Post Office Box 2563, Birmingham, AL 35202, filed in Docket No. CP72-153 an application pursuant to section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act for permission and approval to abandon by sale to Alabama Gas Corp. (Alabama Gas) approxi-mately 2,060 feet of applicant's existing Opelika No. 2 lateral line in Lee County, Ala., all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspec-

Applicant states that Alabama Gas desires to integrate its distribution facilities in the area of the Opelika No. 2 line by purchase of said line. Applicant proposes to continue serving Alabama Gas through the existing measuring station and states that no service would be discontinued as a result of the proposed abandonment. The sale price of the lateral is \$22,435.

Any person desiring to be heard or to

application should on or before January 17, 1972, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that permission and approval for the proposed abandonment are required by the public convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

> MARY B. KIDD. Acting Secretary,

[FR Doc.72-45 Filed 1-3-72;8:48 am]

[Docket No. CP72-163]

TENNESSEE GAS PIPELINE CO.

Notice of Application

DECEMBER 29, 1971.

Take notice that on December 21, 1971, Tennessee Gas Pipeline Co., a Division of Tenneco Inc. (applicant), Post Office Box 2511, Houston, TX 77001, filed in Docket No. CP 72-163 an application pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the transportation of natural gas for Worcester Gas Light Co. (Worcester) for the limited term ending October 31, 1972, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicant proposes to transport up to 15.300 Mcf of natural gas per day, for the limited term ending October 31, 1972, for Worcester from the Hopkinton LNG Corp. in Hopkinton, Mass., to existing points of interconnection between applicant's and Worcester's facilities located within the Commonwealth of Massachusetts. Applicant proposes to charge a monthly transportation charge commake any protest with reference to said prised of a demand rate of 29.41 cents

multiplied by the daily transportation quantity plus a volume rate of 4.9 cents multiplied by the volume of transportation gas actually delivered, subject to certain minimum bill provisions. Applicant states that all deliveries will be made through existing facilities and that the proposed service can be rendered without affecting applicant's ability to render its presently authorized firm service to existing customers.

Applicant states that the purpose of the application is to enable Worcester to utilize the natural gas received under this transportation arrangement for winter peak shaving purposes in lieu of propane-air.

It appears reasonable and consistent with the public interest in this case to prescribe a period shorter than 15 days for the filing of protests and petitions to intervene. Therefore, any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before January 14, 1972, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 1.57.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

> Kenneth F. Plumb, Secretary.

[FR Doc.72-36 Filed 1-3-72;8:48 am]

[Docket Nos. RP71-6, etc.]

TENNESSEE GAS PIPELINE CO.

Order Postponing Consideration of Motion to Approve Settlement Agreement and Setting Hearing

DECEMBER 23, 1971.

On August 16, 1971, Tennessee Gas Pipeline Co. (Tennessee) filed a motion for approval of a settlement in Docket Nos. RP71-6, RP71-57, and RP72-1, together with a proposed settlement agreement. The settlement agreement would resolve all issues in these proceedings, with the exception of the allocation of total Tenneco, Inc., interest for the purpose of determining the Federal income tax expense includable in Tennessee's cost of service and in issue of normalization of liberalized tax depreciation for ratemaking purposes.

NOTICES

The company filed in Docket No. RP71-6 for an increase in basic rates of \$108,400,000. In Docket Nos. RP71-57 and RP72-1, the company filed for rate increases to track purchased gas costs of \$18,243,397 and \$4,591,876, respectively. Tennessee states in its motion that during settlement discussions it indicated a test period revenue deficiency of \$117,-200,000. Based upon the filing tendered by Tennessee, and upon its own cost analysis, the Commission staff determined a revenue deficiency of \$23,782,-721. The proffered settlement would increase Tennessee's basic rates by approximately \$71.5 million annually plus the purchased gas tracking costs for a total rate increase of \$94,335,273 annually.

Numerous answers were filed to indicate support or no opposition to the settlement agreement. Objections were raised by the staff, Valley Gas Co., the General Services Administration, and the Tennessee Valley Municipal Gas Association.

The present posture of the case is that the direct evidence of both Tennessee and Commission staff have been filed and served upon all parties. Service dates for all other parties have been suspended by the Presiding Examiner, pending our determination of Tennessee's motion to approve the proposed Stipulation and Agreement. No opportunity for cross-examination of witnesses has been given.

In support of the proposed settlement, Tennessee relies upon an undertaking to expand its system by increments of up to \$100 million for each of the next 5 years, subject to certain conditions. In apparent contradiction, Tennessee seeks in the Settlement Agreement to increase its composite depreciation rate because of its diminishing gas reserve life index. Further, in its response to Staff's contention that the settlement agreement should be rejected, Tennessee stated that:

[b]ecause of certain certificate conditions related to the acquisition of producing properties by the Company in earlier years, the settlement cost of service excludes millions of dollars in costs actually incurred by the Company in connection with such gas producing properties since the certificate conditions limit the Company to an allowance for such production not to exceed area rates where area rates are less than the unit cost of service.

Although this request for modification of previously issued certificates granted in Tennessee Gas Pipeline Co. (Red Snapper), 38 FPC 691 (1967), and in Tennessee Gas Pipeline Co. (Ship Shoal), 42 FPC 1228 (1969), is improperly raised in responsive pleadings with respect to a proffered settlement agreement, Tennessee always has the opportunity pursuant to our rules and regulations to raise this issue by petitioning to amend those certificates and present evidence thereon in an appropriate manner.

In the instant proceeding both Tennessee and Staff have included Tennessee's Bastian Bay production at cost in their respective costs of service. This issue is before the Commission in Bastian Bay. Accordingly, provision should be made in this proceeding for the rate adjustments, if any, which would be appropriate in the event we subsequently determine that some other cost treatment of such produced gas is in the public interest.

These proceedings were instituted by Tennessee's filing of its proposed tariff on September 17, 1970. The filed rates were made effective, subject to refund, for sales made on and after March 17. 1971. The public interest will not be served by delay in the final disposition of this case. Accordingly, we shall set dates for the expeditious filing of additional evidence and a public hearing for the purpose of cross-examination of all evidence. In so doing, we neither accept nor reject the proposed settlement agreement offered or comments and contentions made thereon. The Commission stands ready to consider settlement agreements demonstrably in the public interest which dispose of all disputed issues in all cases involving Tennessee.

The Commission orders: (A) Consideration of Tennessee's motion to approve the tendered settlement agreement is postponed without prejudice until after the close of the record herein.

(B) On or before January 17, 1972, parties shall serve their prepared direct testimony and exhibits. Any rebuttal evidence by Tennessee shall be served on or before February 7, 1972. Cross-examination of the evidence shall commence on February 22, 1972.

By the Commission.2

[SEAL]

Mary B. Kidd, Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.72-51 Filed 1-3-72;8:49 am]

[Docket No. OP66-269, etc.]

TENNESSEE GAS PIPELINE CO. ET AL. Order Remanding Proceedings, Setting Date for Filing of Evidence, and Setting Date for Hearings

DECEMBER 23, 1971.

Tennessee Gas Pipeline Co., a division of Tenneco Inc., Docket No. OP66-269; Amoco

³The Association is composed of Alabama, Mississippi, and Tennessee municipalities that own and operate natural gas distribution systems. The present members of the Association are Athens, Decatur, Florence, Huntsville, Russellville, Sheffield, and Tuscumbia, Ala.; Iuka, Miss.; and Selmer, Tenn.

²Commissioner Brooke, dissenting, joined by Commissioner Walker, issued a separate statement, filed as part of the original.

Production Co., Docket No. CI66-910, et al.; The Delta Development Co., Inc., Docket No. C167-1805; Moise W. Dennery, Docket No. Charles William Fasterling, Pocket No. C167-1807; Gertrude Jackman Fasterling, Docket No. C167-1808; John Ber-nard Fasterling, III, Docket No. C167-1809; The Louisians Land and Exploration Co., Docket No. CI67-1810; Joseph McCloskey, Docket No. CI67-1811; Joan B. Fasterling Meyers, Docket No. CI67-1812; and Edith Fasterling McGee, and Kenneth C. McGee, Docket No. CI67-1813.

This proceeding involves a lease-sale by Amoco Production Co. (Amoco) and other producers of gas from certain reserves in the Bastian Bay Field located onshore in Plaquemines Parish, La., to Tennessee Gas Pipeline Co. (Tennessee). Pursuant to our order on December 12. 1963 (30 FPC 1477), Tennessee filed in Docket No. CP66-269 an application for authority under section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act to construct and operate 2.63 miles of line, the line already being in operation, and Amoco filed an application in Docket No. CI66-910 for authority covering the lease-sale noted above.

The other dockets consolidated herein represent responses to our order of June 29, 1967 (37 FPC 1195), by royalty owners in the Bastian Bay Field who were required by that order to file applications for certificates of public convenience and necessity, or to show cause why they should not file.

At the time Amoco's application for a certificate reached the prehearing conference stage on September 25, 1967, the Presiding Examiner announced that after receiving all evidence tendered he would not certificate the lease-sale without, at the very least, several conditions. The parties then agreed to waive crossexamination and briefs (except as to the issue of jurisdiction over royalty interests). The direct evidence was introduced into the record on November 21. 1967, and the Examiner's decision was issued a year later on November 22, 1968.

Briefly, the Examiner would grant certificates to Tennessee and Amoco but would require them to cancel and rescind the lease-sale. He recommended that a proceeding be started to determine whether Tennessee had earned a fair return from 1960 to 1968, and to the extent that it had, that Tennessee be required to make refunds to its customers. The filing of exceptions to that decision and replies thereto was completed on January 27, 1969. Oral argument was held on November 14, 1969.

The primary question is whether the lease-sale should be adopted in the form created by the parties, whether it should be conditioned to reflect, inter alia, the applicable area prices (i.e., conventionalized," or whether it should be treated

in some other manner. We have concluded that this and subsidiary questions cannot be properly determined on the basis of the present record. We do not have sufficient information as to past costs or future expectations with respect to the operation of the Bastian Bay Field either assuming continuation of operations under the lease-sale transaction or assuming the delivered gas is priced at the applicable area rate under conventionalization, and thus the record is insufficient to make a proper comparison of the costs, in the light of all pertinent and relevant factors, as between an unconditioned lease-sale and one conditioned to the area rate. We thus remand these proceedings for the purposes of making a full evidentiary record upon all issues related to these proceedings and for the purpose of bringing up to date the quantitative evidence now in the record related to the operations resulting from the Bastian Bay Field lease-sale transaction for both past and future

Our remand will afford an opportunity to weigh, with benefit of a full and updated record, the pricing aspects of the lease-sale, as the Court directed in the first Rayne Field appeal, P.S.C.N.Y. v. F.P.C., 287 F. 2d 143 (CADC, 1960) as well as permit us to weigh other factors affecting the question of whether the lease-sale is required by the public convenience and necessity. Cf. Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation (Rayne Field), 21 FPC 860 (1959), rev'd sub nom. P.S.C.N.Y. v. F.P.C., 287 F. 2d 143 (1960); 29 FPC 249 (1963), 30 FPC 153 (1963), aff'd sub nom. United Gas Improvement Company v. Continental Oil Company, 381 U.S. 392 (1965); 42 FPC 376 (1969), 44 FPC 1079 (1970), appeal docketed, Nos. 24716, et al., CADC, October 19.

Issues to be considered on remand with appropriate supporting exhibits and testimony are first, of course, whether the lease-sale should be certificated as proposed or if it should be certificated with conditions designed to reflect the applicable area price and other conventional producer-purchaser relationships (conventionalization), or if it should be treated in another manner. We leave for the applicants to decide whether to merely update existing exhibits and testimony in the record or to file entirely new exhibits and testimony in support of their respective positions which may or may not vary from positions set forth during the earlier phase of these proceedings.

In addition, whether the lease-sale is certificated as proposed or whether it is conditioned to the applicable area rate, the issue of the method-to be used by Tennessee in accounting for the Bastian Bay properties and production therefrom must be considered, including its method of accounting for its note payments, costs of field development, costs of operating the field, and reimbursement thereof. The extent to which rates collected by

its Bastian Bay costs must also be detailed fully.

The refund or other treatment to be accorded the royalty owners in Docket Nos. CI67-1805-1813, assuming they are found jurisdictional, remains an issue on which an evidentiary record is necessary. The issue of our jurisdiction over royalty owners here has already been well briefed and further evidence or discussion is not required on this point, but we defer ruling upon the question pending our ruling upon the entire proceedings.

Other issues must also be considered on remand in the event the Commission determines the sale should be reflected at the applicable area rate, e.g., whether refunds or excess payments to Amoco from Tennessee should be made and, if so, in what amount, and whether a portion of that amount should be treated as prepayments; whether Amoco should be charged interest on any refund ordered and, if so, at what percentage rate; and whether refunds received by Tennessee should be flowed through to its customers and, if so, what amounts.

We are also today remanding for full evidentiary proceedings the pending filings of Tennessee for rate increases in Docket Nos. RP71-6, et al. Because the outcome of these proceedings has a bearing upon the rates of Tennessee, we are providing that hearings in the remanded proceedings there be commenced on February 22, 1972, in the hope that both these remanded proceedings will move forward with all due dispatch and come before us at approximately the same time to be decided together.

The Commission finds: (1) The record is insufficient to resolve the issues presented in this proceeding.

(2) The proceedings should be remanded and reopened for the purpose of conducting a full evidentiary proceeding with opportunity for cross-examination upon all issues in this proceeding.

The Commission orders: (A) The proceedings in the above dockets are reopened and further hearings shall be held to permit all parties to adduce and present such further evidence as they deem necessary and appropriate to meet the issues in the proceeding in the light of the above discussion.

(B) The applicants herein, the royalty holders in Docket Nos. CI67-1805-1813 and parties in support of the applicants shall file upon all parties and the Commission staff updated or new evidence comprising their cases-in-chief on or before January 31, 1972, and indicate the extent they rely upon the record to date in these proceedings with transcript designations of testimony and exhibit numbers still relied upon.

(C) Pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held at 10 a.m. on February 29, 1972, in a hearing room of the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street Tennessee from customers have recouped NW., Washington, DC, concerning the

¹ Formerly Pan American Petroleum Corp., redesignated by Notice of Redesignation is-sued April 21, 1971, in Docket Nos. G-2790. et al., pursuant to corporate name change effective February 1, 1971.

² As used herein, conventionalization is the conditioning of a certificate for the sale of gas so that the producer and the purchaser bear the cost burdens which they would in a conventional sale.

matters involved in and the issues presented by these proceedings.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

Mary B. Kidd, Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.72-50 Filed 1-3-72;8:49 am]

[Docket No. CP70-58]

TRANSCONTINENTAL GAS PIPE LINE CORP.

Notice of Petition To Amend

DECEMBER 28, 1971.

Take notice that on December 17, 1971, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corp. (petitioner), Post Office Box 1396, Houston, TX 77001, filed in Docket No. CP70-58 a petition to amend further the order granting a certificate of public convenience and necessity in said docket (42 FPC 1015), as amended, pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act authorizing the elimination and substition of delivery points in Allen and Evangeline Parishes, La., respectively, all as more fully set forth in the petition to amend which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicant states that due to certain changes in transportation arrangements from offshore fields, it will receive natural gas, which it in turn delivers in Pennsylvania to Consolidated Gas Supply Corp., at a new delivery point in Evangeline Parish, La., from Columbia Gulf Transmission Co. Applicant proposes to eliminate the Kinder delivery point in Allen Parish, La., where Tennessee Gas Pipeline Co., a Division of Tenneco Inc., presently delivers the gas to applicant.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said petition to amend should on or before January 17, 1972, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

> MARY B. KIDD, Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.72-46 Filed 1-3-72;8:48 am]

[Docket No. CP69-199]

TRANSCONTINENTAL GAS PIPE LINE CORP.

Notice of Petition to Amend

DECEMBER 28, 1971.

Take notice that on December 20, 1971, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corp.

(petitioner), Post Office Box 1396, Houston, TX 77001, filed in Docket No. CP69-199 a petition to amend the order granting a certificate of public convenience and necessity issued June 21, 1971, in said docket pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act by authorizing petitioner to transport natural gas for delivery to Southern Natural Gas Co. (Southern) for an additional 12 months from January 29, 1971, all as more fully set forth in the petition to amend which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Petitioner is presently authorized to transport for and to deliver to Southern up to 5,000 Mcf of natural gas per day for the period ending January 29, 1972. Petitioner receives Southern's gas in Pointe Coupee Parish, La., and delivers it to Southern near Selma, Ala., and Jonesboro, Ga. Applicant states that it initiated such service because of a break in Southern's pipeline at the Whiskey Bay Pilot Channel in Louisiana and that Southern does not plan to repair the line until after the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers has completed dredging the Whiskey Bay Pilot Channel in the vicinity of Southern's river crossing. Petitioner has been informed by Southern that it will be more economical to have the gas transported by Petitioner during the interim period than it would be to repair the pipeline in advance of the dredging operation.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said petition to amend should on or before January 17, 1972, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

> Mary B. Kidd, Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.72-47 Filed 1-3-72;8:49 am]

[Docket No. CP72-32]

TRANSCONTINENTAL GAS PIPE LINE CORP.

Notice of Petition to Amend

DECEMBER 28, 1971.

Take notice that on December 20, 1971, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corp. (petitioner), Post Office Box 1396, Houston, TX 77001, filed in Docket No. CP72-32 a petition to amend the order heretofore issued in said docket on November 17, 1971, pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act by deleting the volumetric limitation on gas delivered by means of facilities authorized in said order, all as more fully set forth in the

petition to amend which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection,

Petitioner is presently authorized in subject docket to deliver to South Jersey Gas Co. (South Jersey) at the West Deptford delivery point a quantity of natural gas not to exceed 360 Mcf per day. Applicant states that South Jersey anticipates considerable growth in service from this delivery point reaching a maximum volume of 4,000 Mcf of natural gas per day in the third year of operation, and that with such a limitation, the certificate would have to be amended continually to permit adequate service to be rendered by South Jersey.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said petition to amend should on or before January 17, 1972, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

> Kenneth F. Plumb, Secretary.

> > DECEMBER 28, 1971.

[FR Doc.72-48 Filed 1-3-72;8:49 am]

[Docket No. CP72-155]

TRUNKLINE GAS CO.

Notice of Application

Take notice that on December 9, 1971, Trunkline Gas Co. (applicant), Post Office Box 1642, Houston, TX 77001, filed in docket No. CP72-155 a budget-type application pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act as implemented by \$157.7(b) of the regulations under said Act, for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the construction, during the calendar year 1972, and operation of certain natural gas facilities, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicant states that the purpose of this application is to augment its ability to act with reasonable dispatch in connecting to its pipeline system additional supplies of natural gas in numerous areas generally coextensive with its system.

The total cost of the proposed facilities is not to exceed \$7 million, with no single onshore project to cost in excess of \$1 million and no single offshore project to cost in excess of \$1,750,000. Applicant plans to finance these costs from funds on hand.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said

application should on or before January 17, 1972, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

MARY B. KIDD, Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.72-49 Filed 1-3-72;8:49 am]

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

FIRST NATIONAL CO.

Formation of One-Bank Holding Company

The First National Co., Storm Lake, Iowa, has applied for the Board's approval under section 3(a) (1) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(a) (1)) to become a bank holding company through acquisition of 80 percent or more of the voting shares of the Citizens First National Bank of Storm Lake, Storm Lake, Iowa. The factors that are considered in acting on the application are set forth in section 3(c) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)).

The application may be inspected at the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago. Any person wishing to comment on the application should submit his views in writing to the Reserve Bank to be received not later than January 20, 1972.

Pursuant to § 225.3(b) of Regulation Y, this application shall be deemed to be approved on February 4, 1972, unless the applicant is notified to the contrary before that time, or is granted approval at an earlier date.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, December 28, 1971.

[SEAL]

Tynan Smith, Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 72-10 Filed 1-3-72;8:45 am]

OFFICE OF ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY

[Contract B00-5135]

REPORTED FINDINGS REGARDING LEARNING

Notice of Availability

Pursuant to section 606 of the Economic Opportunity Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 2946, it is announced that under Contract No. B00–5135, the University of California at Riverdale has furnished to the Office of Economic Opportunity two reports entitled, "Are There Two Kinds of Thinking," and "Cognitive Styles and the Social Order."

These reports advance the theory that there are basically two alternative approaches to problem solving. One, a deductive approach, is commonly taught in the formal education system. The other, an inductive approach, is utilized in experience-based learning. Minority groups which have been turned away from formal education channels are hypothesized to concentrate their intellectual development in the direction of inductive interpretation of experience. Advantaged groups, on the other hand, are underdeveloped in inductive reasoning, but highly developed in deductive processes. An array of sociological and neurological data are brought to bear in support of these hypotheses. Survey data to test the hypotheses were collected among several nopulations.

Copies of these reports have been filed with the National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, Springfield, Va. 22151.

Wesley L. Hjornevik, Deputy Director, Office of Economic Opportunity. [FR Doc.72-65 Filed 1-3-72;8:50 am]

[Contract B99-4858]

REPORTED FINDINGS REGARDING EMPLOYMENT POLICIES

Notice of Availability

Pursuant to Section 606 of the Economic Opportunity Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 2946, it is announced that under Contract No. B99-4858, Stanford University, Stanford, Calif., has furnished to the Office of Economic Opportunity a report consisting of two papers, "Racial Discrimination in American Industry" by William S. Comanor and "Large-Firm Employment Policies Toward Blacks and Women" by William G. Shepherd and Sharon C. Levin.

"Racial Discrimination in American Industry" develops a measure of discrimi-

nation in an industry which takes account of the effects of market discrimination on wages and of racial differences in productivity. It is used to measure racial discrimination in 30 industries and six blue-collar and clerical sex-occupation groups, and uses regression analysis to explain the resultant measure by characteristics of industries and geographic areas. It is found that in almost all industries the degree of discrimination increases with the skill level of the occupation group, and that profitability of the industry and size of the metropolitan area are positively related to discrimination.

"Large-Firm Employment Policies Toward Blacks and Women" attempts to measure the effects of market power on discrimination in managerial, professional, and technical occupations. Regression analysis is used to explain the percentage of blacks and women in these positions across large firms, and changes between 1966 and 1970 in their employment. There is a finding that blacks and women are greatly under-represented in the upper white-collar occupations, and that while employment of blacks as officials and managers, professionals, and technicians by large firms have increased considerably between 1966 and 1970, no such improvement has occurred for women.

A copy of this report has been filed with the National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, Springfield, Va. 22151.

Wesley L. Hjornevik, Deputy Director, Office of Economic Opportunity. [FR Doc.72-68 Filed 1-3-72;8:50 am]

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[811-2194]

GAC INCOME FUND, INC.

Notice of Filing of Application for Order Declaring Company Has Ceased To Be an Investment Company

DECEMBER 27, 1971.

Notice is hereby given that GAC Income Fund, Inc. (Applicant), 1040 Bayview Drive, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33304, a Maryland corporation registered as a diversified open-end management investment company under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (Act), has filed an application pursuant to section 8(f) of the Act for an order of the Commission declaring that Applicant has ceased to be an investment company as defined in the Act. All interested persons are referred to the application on file with the Commission for a statement of the representations contained therein, which are summarized below.

The application states, among other things, that on October 21, 1971, Applicant's board of directors determined that

Applicant should be dissolved; that Applicant has issued no securities and has no assets; that Applicant does not intend to proceed with the completion of its registration statement filed under the Securities Act of 1933 because of general market conditions and that the proposed public offering has been abandoned and the registration statement is to be with-

Section 8(f) of the Act provides, in pertinent part, that when the Commission, upon application, finds that a registered investment company has ceased to be an investment company, it shall so declare by order, and upon the taking effect of such order the registration of such company shall cease to be in effect.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than January 18, 1972, at 5:30 p.m., submit to the Commission in writing a request for a hearing on the matter accompanied by a statement as to the nature of his interest, the reason for such request and the issues, if any, of fact or law proposed to be controverted, or he may request that he be notified if the Commission should order a hearing thereon. Any such communication should be addressed: Secretary, Secu-rities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. A copy of such request shall be served personally or by mail (airmail if the person being served is located more than 500 miles from the point of mailing) upon Applicant at the address stated above. Proof of such service (by affidavit, or in case of an attorney at law, by certificate) shall be filed contemporaneously with the request. At any time after said date, as provided by Rule 0-5 of the rules and regulations promulgated under the Act, an order disposing of the application herein may be issued by the Com-mission upon the basis of the information stated in such application, unless an order for hearing upon said application shall be issued upon request or upon the Commission's own motion. Persons who request a hearing or advice as to whether a hearing is ordered will receive notice of further developments in this matter, including the date of the hearing (if ordered) and any postponements thereof.

For the Commission, by the Division of Corporate Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

[SEAL]

RONALD F. HUNT. . Secretary.

[FR Doc.72-61 Filed 1-3-72;8:49 am]

[File No. 1-5906]

ROYAL ATLAS CORP.

Notice of Amended Application To Withdraw From Listing and Regis-Iration

DECEMBER 23, 1971.

On October 27, 1971, the above named issuer (Common stock-\$1 par value) filed an application with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to section 12(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 12d2-2(d) promulgated thereunder, to withdraw the specified security from listing and registration on the National Stock Exchange. On November 5, 1971, the Commission gave notice of the filing of such application pursuant to Rule 12d2-2(d) and, subsequently, on or about December 20, 1971, the said issuer filed with the Commission certain amendments to theapplication.

The reasons alleged in the amended application for withdrawing this security from listing and registration include the following:

The Board of Directors has determined that the interests of the company's shareholders can best be served by being in the NASDAQ quotation system. In a letter dated October 27, 1971, which is on file with the delisting application, the Exchange disagrees with the company's filing such reasons for delisting application.

Any interested person may, on or before January 10, 1972, submit by letter to the Secretary of the Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549, facts bearing upon whether the application as amended has been made in accordance with the rules of the Exchange and what terms, if any, should be imposed by the Commission for the protection of investors. An order granting the application will be issued after the date mentioned above, on the basis of the application and any other information furnished to the Commission, unless it orders a hearing on the matter.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.

[SEAL]

RONALD F. HUNT, Secretary.

[FR Doc.72-4 Filed 1-3-72;8:45 am]

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

ASSIGNMENT OF HEARINGS

DECEMBER 29, 1971.

Cases assigned for hearing, postponement, cancellation or oral argument appear below and will be published only once. This list contains prospective assignments only and does not include cases previously assigned hearing dates. The hearings will be on the issues as presently reflected in the Official Docket of the Commission. An attempt will be made to publish notices of cancellation of hearings as promptly as possible, but interested parties should take appropriate steps to insure that they are notified of cancellation or postponements of hearings in which they are interested.

MC 109533 Sub 44, Overnite Transportation Co., now assigned January 10, 1972, at Lexington, Ky., postponed indefinitely. MC 113855 Sub 239, International Transport,

Inc., assigned February 7, 1972, at Minneapolis, Minn., canceled and application dismissed.

MC 117799 Sub 16, Best Way Frezen Express. Inc., now being assigned February 7, 1973, at Minneapolis, Minn., in a hearing room to be later designated.

No. 35473, Flour, Arkansas City, Kans., to Memphis, Tenn., now assigned January 5, 1972, at Washington, D.C., postponed to February 2, 1972, at the Offices of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C.

MC 112304 Sub 46, Ace Doran Hauling & Rigging Co., assigned January 17, 1972, at Louisville, Ky., canceled and application dismissed.

MC 2860 Sub 56, National Freight, Inc., now assigned January 17, 1972, at Washington, D.O., postponed to a date to be hereafter fixed.

MO 135141 Sub 1, H & H Expediting Service, Inc., assigned January 5, 1972, at Washington, D.C., is postponed to Febru-

ary 9, 1972, at the Offices of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C. MC 135825, Panhandle Freight System, Inc., assigned January 19, 1972, will be held in room 4210 U.S. Courthouse and Office

Building, Oklahoma City, Okla.

MC 134886 Sub 2, U & Mo Transfer, Inc.,
assigned January 19, 1972, will be held in
the Florida Public Service Commission, 5720 SW. 17th Street, Miami, FL.

MC 135413, Henry's Transfer, Inc., assigned January 12, 1972, will be held in room 1510 Federal Office Building, 51 SW. First Street, Miami, FL.

MC 10172 Sub 12, Marvin Hayes Lines, Inc., assigned January 10, 1972, will be held in room 651 U.S. Courthouse, 801 Broadway, Nashville, TN.

MC 115826 Sub 224m, W. J. Digby, Inc., now being assigned January 26, 1972, in room 905A, 536 South Clark Street, Chicago, IL. MC 107818 Sub 56, Greenstein Trucking Co.,

now being assigned January 27, 1972, in room 905A, 536 South Clark Street, Chicago, IL.

MC 134022 Sub 6, Richard A. Zima, doing business as Zipco, now being assigned January 27, 1972, in room 905A, 536 South Clark Street, Chicago, IL.

MC-C 7186, Travel Center of Waterbury, Inc. v. Continental Trailways, Inc., ot al., assigned January 10, 1972, at New York, N.Y. is postponed indefinitely.

MC 135334, Lilliam Koppel, doing business as USA Driveaway, assigned January 17, 1972, at Chicago, Ill., is postponed indefi-

mitely.
MC 126346 Sub 9, Haupt Contract Carriers, Inc., assigned January 26, 1972, at Chicago, Ill., canceled and transferred to Modified Procedure.

FD 25726, Wellsville, Addison & Galeton Rall-road Corp. Abandonment between Wellsville, N.Y., and Galeton, Pa., assigned February 22, 1972, will be held in the Public Library, Main Street, Route 17, Wellsville,

MY.

MC 107456 Sub 16, Harry L. Young & Sond,
Inc., assigned January 31, 1972, at Washington, D.C., postponed indefinitely.

MC 115826 Sub 215, W. J. Digby, Inc., assigned January 31, 1972, at Atlanta, Ga.,
postponed indefinitely.

MC 103993 Sub 619, Morgan Drive-Away, Inc., now assigned January 6, 1972, at Wash-

ington, D.C., postponed indefinitely.

MC 119774 Sub 24, Eagle Trucking Co., assigned February 25, 1972, at New Orelans,
La., is canceled and transferred to Medified Procedure.

MC 106497 Sub 59, Parkhill Truck Co., now being assigned February 8, 1972, at Denver, Colo., in a hearing room to be designated later.

MC 116763 Sub 204, Carl Subler Trucking. Inc., now being assigned February 9, 1972 at Denver, Colo., in a hearing room to be later designated.

43 NOTICES

MC-F-11291, American Van & Storage, Purchase-Trans Universal Van Lines, now assigned January 13, 1972, at Miami, Fla., postponed to January 14, 1972, in the Du Pont Plaza Hotel, 300 Biscayne Boulevard Way, Miami, FL.

MC 121533 Sub 6, Western Hauling, Inc., now being assigned February 7, 1972, at Olympia, Wash., in a hearing room to be later designated.

ESEAT. 7

ROBERT L. OSWALD, Secretary.

[FR Doc.72-83 Filed 1-3-72;8:52 am]

FOURTH SECTION APPLICATION FOR RELIEF

DECEMBER 28, 1971.

Protests to the granting of an application must be prepared in accordance with Rule 1100.40 of the general rules of practice (49 CFR 1100.40) and filed within 15 days from the date of publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

LONG-AND-SHORT HAUL

FSA No. 42327-Pipeline rates-petroleum products from the Southwest, filed by Williams Brothers Pipe Line Co. (No. 3), for and on behalf of carriers parties to its schedules as shown in the application. Rates on petroleum products from points in Kansas, New Mexico, Oklahoma, and Texas to Eldena (Amboy), Ill., Iowa City, Iowa, and Columbia, Mo., and from Borger, Tex., to Iowa City, Iowa, and Columbia, Mo.

Grounds for relief-Market and carrier competition.

Tariffs-Supplements 19 and 14 to Williams Brothers Pipe Line Co. tariffs Nos. I.C.C. 15 and 25, respectively. Publication is scheduled to become effective January 27, 1972.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

ROBERT L. OSWALD. Secretary.

[FR Doc.72-84 Filed 1-3-72;8:52 am]

RELIEF

DECEMBER 29, 1971.

Protests to the granting of an application must be prepared in accordance with Rule 1100.40 of the general rules of practice (49 CFR 1100.40) and filed within 15 days from the date of publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

LONG-AND-SHORT-HAUL

FSA No. 42328—Sugar to the Southwest and West, filed by Trans-Continental Freight Burcau, agent (No. 472), for and on behalf of carriers parties to the schedules listed below. Rates on sugar, beet or cane, in bulk, in carloads, as described in the application, from points in Arizona, California, Idaho, Oregon, Utah, Washington, and Wyo-ming, to points in Arkansas, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Minnesota, Missouri, Oklahoma, Texas, and Wisconsin.

Grounds for relief—Rate relationship. Tariffs—Supplement 90 to Trans-Continental Freight Bureau, agent, tariff ICC 1822, and supplement 123 to Western Trunk Line Committee, agent, tariff ICC A-4481. Publication is scheduled to become effective January 27, 1972.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

ROBERT L. OSWALD, Secretary.

[FR Doc.72-85 Filed 1-3-72;8:52 am]

[Notice 802]

MOTOR CARRIER TRANSFER **PROCEEDINGS**

Synopses of orders entered pursuant to section 212(b) of the Interstate Com-merce Act, and rules and regulations prescribed thereunder (49 CFR Part 1132), appear below:

As provided in Commission's general rules of practice any interested person may file a petition seeking reconsideration of the following numbered proceed-

FOURTH SECTION APPLICATION FOR ings within 30 days from the date of service of the order. Pursuant to section 17(8) of the Interstate Commerce Act, the filing of such a petition will postpone the effective date of the order in that proceeding pending its disposition. The matters relied upon by petitioners must be specified in their petitions with particularity.

> No. MC-FC-72829. By order of December 23, 1971, Division 3, acting as an Appellate Division, approved the transfer to G. Raymond, Inc., Norristown, Pa., of the operating rights in Permit No. MC-94635 issued March 4, 1963, to Interstate Sand & Gravel Transportation, Inc., Vineland, N.J., authorizing the transportation of sand, gravel, stone, and clay, from points in Buriington, Camden, Gloucester, Salem, Cumber-land, Cape May, and Atlantic Counties, N.J., to points in Bucks, Berks, Lehigh, Lebanon, Lancaster, Chester, Delaware, Montgomery, and Philadelphia Counties, Pa., subject to a restriction that no transportation service may be performed under continuing contract or contracts with George F. Pettinos, Inc., of Bala-Cynwyd, Pa. V. Baker Smith, 123 South Broad Street, Philadelphia, PA 19109, attorney for applicants.

> No. MC-FC-73052. By order of December 23, 1971, Division 3, acting as an Appellate Division, approved the transfer to General Road Trucking Corp., East Providence, R.I., of certificate No. MC-119327 issued March 28, 1960, to Apex Transportation, Inc., Worcester, Mass., authorizing the transportation of liquid petroleum products, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Povidence, R.I., to Worcester, Mass., serving no intermediate points. Frank J. Weiner, 6 Beacon Street, Boston, MA 02108, attorney for applicants.

[SEAL]

ROBERT L. OSWALD, Secretary.

[FR Doc.72-86 Filed 1-3-72;8:52 am]

LIST OF FEDERAL REGISTER PAGES AND DATES-JANUARY

_____ Jan. 4 1-62_



TUESDAY, JANUARY 4, 1972 WASHINGTON, D.C.

Volume 37 ■ Number 1

PART II



DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Packers and Stockyards
Administration

DESIGNATION OF STOCKYARDS
BY POSTED
STOCKYARD FACILITY NUMBER

Location

Current name of stockyard

ALABAMA-Continued

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Packers and Stockyards Administration

STOCKYARDS

Designation by Posted Stockyard Facility Number

Pursuant to the authority delegated under the Packers and Stockyards Act, 1921, as amended (7 U.S.C. 181 et seq.), it was ascertained on the respective dates specified below, that the livestock markets named below were stockyards within the definition of that term contained in section 302 of the Act, as amended (7 U.S.C. 202), and notice was given at that time to the owners and to the public by posting notices at the stockyards as required by said section 302, and by publishing such notice in the Febrara Register.

Effective January 1, 1972, § 201.5 of the regulations promulgated under the Packers and Stockyards Act was amended to provide for the posting of livestock markets by facility numbers rather than by the names of the facilities (9 CFR 201.5). Accordingly, notice is hereby given to the owners and operators of the stockyards listed below and to the public that such stockyards shall be hereafter designated by the respective facility numbers:

ALABAMA

Location

Current name of stockyard

1	1																																											
	;	AL-100	101-101	AL-102	AL-103	ALTI																																			AL-137			(\$1-7TV
	;	2-12-69	200	1	200	2-22-0	1-30-65	11-16-71	5-21-59	16.50	10		00-07-0	2	2-74-63	8-15-64	6-16-69	18.5	12.50	100	3	3	6-11-	5-19-53	20-22	5-13-53	8-22-67	6-11-63	673	19-1-61	8-21-59	1-16-69	5-20-53	7-10-53	11-11	5-18-53	7-13-59	10-1-69	15-8-21	5-14-53	3 1			ĵ
		Abboville	A Moonalle	ALICOVIII	Armo	Ariton		Athens	Athens	Atmore	Projector	During It des	Destate Contract of the Contra	anna A	Chatom	Clanton	Cullman	Dadeville		Domonolie	Caro	Lillida	Enterpriso	Eutaw	Evergreen	Fayette	Florence	Fort Payne	Frisco City	Gadsden	:		************			Headland	Huntsville	Hurtsboro	Jesper	Linden		113		Kyamananan
	Hanne Counter I treatest to	Sand Mountain Soles Born	Pierone County Threshop Commission Comments	And the Stock World	A Miles of Constant and Constan	William Livestone Attention	Wainace Stockyards	Athens Stockyard	Limestone County Stock Yard	Atmore Truckers Association, Inc.	Escambia County Connerging Inc	C I. Chambors and don	Character County Stocknow	William County Processing	washington County Stockyard	dray & sons stockyards	The Cullman Stock Yard	Dadeville Stockyards, Inc.	ard	ck Varde	A target of the contract of th	Electrical data Color Co	Editional Livering Co.	West Alabama Stock rards	Concenh Stockyards	Fayette Stock Yard, Inc.	Florence Trading Post.		Farmers Cooperative Market, Inc.		3	rerds, In	Stock Yard	stock Co., 1) I	Stock Y	OLIDE	nty Lived	unty	10018	Livingston Streit Yangs	LOUISTLY LAVESTES CO. L.C.	ANALIS CITA BUILD A MICHAEL STANDARD OF A LABORARY STANDARD STANDA	1

Multie Divestor Commission Company, June Montine M
onix
adolphia
adelphia 6-21-67 AB-1 In the control of the con
Pick Park

FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL. 37, NO. 1—TUESDAY, JANUARY 4, 1972

CALIFORNIA-Continued

Ankansas-Continued

Posted stockyard facility number	OA-162 OA-163 OA-163 OA-164		SERIES S	
Date posted	5-16-30 10-1-59 10-12-59 10- 5-59 11- 9-59		### ##################################	
Location	Vornon Visula Williams Yroka Yuba Oity		Minnoso. Broomfold British British British British British Bullington Collina Collina Collina Collina Collina Doula Doula Doung Doung Elizableth Fort Collina Gradd Junction Graddy Graddy Graddy Graddy Graddy Graddy La Junta La	
Ourrent name of stockyard	L. A. Horse and Mule Auction. Tulne County Farm Bureau Sales Yard Williams Livestock Auction Co., Inc. Sistiyou Stockyards, And Yuba City Auction Yard	Оогонуро		
Posted stockyard facility number	AR-142 AR-143 AR-144 AR-146		######################################	V-160
Date st posted	12-11-58 A 12-11-58 A 7-10-71 A 12-17-58 A			O-83-83-0
Location p		•		
Ourrent name of stockyard	Siloam Springs Sale Barn	Oalifornia	Modo Austion Yards Alvates Alvates Alvated Livestock Austion Discussional Company Alvated Livestock Austion Discussional Company Balk Valley Livestock Austion Balk Austion Stockward Livestock Austion Balk Austion Stockward Livestock Austion Balk Austion Livestock Austion Status Alvated Austion Status Livestock Austion Status Livestock Austion Alvation Livestock Austion Salas Jarden Corona Livestock Austion Alvation Status Livestock Austion Discussion Salas Jarden Alvation Status Jarden Corona Livestock Austion Discussion D	El Kodio Auction Kard

FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL. 37, NO. 1--TUESDAY, JANUARY 4, 1972

GEORGIA--Continued

Date posted	7-18-28-28-28-28-28-28-28-28-28-28-28-28-28		### ##################################
Location	Jackson. Jackson. Jacup La Grange Lyons Macon. Mother Millen Mullen Petron Pe		Blackfoot Burley Burley Burley Burley Burley Caldwell Caldwell Coltron Council Council Conding
Current name of stockyard	Middle Georgia Livestock Sales Co. Wayne County Stockyard Ladvange Stockyard Todrange Stockyard Todrange Stockyard Todrange Stockyard Todrange Stockyard Todrange Stockyard Todrange Stockyard Miller Livestock Market, Inc. Miller Livestock Audion Co., Inc. Todrange Juvestock Audion Co., Inc. Noulty Livestock Audion Co., Inc. Mich Tores and Mile Co., Inc. Mich Todrange Stock Market, Inc. Todrange Stock Yard Enlington County Stockyard Enline Stockyard Swalnsboro Stock Yard Swalnsboro Stock Yard Swalnsboro Stock Company Sutton Livestock Company Georgia Farm Products Sales Corp. Thomas County Stockyard, Inc. Thinkes County Stockyard, Inc. Thinkes County Stockyard, Inc. Mayeross Livestock Market.	Attive	Blackfoot Livestock Commission Co. Bonnes Ferry Livestock, Inc. Bonnes Ferry Livestock, Inc. Charles Livestock Auction, Inc. Cocur d'Alena Livestock, Inc. Council Livestock Sala. Control Livestock Commission Co., Inc. Connel Livestock Commission Co., Inc. Gannel Livestock Commission Co., Inc. Gannel Livestock Commission Company. Idaho Falis Live Stock Commission Company. Idaho Falis Livestock Andretting Ass'n. Spener Livestock Commission Company. Idaho Falis Livestock Auction. Spener Livestock Auction. Merdian Sales Yard, Inc. Cache Valley Livestock Auction. Rabby Livestock Auction. Rabby Livestock Commission. Rabby Livestock Commission. Salanon Rive Livestock Commission. Salanon Rive Livestock Commission. Selanon Rive Livestock Commission. Free, Stock Sala Yard, Inc. Stressory Sala Yard, Inc. Presents Sala Livestock Commission Co. Twhn Falls Livestock Commission Co.
Posted tockyard facility number		A-100	A - 133
Date si posted	20000000000000000000000000000000000000	19-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-	**************************************
Location	Arcadia Bella Glado. Galinesville. Galinesville. Galinesville. Galinesville. Galinesville. Kisalamee Lake Olfy Lakelond Lake Olf Lakelond Lakelond Lakelond Calendond Marlanna Marlanna Ookechobee. Ookechobee. Ookechobee. Ookechobee. Annana Wunchula Wunchula Wunchula Wunchula Wunchula Washura Albany	Baxley Blackshear	Blakely Comulation Concellation Controllion Columbus Cordele Columbus Cordele Counting Cumuling Cumuling Cumuling Douglas Douglas Douglas Douglas Douglas Douglas Douglas Cutharing Cutharing Cutharing Cutharing Douglas Douglas Douglas Douglas Cutharing Cuth
Current name of stockyard	Areadia State Livestock Market. Florida Agricultural Marketing Association, Inc. Chiploy Livestock Auction Market, Inc. Jay Livestock Auction Market, Inc. Jay Livestock Auction Market, Inc. Jay Livestock Auction Market, Inc. Columbia Livestock Auction Market, Inc. Columbia Livestock Auction Market, Inc. Else Hootan Livestock Auction Market, Inc. Madison Stock Yard West Florida Livestock Market, Inc. Mills Auction Market, Inc. Occeptobe Livestock Market, Inc. Mills Auction Market, Inc. Mills Auction Market, Inc. Mills Auction Market, Inc. John Clathoner's Livestock Auction Market. Cattlemen's Livestock Auction Market. Mills County Farmers Market, Inc. Mulls Sumiter County Farmers Market, Inc. John Stock Yards. Who Jacksonyllo Livestock Auction, Inc. John Stock Yards. Who Bacon County Stockyard. Inc. John Bandre Livestock Auction, Inc. John Bandre Livestock Auction, Inc. John Bandre Livestock Auction, Inc. Allia Bandre County Stockyard. Jurier County Stockyard. Jurier County Stockyard. Allia Bandre Charter Auction Market. Jurier County Stockyard. Jurie	Miles Stockyard Pleres County Stockyard, Inc.	W. L. Moseloy Livestook Company W. L. Moseloy Livestook Market, Inc. Final County Livestook Market, Inc. Familia County Livestook Market, Inc. Faracli County Livestook Market, Inc. Faracli Counts Livestook Auction, Inc. Count County Livestook Auction, Inc. Count Livestook Market, Inc. Count Livestook Market, Inc. Count Livestook Inc. For Market, Inc. Count Livestook Market, Inc. Count Livestook Market, Inc. Count Livestook Market, Inc. Davson Livestook Market Lives

FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL. 37, NO. 1—TUESDAY, JANUARY 4, 1972

			,
	Posted stockyard facility number	ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ	
	Date posted	9.9 4.174 4.775 4.775 6.727 6.72	
nued	Location	Bloomington Boswell Boswell Boswell Boswell Boswell Conducyllio Convocated by Bornstyllo Branklin Branklin Branklin Greensburg Ilmover Ilmover Ilmover Ilmutiation Indianapolis Krightistown Lebanon Lebanon Lebanon Logensport Royal Conter Roy	Actley. Adel. Adel. Albin. Algein. Allen. Anterior. Anterior. Antiquon. Audubon. Batter.
Indiana—Continued	Ouront name of stockyard	Bloomington fale Barn. Boswoll Livestook Commission Go. Broducers Markeling Assn., Ino. Crawfordswille Stale Barn	Ackley Eaks Pavillon. Adel Safes Pavillon. Adel Safes Pavillon. Adel Safes Pavillon. Adel Safes Pavillon. Alpin Eaks Pavillon. Alpin Livestock Auction. Annin Auction Company. Annitong Safes Auction. Annitong Safes Company. Bactor Safe Company. Bactor Safe Company. Ballor Safe Company. Bellor Safe Company. Annitong Safe Company. Bellor Safe Company. Annitong Safe Company.
,	Posted stockyard facility number		11-25-0 11-150 1-10-0 11-150 1-10-0 11-150 1-10-0 11-150 1-10-0 11-150 11-10-0 11-150 11-20-0 11-150 11-20-0 11-150 11-20-0 11-150 11-20-0 11-150 11-20-0 11-150 11-20-0 11-150 11-20-0 11-150 11-20-0 11-150 11-20-0 11-150 11-20-0 11-150 11-20-0 11-150 11-20-0 11-150 11-20-0 11-150
	Date posted		
	Location	Atton. Arthur. Arthur. Arthur. Ashland. Akinaon. Balvidaro. Boomington. Boomington. Baryon. Ontrollion. Conton. Conton. Conton. Davidio. Conton. Conto	rillo n. n. n
Килион	Current name of stockyard	Hiltop Sales Barn	Neck Fload Auction Falc, Inc. Schwyler Livestock Earch. Furch Livestock Auction, Inc. Furch Livestock Auction, Inc. Furch Livestock Auction Market. Furch Livestock Auction. Furch Livestock Earch. Furch Livestock

Iowa—Continued

e
Ħ
¤
₽
₫
٥
ບ
IOWA-

	тосаріоп	Date	stockyard facility number	Current name of stockyard	Location	Date posted	Posted stockyard facility number
Boone Sales Co. Dovides Auction Company. Cascade Sales Barn. Cascade Sales Barn. Cascade Sales Barn. Control Livestock Sales Control Livestock Sales Control Livestock Sales Control Sales Company. Control Sales Sales Co. Smylle-Bauper Livestock Sales Company. Control Sales Sales Co. Smylle-Bauper Livestock Auction Cresco Livestock Market. Cresco Livestock Auction Discontained Cooperative Auction Discontained Livestock Auction Elizabet Sales Barn. Discontained Livestock Auction Control Livestock Sales, Inco- Discontained Livestock Commission, Inc. Discontained Livestock Commission, Inc. Discontained Livestock Commission, Inc. Control Livestock Sales, Inc. Contr	Boone. Buffalo Center Carroll. Contervillo. Deconh	### ##################################	•	Moorhead Auction Company Mouth Ayr Livestock Market New Sharon Sales Co., Inc. New Sharon Sales Co., Inc. Online Livestock Exchange. Oline Livestock Auction. Original Livestock Auction. Description Sales Company. Description Sales Company. Exceptible Sale	unit Ayr. unit Ayr. unit Ayr. w Liberton w Columby w Conter w Columby w Col	**************************************	
Phil Clark and Sous Sales Co. Lake City Sales Pavilion Lawron Sales Co. Inc. Lavron Sales Company Lenox Livestock Auction Leonard Auction Sales Lapapieron Livestock Auction, Inc. Marshalton Livestock Auction, Inc. Extern Iora Livestock Auction, Inc. Diddictorn Livestock Auction, Inc. Lindii from Auction Market. Extern Iora Livestock Auction, Inc. Lindii from Auction Rales, Inc. Lindii from Auction Rales. Lindii from Auction Rales. Lindii from Auction Ears.	Knowthle, Lake Olty Lamont Lawron Lawron Lawron Leon Manceste Mancheste Mapkeon Mapkeon Marchioveth Middlistown Middlistown Middlistown	eqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqq	144-144 144-14		Ablene Ablene Attelison Attelison Abreod Beleville Beleville Beleville Beleville Caldwall Colorylle Colorylle Coldrater	erriter	

FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL. 37, NO. 1—TUESDAY, JANUARY 4, 1972

KANBAR—Continued

Kansas—Continued

_	
1070	١
-5	•
U	١
-	
₹	١
-	
=	ì
~	
-	ì
2	
•	Ē
TAMILADY	ì
>	
á	
7	١
-	
×	•
=	
-	
1_TIFGDAY	
-	,
C	
2	
37. NO	
~	
	i
_	
С	١
Vol	
REGISTER	
-	ı
-	١
2	
	ì
Ξ	í
~	í
-	
9	
Щ.	۱
FEDERA	ļ
#	•
_	۰

Post stocky facili num	MMMMMMM 	TTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTT	ŽŽŽŽŽŽ ŽŽŽŽŽŽ
Date	2-4-2-4-2-4-2-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4	7~7~77779~9~9~9~9~9~9~9~9~9~9~9~9~9~9~9	644644 84584 86584
Location	Sylvan Grove. Synduse. Turon. Turon. Waletansa. Waletansa. Waverloy. Wantha. Winfield.	Albany Albany Albany Albany Bawking Green Bawking Green Bawking Green Bawking Green Councid Surges Colouribia Condition Danville Bamonton Edmonton Franklin Glargow Greenburg Glargow Glar	Springfield Entiforelie Tompkinstille Williamstown
Current name of stockyard	Sylvan Eales Company, Ino. Syneuse Sale Company, Turon Sales Co. Turon Sales Co. Wakenner Livestock Exchange. Wakenner Livestock Commission Co. Wathington Sale Company, Ino. Wathington Sale Company, Inc. Wiching Union Stock Yards. Wiching Company.	Albany Stock Yards Jolly's Feder I'ly Market, Inc. Warren County Farm Marketing Cooperative, Inc. Warren County Stock Yards Taylor County Stock Yards Taylor County Stock Sales Gyuldrichan Live Stock Bales Company Yards Gyuldrichan Live Stock Bales Company Yards Baylo County Stockets Baylo County Stockets Barners Bookyards Franklin Livestock Market, Inc. Franklin Livestock Market, Inc. Gregow Live Stock Yards Gregow Live Stock Yards Gregow Live Stock Yards Gregow Live Stock Market, Inc. July Stock Yards Market Kentuck Land and Gattle Company, Inc. Gregow Live Stock Market, Inc. July Histock Market, Inc. July Julystock Market, Inc. Julys Julystock Market, Inc. Ju	Washington County Stock Yards Company, Ino. Palatsville Livestock Market. Farmers Commission Company, Ino. Williamstown Stock Yards Winchester Stockyards, Ino.
Posted stockyard facility number	KK8-110 KK8-110 KK8-12	\$888888888888888888888888888888888888	KG-181 KG-181 KG-181 KG-181
Date posted	8-31-50 6-17-50 6-27-67 6-27-68 6-27-69 6-27-69 6-27-69 6-27-69 6-17-69 8-28-52		2445 245 245 245 245 245 245 245 245 245
Location	noll Grovo liton liton go Olty go Olty go Olty lights ytts ytts orndo- lintt-		Fallin Ballin Fallin Rallin Genter
Ourront name of stockyard	Il Groye Livesteok Auetlon Ighton Livesteok Auetlon Market, Inc. Ighton Livesteok Auetlon Market, Inc. Ighton Livesteok Commission Company, Inc. Inc. Inc. Inc. Inc. Inc. Inc. Inc.	Foregone Authon Salo Fuerga Authon Salo Fuerga Authon Salo Fredonia Livestock Balo Company, Inc. Alter County Livestock Company, Inc. Alter County Livestock Commission Company, Inc. Alter County Livestock Commission Company, Inc. Glasso Livestock Rate Company Alter County Livestock Company Alter County Livestock Company Illayatha Authon Company Illayatha Authon Company Illayatha Authon Company Inc. Livestock Rate Commission Company Ind. Livestock Rate Commission Company Ind. Livestock Commission Company Ind. Livestock Commission Company Ind. Livestock Rate Ind. Livestock Rate Ind. Livestock Commission Company Ind. Livestock Commission Company Ind. Livestock Rate Ind. Live	Argatoke, Cummisson Commuser fly Stockyntas Co., Inc. ters & Ranchers Livestock Commission Co. In Livestock Auction, idler Livestock Auction, Inc.

	Posted stockyard facility number	MA-103 MA-103 MA-103			
	Date posted	4-19-67 9-13-67 4-26-60 1-16-68	6-18-6-5 6-18-6	44444448888888888888888888888888888888	7498477647764 6488474744444444 8834738478464
SITISU	Location	I.ittleton	ena. Axo. Bapdis. Bapdis. Papdis. Selection of the Creek Son City Son City Sopolis. Inotto. Inotto. Sopolis. Adde.	Lake Odessa Lapter Lincoln Marion Marion Manletto Menominee Napoleon Owesso. Ravema Ruyard St. Johns Three Rivers Three Rivers Three Rivers Traverse City: Warthart	Aftkin Aftkin Belgreide Belle Plane Bengil Bursin Carlouit Carlouit Carlouit Davis Lake Detroit Lakes Experin Experin
Massachusetts	Current name of stockyard	Farmer's Live Animal Market Exchange, Inc. Buttonwood Auction Sales, Inc. Michiston's Livestock Commission Auctions, Inc. Northampton Coop Auction Assoc, Inc.	Alpena Livestock Commission Co., Inc. Thumb Auction Markets, Inc. Big Rapida Livestock Sates. Big Rapida Livestock Sates. Big Rapida Livestock Sates. Big Rapida Livestock Sates. Big Rapida Livestock Sates Company Carson City Sicokyards. Michigan Livestock Exchange Michigan Livestock Commission Collare Stockyards. Collare Stockyards. Collare Stockyards. Collare Stockyards. Collare Livestock Auction Collare Livestock Auction Collare Stockyards. Detroit Stockyards. Detroit Stockyards. Detroit Livestock Auction Exemple Livestock Auction Exemple Livestock Auction Exemple Livestock Auction Hastings Livestock Auction Hastings Livestock Auction Hacking Livestock Auction Hacking Livestock Auction Hapking Livestock Aucti	Lake Odessa Livestock Auction. Lapper Stockyards. Lapper Stockyards. Lincoln Livestock Auction Yards. Marion Livestock Auction. Marion Livestock Auction. Mapoleon Livestock Auction. Napoleon Livestock Auction. Napoleon Livestock Auction. Ravenna Livestock Rales. Ravenna Livestock Rales. Ravenna Livestock Rales. Ravenna Livestock Rales. R. Johns Stockyards. Michigan Livestock Auction, Inc. Scotyville Livestock Yards. Funch Livestock Auction Market. Thare Rives Livestock Auction Market. Thavers City Livestock Auction Market. Travers City Livestock Auction Liveston.	Atkin Livestock Sales Barn Minnesork Belgrade Livestock Auction Market Belgrade Livestock Auction Market Benegal Sales Barn, Inc. Benegal Sales Parthou, Inc. Arends Sales Parthou, Inc. Arends Sales Parthou, Inc. Arends Sales Barn Daves Bars Barn Daves Sales Barn Daves Bars Barn Daves Bars Barn Togal Bend Sales Barn
	Posted stockyard facility number	LA-100 LA-101 LA-103 LA-103 LA-105 LA-106 LA-106	77-75-75-75-75-75-75-75-75-75-75-75-75-7		
	Date posted	2-10-59 7-26-46 7-25-47 7-25-67 6-13-67			
		ころちょうふうらん	44497949999444799994994996		9494994499999444
	Location	esvillo sandrio sandrio sandrio tho nandrio na	Cousinate Cousinate Cousinate Course Curvies Curvies Deculino Deculino Deculino Deculino Deculino Deculino Deculino Deculino Pranklinton Grand Cane Grand Cane Hand Cane Hand Cane Hand Cane Canessino Labeau Manschile Manschile Manschile Manschile Mork Reade Opelousse Opelousse Racciand	hodiaux. albatte. by Patte. is Monroe. insboro. haty. is Corinth. indolph.	Aberdeen Sales Co. Related's Stockward' Inc. The Farmers Live Stock Exchange, Inc. Barrous Livestock Sales. Cumberland Stockward's Inc. Dukts Barrous Stockward's Inc. Dukts Barrous Stockward's Inc. Cumberland Stockward's Inc. Dukts Brothers Stockward's Inc. Frederick Livestock Auction, Inc. Frederick Livestock Auction, Inc. Grantsville Community's Siles, Inc. Barrous Stockward's Inc. Distribution Auction. Epilis Stabl's Inc. Battlinger Livestock Siles, Inc. Distribution Auction. Thurnout. Thurnout. Thermoother Community Siles, Inc. Thurnout. Thurnout.

FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL. 37, NO. 1—TUESDAY, JANUARY 4, 1972

-Continue
=
-
~
Ξ
-
0
7
·
-
-
ò
ဇ္တ
80
080
NEBO
NEBO
NNBBO
INNBBO
MINNERO
MINNBBOTA
MINNBBO

Mississippi-Continued

	NOTIC	TICES	
Posted stockyard facility number	MANA MANA MANA MANA MANA MANA MANA MANA		
Date	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	**************************************	2
Loontion	lohurst. ston. ston. ston. ston. logion. l	Alton Archio Archio Archio Archio Archio Archio Archio Archio Archio Bouldary Bounding Green Butter Butter Butter Butter Butter Butter Butter Butter Butter Concordia Collicotin Collicotin Collicotin Butter Concordia Collicotin Butter Concordia Collicotin Butter Collicotin Butter Collicotin Butter Collicotin Butter Collicotin Butter Collicotin Butter	
Ourent name of stockyard	Fafrehild Livestock Commission, Tho Mississippi Livestock Producers Association, Producers Stock- Jack Natissispip Livestock Releas. Pedict's Livestock Sales. Laurel Stock Yards Fool Livestock Sales. Lovington Sold Sold National Livestock Froducers Association. Lovington Sold Sold National Livestock Froducers Association. Lovington Sold Sold National Livestock Company. Dital Stock Yards, Juo. Maridian Stock Yards, Juo. Now Albany Sales Co. Olive Strokyards, Juo. Now Albany Sales Co. Oxford Livestock Commission Company. Pullidolphia Stockyard, Juo. Pulling Stock Commission Company. Pulling Stock Commission Company. Pulling Stock Company. Pulling Stock Company. Tupelo Stock Yard, Juo. Livectock Production Ralo. Tupelo Stock Yard, Juo. Livectock Production Ralo. Tupelo Stock Yard, Juo. Livectock Production Ralo. West Point Livestock Company. West Point Livestock Company. West Commission Company. West Commis	Alton Eales Company Alton Eales Company Are Eales Company Notest Breez, Livestock Company Breez, Livestock Alterior Button Company Button Convertible Eale Company Convertible Eale Company Button Convertible Eale Company Point Convertible Livestock Auction Columbia Livestock Auction Colu	
Posted stookyard facility number			
Date posted	6	**************************************	
Location	Camnons Carlbault Carlbault Carlbault Carlbault Carlor Car	Suil Couto Staylor Sta	
Ourrent name of stockyard	Heimor & Jim Niolson Diary Cattle Market. Forcibault Livestock Sales Goneya Investock Sales Arrowheed Sales Burn Jackson Livestock Exchange. Jackson Livestock Sales Livestock Commission. Jackson Livestock Commission. Jackson Livestock Commission. Jackson Livestock Commission. Jackson Exchange. Jackson Exchange.	Wicorein Freder Pig Marketing Cooperative Rich Marketing Marketing Cooperative Rich Marketing Marketing Rich Marketing Rich Marketing Rich Marketing Marke	0

MISSOURI-Continued

MO-219 MO-220 MT-10 MT-10 MT-10 MT-10 MT-10 MT-11 MT-11 MT-11 MT-11

껗
Ē
吕
꿈
0
۲
Ţ
ø
9
ĕ
ä
7

A See S	
Date Posted	### ##################################
Location	Sayannah Sedalia Sedalia Sedalia Sedalia Sedalia Sedalia Sentia Sumucia Sumucia Sumucia Sumucia Sumucia Sumucia Sumucia Sumucia Thayar Marcailia Marcailia Marcail Alliance Alliance Milance Milanc
Current Name of Stockyard	Swannth Sales Co, Inc. M.F.A. Livestock Association, Inc., Sedalia Concentration Special Missouri Sales Co. M.F.A. Livestock Association, Inc., Sedalia Concentration Sones Community Sale. Since Moord Audition Co., Inc. M.F.A. Livestock Audition Co., Inc. Summers: Livestock Association, Inc., Stockton Concentration Summers: Livestock Association, Inc., Tanayrille Concentration Polit. Summers: Livestock Association, Inc., Tanayrille Concentration Polit. Summers: Livestock Association, Inc., Tanayrille Concentration Polit. Since Missouri Sales Previlion Jincoln County Livestock Market, Inc. Morth Missouri Sales Previlion Johnson County Livestock Audition, Inc., Westphalia Concentration Versiller Audition Company Control Carles Livestock Audition, Inc. Polit. Wheaton Livestock Audition, Inc. Polit. Wheaton Livestock Audition, Inc. Polit. Wheaton Livestock Audition, Inc. Dillion Livestock Market Company Gendie Augition Rest Livestock Audition Control Livestock Audition Miles City Salesy Company Gendie Augition Control Livestock Audition Control Livestock Audition Miles City Salesy Company Gendie Augition Control Livestock Audition Control Montrol Audition Control Livestock Commission Company Aribra Livestock Audition Author Livestock Audition Authorn Periol Livestock Commission Authorn Livestock Audition Authorn Livestock Audition Authorn Livestock Audition Authorn Livestock Audition Authorn Livestock Au
Posted stockynrd facility number	
Date posted	අතුඅ අතුඅත්විද්ය අතුර් අතු අතුර අතුර අතුර අතුර අතුර අතුර අතුර
Location	
Current name of stockyard	Callaway Stock Eales Company— Gallatin— Gallat

FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL. 37, NO. 1—TUESDAY, JANUARY 4, 1972

•
-
Continu
=
=
نن
↽
•
=
v
٠.
_
7
1
•
ż
ı٠
⋍
÷
w
_
7
~
-
Nedrabic
m
_
7
~

NRBEAREA-Continued

FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL. 37, NO. 1.-TUESDAY, JANUARY 4, 1972

7-10-59 4- 8-59 7-10-59 5-11-59

North Dakota

Date Posted

Location

Current Name of Stockyard

North Carolina-Continued

◻
=
44
~
=
·
O
Ţ
YORK
∝
0
ν.
и
₽
é.
Z
•

neq

Location

Current Name of Stockyard

	Wells Livestock Market, Inc. West Jefferson Livestock Market Whet Jefferson Livestock Austion, Inc. Challed Livestock Austion, Inc.	Caronna-y nguna brockyard	. North Dako	Ashley I fyestock Sales Company	Beulah Livestock Auction Market, Incorporated Miscourt Slong Livestock Auction Inc	Home Base Auction Market, Inc.	Lake Region Livestock Sales, Inc.	Schnell Dickinson Livestock Sales Co D Western Livestock Co., Inc.	Edgeloy Livestock Sales, Inc.	Harvoy Livestock Auction	Lorenz Livestock Sales.	Jamestown Livestock Sales	Linton Livestock Sales, Inc. Kist Livestock Austion Company	Harrington Brothers Livestock Auction Market, Inc.	Minot Livestock Auction Kamrath Sales Pavillon	Napoleon Livestock Auction Co	Park River Livestock Sales, Inc.
Number	NY-123 NY-124 NY-125	NY-127 NY-127 NY-127	NY-120 NY-120 NY-130 NY-130														
	7-24-67 8-11-60 4-26-61	7-15-60	6 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 2 3 2 3 3 3 3 3 3	8-17-60	200 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120	888 888	111	5-19-61 9-8-61	\$ 5 17: 17: 17: 17: 17: 17: 17: 17: 17: 17:				유 전 등 등 등		10-14-21		
	Hudson Falls Jamestown Jeffersonville	Little Falls	Malone	Milford	Newport	Onconta	Pavilion	Sennott	Springville	Unadilla	Inc	Wassafe	Watertown West Edmeston	West Lowville	vest winne Vesternvill	Whitney Point	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
	Circle K Livestock Co., Inc., Norvel Reed & Sons, Inc. Poters Livestock Exchange.	Miller's Livestock Market of Johnstown. Mohawk Valley Commission Sales, Inc.	Empire Livestock Markoung Cooperative, Inc. Lavrence's Livestock Commission Sales. Themse Commission Sales.	Millord Comm. Sales Stables, Inc.		Empire Livestock Marketing Cooperative, Inc.	Owego Livestock Market, Inc.	Sennett Sales Company	Raymond N. Gentner Commission Market	D. R. Chambers & Sons, Inc.	change,	Luther's Livestock Commission Market	Empire Livestock Marketing Cooperative, Inc	Lewis County Livestock Market	East Winfield Livestock Market	Empire Livestock Marketing Cooperative, Inc.	Month O range
		,														•	

NORTH CAROLINA

74.78.48.48.48.48.48.48.48.48.48.48.48.48.48	7-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
Ashevillo Beauson Boome Control Charlotte Charlotte Charlotte Charlotte Charlotte Charlotte Charlotte Charlotte Concord Concor	Mumth Olive. Murphy Notina North Vilkesboro North Vilkesboro Oxford Oxford Picerilis Rich Spure Rich Spure Rich Spure Rich Spure Sielby Sielby Siel Off Sielby Siel Oxfore Sielby Siel Oxfore Sielby Turch Sielf
THE STATE OF THE S	Livestock Market, stock Authon Company tock Market, Ino tock Market, Ino tock Yardet, Ino and tock Yardet, Ino tock Company

Ощо

Rugby Livestock Sales, Tio
Williston Sales Company
Triple S Cattle Co.
Triple S Cattle Co.
Union Stockward Auction
Sitting Bull Auction Company
Williston Sales Sales
Sitting Bull Auction Company
Wishek Livestock Market, Inc.

12-14-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-	우우우 삼청성		77.77 2222 2222	7771 277 222	7-8-8 0E-120 1-8-8-9 0E-120 1-8-8-9 0E-120 1-8-8-9 0E-120 1-8-9-9 0E-120 1-9-9-9 0E-120 1-9-9 0E-1	27-HO 69-8-1
Archbold	Canfield. Carroliton Celina Chillicothe	Circinnati Circleville Cleveland Columbus Grove	Coshocton Creston Domascus	Delta Eaton Farmerstown Kindlar	Fremont Gallipols Granvillo Greenvillo Hillstero Hillstero	Kentca
		Cincinnati Union Stock Yards. The Bowling Stock Yards Company. Union Stock Yards Livibil Bros. In Cincinnation Columnation				***************************************
Lugbill Bros, Inc. Athens Livestock Sales Co., Inc. Bannesville Livestock Producesville Association	Auction k Auction tock Exchange	tock Yards. Yards Company	s Association Sales k Auction	Deform Vessors Nation Deform Versions Auction Producers Livestock Association Formerstorn Sales, Inc.	Fremont Myestest Exchanges The Mark Valley Livestock Exchanges Granville Community Live Stock Sele- Proples Livestock Exchanges Produces Livestock Exchanges The Units Stock Yorks Co	forketter Corp.
Lugbill Bros., Inc Athens Livestock S. Barnesville Livestoch	Canfield Livestock Carrollton Livestock Western Ohlo Lives Sciote Livestock Sa	Cincinnati Union S The Bowling Stock Union Stock Yards Lucbill Bros., Inc.	Producers Livestool Creston Live Stock Damascus Livestool	Delta Livestock An Producers Livestock An Formerstown Sale,	Fromost Livestory Fremont Livestory Otto Valley Livesto Granville Commun Propies Livestock I Produces Livestock I Tee Union Stock Y	Kenton Former's L

FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL. 37, NO. 1-TUESDAY, JANUARY 4, 1972

~
×
2
=
▭
⇁
5
0
۲ì
ĭ
1
٥
흠
HIO
Ξ
OHIO

OKLAHOMA—Continued

-	Posted Date Stockyard Posted Facility Number	2-28-71 2-12-58 3-71 3-12-58 3-71 3-7-58 3-7-78 3-7		# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #
-	Location	Mayayillo. MoAlestor Mount Viow Mount Viow Mount Viow Mount Viow Mountleoo Nowkite Nowkite Nowkite Policy Paula Valloy Paula Valloy Paula Valloy Paula Valloy Paula Valloy Paula Valloy Porty Po		Baker. Baker. Crevall. Crevall. Crevall. Crevall. Crevall. Crevall. Crevall. Crevall. Crevall. Butting the balls. Butting the b
	Current Name of Stockyard	Mayswille Livestock Auction. Union Stockyards Mesker I Ivestock Auction Mountain View Livestock Auction Musicoge Brockyards & Livestock Auction, Ino. Musicoge Brockyards & Livestock Auction, Ino. Musicoge Brockyards & Livestock Auction and Stockyards. Okinhomn National Stock Yards Okinhomn National Stock Yards Ownwirk Sales Company. Partin Livestock Marketion. Perry Livestock Marketion. Perry Livestock Auction Perry Livestock Commission Con, Ino. Pregat Livestock Commission Con, Ino. Pregat Livestock Auction. Pregat Livestock Auction. Pregat Livestock Auction. Pregat Livestock Auction. Robb Livestock Auction. Selling Sale Accountain. Selling Sale Accountain. Selling Sale Accountain. Market Stockyards. Singer Sale Barn. Loopers Auction. Loopers Auction. Loopers Auction. Talle County Livestock Auction. Talle Stockyards. Livestock Auction. Talle Stockyards. Loopers Auction. Talle Stockyards. Mary Livestock Auction. Talle Stockyards. Mary Livestock Auction. M	N0':0110	Bach's Livestock Auction, Inc. Corvalis Livestock Auction, Inc. Corvalis Livestock Market, Inc. Corvalis Livestock Market, Inc. Corvalis Livestock Market, Inc. Corvalis Livestock Auction, Inc. Contracted Livestock Auction, Inc. Corvalis Livestock Auction, Inc. Corvalis Livestock Auction, Inc. Corval Livestock Auction, Inc. Corval Livestock Auction, Inc. Corval Livestock Auction, Inc. Corval Livestock Auction, Inc. Corvaliant Livestock Auction, Inc. Corvaliant Livestock Auction, Inc. Corvaliant Livestock Auction, Inc. Corvaliant Union Study Nard. Corvaliant Livestock Auction, Inc. Corvaliant Livestock Auction Co. Corvaliant Livestock Auction Co. Corvaliant Livestock Auction Livestock Auction Livestock Auction Co. Corvaliant Livestock Auction Co. Corvaliant Livestock Auction Livestock Auction Livestock Auction Co. Corvaliant Livestock Auction Livestock
	Postod Dato Stockyard Postod Facility Number	6-1-60 011-123 6-1-60 011-123 6-2-60 011-123 6-2-60 011-123 6-3-60 011-133 6-3-60 011-133	2-12-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-	ddecdddadddadddadddaddd 2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,
•	Location De Pos		Chickacha	
	. Curront Name of Stockyard	The Kidron Auction, Inc. Producers Livestock Auction Producers Livestock Ausociation Producers Livestock Ausociation Producers Livestock Ausociation Residual Livestock Auction Producers Livestock Auction Boomhold Livestock Auction Producers Livestock Auction Boomhold Livestock Auction Producers Livestock Auction Woodsfield Livestock Auction Woodsfield Livestock Auction Woodsfield Livestock Auction Woodsfield Livestock Auction Ada Livestock Auction Ada Livestock Auction Attus Ada Livestock Auction Ada Livestock Auction Ada Livestock Auction Autics Rivestock Auction Autics Livestock Auction Autics Rivestock Rivestor Autics Rivestor Autics Rivestor Autics Rivestor Auti	Grady County Livectock Ealo	Cordul Live: Cox Auttlen, June Covington Commiction Edge Company Devoy Live: Cox Auttlen, June Duncar Live: Cox Auttlen D

Date Posted

Location

Sourh Carolina-Continued

Spartanburg
Springfeld
Surnor
Walterboro
Yenassee

_	4
r	3
2	1
Þ	Ė
5	:
ģ	3
Ś	:
Ĺ	ī
Ρ	4

~
•
77
U.

•	•	4	000	_
Jno C. Toylor Stockyards		구구(하임) 충덕(80-18 80-18 80-18	
	Bennettsville	55 58 58	SC-183	
		54.		_
Pforket, Inc.		28 1.		
A presentate of the expension of the expension of a College of the expension of the expensi		ξ ξ ξ		
P. L. Brico Livesteel Company		3		_
of Market Inc		S-8-4		_
Homenmay Lineston's Markot		3		_
	III HIII	2-12-6		
_	esylli)	3 81		
Proston's Market Inc.	Coll.	174		
Solve Inc		954	SC-11#	
40.74C	[2-13-63	80-115	
Verds, Inc.	cage burg	5-11-6	SC-115	
Arretten Market	Pickers	၉ မ	SC-117	
Follow V this of the	St. George	다 다 다	SC-113	
	2nd3	2-13-2	SC-113	

FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL. 37, NO. 1—TUESDAY, JANUARY 4, 1972

М	
3	
8	
ż	
z	
ш	
H	

Posted Stockyard Facility Number	***************************************
Date	**************************************
Location	Abbleno Alico Bollino Bollino Bordio Bord
Current Name of Stockyard	Abline Autetini Abline Autetini Ahline Autetini Anniches and Frances Livestock Commission Company—A Annichic Bares Autetini—Company—A Annichic Bares Autetini—Company—A Annichic Bares Autetini—Company—A Allines Commission Company—A Allines Commission Company—Bayethe Livestock Commission Company—Bayethe Livestock Commission Company—Bayethe Livestock Autetini—Big Spirine Livestock Autetini—Big Spirine Livestock Autetini—Big Spirine Livestock Autetini—Big Spirine Livestock Commission Company—Big Spirine Livestock Commission Company—Big Spirine Livestock Commission Company—Big Spirine Livestock Commission Company—Big Spirine Livestock Commission Company—Bardy Livestock Commission Company—Burdy Livestock Commission Company—Burdy Livestock Commission Company—Burdy Livestock Commission Company—Burdy—Burdy Livestock Commission Company—Burdy—Bu
Posted Stockyard Facility Number	
Date Posted	෦෦෦෦෦෦෦෦෦෦෦෦෦෦෦෦෦෦෦෦෦෦෦෦෦෦෦෦෦෦෦෦෦෦෦෦
Location	Alexandria Athens Athens Ourthage Ourthage Ourthage Outhanonge Outhanonge Olintanonge Olintanonge Olintanonge Olintanonge Olintanonge Olintanonge Outhanonge Outhanonge Outhanonge Outhanonge Outhanonge Outhanonge Experiment Expectivelile Expettivelile Expettivelile Expettivelile Expettivelile Expettivelile Expettivelile Expettivelile Expettivelile Intrivile Intrivi
Current Name of Stockyard	Dokaby County Livestock Co. Alexander Commission Co. Alexander County Street Commission Co. John Harbor County

TEXAS

TREAS-Continued

-
-28
-
♬
44
4
-
0
Ŭ
- 3
- (
602
-9
м
1
£
c

Date Stoc Fosted Fa	19114**********************************
Location	Pearsall Plusburg Plusburg Plusburg Plusburg Plusburg Plusburg Plasmaton Plusburg Plasmaton Onunah Rangeu Raywood Ran Angolo San Abas Sehulenburg Sehulenburg Sonora Sonora Suphur Springs Westwant Toartean Westwarter Woodwille Salt Lake Orden Orden Criden Crid
Current Name of Stockyard	Fro Livestock Sales Company Fig. Pitch Point Livestock Exchange, Inc. Fitch Point Livestock Exchange, Inc. Fitch Point Livestock Exchange, Inc. Atascosa Livestock Commission Company, Inc. Atascosa Livestock Auction Company, Inc. Range Livestock Auction Company, Inc. Froducers Livestock Commission Company, Inc. Consider Commission Company, Inc. Froducers Livestock Commission Co. Southwest Livestock Commission Co. Southwest Livestock Commission Co. Southwest Livestock Commission Company, Inc. Southwest Livestock Commission Company, Inc. Southwest Livestock Commission Company, Inc. Farel Livestock Exchange Company, Inc. The Obt Livestock Commission Company, Inc. The Obt Livestock Commission Company, Inc. The Obt Livestock Commission Company, Inc. The Control Livestock Commission Company, Inc. The Obt Livestock Commission Company, Inc. Southwest Livestock Commission Company, Inc. Livestock Livestock Auction Livestock Commission Company, Inc. The Dial Livestock Auction Livestock Au
Posted Stockyard Facility Number	
Date Posted	Prvvr441714749v979144471497149149149149149191919444414791479
Location	swills burg swills burg swills burg swills burg swill burg swill burg shown booking bear of the burg shown bur
Current Name of Stockyard	Gillesple Livestock Company, Inc. Gallesple Livestock Company, Inc. Gallesple Livestock Commission Company Galocomission Company Con-Tox Livestock Commission Company Graphorn Livestock Commission Company Graphorn Livestock Commission Company Graphorn Autition Barn Halletts Fill Livestock Commission Company Growsberk Commission Company Growsberk Commission Company Growston Autition Barn Hackell Livestock Commission Company Hackell Livestock Autition, Commission Company Hackell Livestock Commission Company Handry Hacktock Livestock Evaluation Linguish Livestock Commission Company Michael Livestock Commission Company Michael Livestock Commission Company Michael Livestock Commission Company Michael Livest

FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL 37, NO. 1-TUESDAY, JANUARY 4, 1972

1	ອີ	1	1	1 1
	Posted Stockyard Facility Number			
	Date Posted	1-0-1-9-2-9-3-9-9-9-9-9-9-9-9-9-9-9-9-9-9-9-9		
	. Location	uumclaw verson indon indon ses Lako ses Lako ses Lako sesor sesor selomish olomish olomish olomish	nnysido masket mpponish ikou ver- illa Walla	Adderson Boekloy Briggeport, B
	Qurrent Name of Stockyard	Enumolaw Sales Pavillon Farmor's Arotion Lyndon Audion Market Lyndon Audion Market Moses Lake Livestock Augtion, Inc. Moses Lake Livestock Market, Inc. Moses Commission Compuny Inc. Presser Commission Compuny Inc. Britton	Sunnysuo Market Salo. Sunnysuo Market Salo. Market. Market. Toppoulai Sales Yard, Inc. Colmbia, Auction Market. Walla Walla Livestock Commission Co., Inc.	Alderen Livestock Market, Inc. New River Livestock Market, Inc. Budghort Stockard, Inc. Budghort Stockard, Inc. Budghort Stockard, Inc. Bulle Bldgo Livestock Bales, Inc. Blue Bldgo Livestock Bales, Inc. Blue Bldgo Livestock Bales, Inc. Blue Bldgo Livestock Auction, Inc. Mannington Livestock Auction, Inc. Moundaylio Livestock Auction, Inc. John Ceanty Livestock Auction, Inc. John Ceanty Livestock Auction, Inc. John Ceanty Livestock Auction, Inc. John Pleast Livestock Bales Company. Weston Livestock Bales Company. Equity Livestock Auction Market Belling Livestock Auction Market Equity Livestock Auction Market Equity Livestock Auction Market Belling Livestock Auction Market Equity Livestock Auction Market Belling Livestock Auction Market Control Wicksock Auction Market Boo Opmo Livestock Paducers Cooperative, Milwest Livestock Producers Cooperative, Milwest Livestock Auction Market Equity Livestock Auctio
	Posted Stockyard Facility Number	VT-100 VT-101 VT-103 VT-104 VT-106 VT-106 VT-108		
	Data F Posted	11-28-58 11-18-58-58 11-18-58-58 11-18-58 11-18-58 11-18-58 11-18-58 11-18-58 11-18-58 11-18-58	1	수무유무무수무 등록 보다 하는 사람들은 보고 있다. 그 등록 보고 있다.
	Location		Ablingdon Abingdon Biolotrd Blackstono Buenn Vista Clariottesvillo Guirlottesvillo Guirlottesvillo Guirlottesvillo Guirlottesvillo	
	Current Name of Stockyard	Addison County Commission Salo East Middlobury East Thetord Commission Salos Morrivation Mor	ino. Ino.	Formery Livestock Market, Inc. Formarylle Livestock Market, Inc. Frederlekaburg Stock Tards Co. Bockingham Livestock Market, Inc. Frederlekaburg Describe States Inc. Frederlekaburg Describe States Inc. Frederlekaburg Bock Tards Inc. Frederlekaburg Describe States Inc. Frederlekaburg Describe Stat

WABHINGTON-Continued

FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL. 37, NO. 1-TUESDAY, JANUARY 4, 1972

NOTICES

Wisconsin-Continued

Current Name of Stockyard	Location Dat Posts	
Equity Livestock Auction Market Equity Livestock Auction Market Mattes Livestock Auction Market, Inc	Sparta	-60 WI-125 -60 WI-120 -59 WI-127 -64 WI-128
,		
. Жүом	ING	
Douglas Livestock Exchange Company	Douglas 6- 3	-63 WY-100
Douglas Livestock Exchange CompanyGillette Livestock Exchange	Douglas 6-3	-51 WY-101
Douglas Livestock Exchange Company Gillette Livestock Exchange Greybull Livestock Auction, Inc.	Douglas 6- 3 Gillette 5-18 Greybull 6-23 Londer 6-27	-51 WY-101 -50 WY-102 -57 WY-103
Oouglas Livestock Exchange Company Glilette Livestock Exchange Greybull Livestock Auction, Inc.	Douglas 6- 3 Gillette 5-18 Greybull 6-23 Londer 6-27	-51 WY-101 -50 WY-102 -57 WY-103
Douglas Livestock Exchange Company Gillette Livestock Exchange Greybull Livestock Auction, Inc. Lander Sales Commission Company Laramie Livestock Exchange, Inc. Lursk Livestock Exchange Co.	Douglas	-51 WY-101 -50 WY-102 -57 WY-103 -65 WY-104 -40 WY-105
Douglas Livestock Exchange Company Gillette Livestock Exchange Greybull Livestock Auction, Inc. Lander Sales Commission Company Laramie Livestock Exchange, Inc. Lusk Livestock Exchange Co. Powell Auction Market	Douglas 6-3 Gillette 5-18 Greybull 6-23 Lander 6-27 Laramie 11-7 Lusk 2-17 Powell 6-29	-51 WY-101 -50 WY-102 -57 WY-103 -65 WY-104 -40 WY-105 -50 WY-106
Oouglas Livestock Exchange Company Illette Livestock Exchange Greybull Livestock Auction, Inc. Lander Sales Commission Company Laramie Livestock Exchange, Inc. Lusk Livestock Exchange Co. Owell Auction Market Riverton Auction & Livestock Co.	Douglas 6- 3 Gillette 5-18 Greybull 6-23 Lander 6-27 Lusk 2-17 Powell 6-20 Riverton 6-27	-51 WY-101 -50 WY-102 -57 WY-103 -65 WY-104 -40 WY-105 -50 WY-107 -50 WY-107
Douglas Livestock Exchange Company Gillette Livestock Exchange Greybull Livestock Auction, Inc. Lander Sales Commission Company Laramie Livestock Exchange, Inc. Lusk Livestock Exchange Co. Powell Auction Market. Riverton Auction & Livestock Co. Sheridan Livestock Exchange, Inc.	Douglas. 6-3 Gillette 5-18 Greybull 6-23 Lander 6-27 Laramie 11-7 Lusk 2-17 Powell 6-29 Riverton 6-27	-51 WY-101 -50 WY-102 -57 WY-103 -65 WY-104 -40 WY-105 -50 WY-106 -50 WY-107 -50 WY-107
Douglas Livestock Exchange Company Gillette Livestock Exchange Greybull Livestock Auction, Inc. Lander Sales Commission Company Laramie Livestock Exchange, Inc. Lusk Livestock Exchange, Inc. Lough Livestock Exchange Co. Powell Auction Market. Riverton Auction & Livestock Co. Sheridan Livestock Exchange, Inc. Stockman Livestock Commission, Inc. Torrington Lives Stock Commission Company	Douglas. 6- 3 Gillette. 5-18 Groybull 6-23 Lander 6-27 Laramie 11- 7 Lusk 2-17 Powell 6-29 Riverton 6-27 Sheridan 6-30 Torrington 12-21 Torrington 7-26	51 WY-101 50 WY-102 57 WY-102 65 WY-104 40 WY-105 50 WY-107 50 WY-107 66 WY-103 35 WY-110
WYOM Douglas Livestock Exchange Company Gillette Livestock Exchange Greybull Livestock Auction, Inc. Lander Sales Commission Company Laramie Livestock Exchange, Inc. Lusk Livestock Exchange Co Powell Auction Market Riverton Auction & Livestock Co Sheridan Livestock Exchange, Inc. Stockman Livestock Commission, Inc. Torrington Live Stock Commission Company Wheatland Sale Barn Worland Livestock Auction.	Douglas G- 3 Gillette 5-18 Greybull 6-23 Lander 6-27 Laramie 11-7 Lusk 2-17 Powell G-29 Riverton 6-27 Sheridan 6-30 Torrington 12-21 Torrington 7-5 Wheatland 1-25	-51 WY-101 -50 WY-102 -57 WY-103 -65 WY-104 -40 WY-105 -50 WY-107 -50 WY-107 -60 WY-103 -66 WY-103 -51 WY-111

Done at Washington, D.C., the 15th day of December 1971.

EDWARD L. THOMPSON,
Acting Chief, Registrations, Bonds, and Reports Branch,
Livestock Marketing Division, Packers and Stockyards Administration.
[FR Doc.72-1 Filed 1-3-72;8:45 am]